

Mr. Vincent J. Selendria EER6 Delencey Pl. Philadelphia, Pa. 3

Dear Vince.

This letter is the beginning of the record I promised you I would make of the swful thing you have been doing and have just eccomplished. You have just protected the Werren Peport, Attorney General Clark and everyone in any remote way connected with the essessinations and their investigations from total destruction. You have done for the government what it could not possibly have ascomplished for itself. In the course of so doing, you have probably ruined Jim Gerrison's reputation emong not just critice, but emong all those decent people who do have an understanding of the fact and dox or will come to understand the unparalleled opportunity game the exploitation of which you prevented.

Particularly because of your unreined paramole that almost everyone else is an agent, a terror you assiduously transmitted to Aim, do I emphasize that if you were an agent you could not possibly have accomplished as much. I could build a much better case of agency against you then you have against anyone.

It has, to me, been one of the tragedies that you, one of the firstpublished critics, were the first to abdicate and were strong in your efforts to
persuade the rest of us continuing the fight for truth and the integrity of our
society was a futility. It is possible to interpret your recent activity and ininjudicious
implificate use of your influence as a conscious or subconscious attempt to justify
your one abdication, your cowardies of your quitting.

It is also possible to argue that you are engaging in a self-justification because you not only refused to help but tried to dissuade me when I saked you to act as my lawyer in an effort to bring out what you have just prevented Jim from doing. You are consistent.

In talking to me Frider night you made much of your judgement, and I asked you to examine the record is has made for you. I shall, to a limited degree, for I have no time for the gilding of likities. It is your own excellent judgement, you said, that you had to use for Jim's benefit. In each of the few of the available instances of your judgement that I will cite I sak you to pretend you are not involved, that you are impartial, and then sak the question, TIs this inconsistent with the action of an agent?"

Beve Dellinger's publication of a review of "HITEWASH. Ou then accused me of plagisrhim, saying I had drawn on the work of others (non-existent at the time I wrote
that book, as you should have known). Then I challenged you and disproved your
slander, actually in the form of blackmail, intended to force me to give you credit
for what I had done, you foldseed the course of Salandria honor and did not withdraw your foul and salf-serving slanders. This first instance of Salandria "judgement" cost me and honest criticism of the Barren Seport access to the progressivepeace press, for had "Liberation", in that very early day, paid attention to solid
criticism of the Report in hancet books, that press might not thereafter have been
silent for so long.

Then there is your "judgement" in your writing about the bullets. You, the progressive lawyer, the new who detests the excesses of the FBI, actually dedicated your ariting to an FBI agent, Proxier. You miss present him excentically for his false, descrive and crucially-corrupting testimony before the Commission. Had Frazier testified really homestly, the frameup of Cawald and with him that of history would have been impossible. You dedicated your writing to him, thus, inherently to his agency and J. Edger Hoover.

consistent with this is your headling of the FEI report when you wrote about it. Not once did you meention the feet that the FEI, the same agency to which, in effect, you dedicated your labor, pretended to provide the definitive report on the murder of the Frankient without accounting for all the shote it knew had been fired and without even accounting for all the injuries it knew had been inflicted.

At that time my disposition was to clobber you for your stalwart defense and protection of the FEI. How I now regret I did not, that I decided this could be the kind of error the potential for which was carefully built in by the other side, the sort of oversight that was possible with the needless complexity of the material. I accept full responsibility for this and acknowledge my own judgement was deficient, that your glorification of Frezier and his agency should have decided me otherwise.

began to study the Zepruder film. For were devoutly convinced and tried to persuade everyone that Connaily could not have been hit antil, what was it, Frame 287 (if so, you picked a good one, for that or one close does not exist)? Do you have to be reminded of your boorishness when you were invited to participate on a radio program that was supposed to be mine, when you fillibustered with consummate variosity for most of the four bours on just this? That certainly was one way of being on a program for WHITE-ACH, the first in that area and on a station with so widespread an audience. This conduct of yours, whetever caused it, is not inconsistent with that of an agent trying to misland and to demy attention to genuine critical writing.

Epstein, and the name should be enough commentary. Thompson, the man who came up with the copout formule that might get the government off the book. What better exemples can be cited of your superb judgement:

I skip to your December whirlwind in New Orleans, where you spent "very minute you could to persuading Im he should trust nobedy and that everybody sees or is an agent. 'his was unnecessary, was not warranted by any fact. In doing this you succeeded in separating him from some he might have gotten worthwhile help and judgements from and were your own terror campaign against him and his peace of mind. You could not have done more to frighten and discrient him. Then, for no reason at all, you mixed with your pose of great sminence a stance as Counteragent No. 1. You went around and put on guard those who might be, for no reason, based on no knowledge, and with such ferocity of determination it was not possible to stop you. You then blew an excellent chance of inflicting the greatest

demage on the other side and in the doingulaid a foundation for great burt to us and Jim. There was no possible rescon for the things you did and said, no possible constructive purpose to be served by them. Jaffe had already agreed to surrender his gradentials valuaterily, as I had persuaded him earlier that morning, when he acknowledged to me that he might already have burt in by the things he had done while he carried them. Mecause it is unperdonable for a private citizen to presume to speak for the district attorney of a major city, and in such a case, I did not take them. Only ign you have the truly superior judgem at that impels you to do such things, publicly and to ensuies, so there will be no secret about it. He is not the only one I so persuaded, privately, without stink or the possibility of harmful publicity for Jim. So, the one major accomplishment of that cutstanding demonstration of Salandria judgement, good sense and real diplometic test, that cuintessence of understanding of agents and how they work - and of counter-intelligence know-how - was to immediately warm Lamarre. True genius- Salandria style.

With this but part of your record you pretend to have judgement not demeaning to a pre-puberty "ongolian idiot? You presume to advise enyone?

You pose as Jim's friend? Yet those things you have so loudly, so estantsciously done in his name connot but hurt him. I cannot believe your own experience in the world did not so warn you, as I cannot ignore that with sill the vehemance possible you did it.

How you sculd presume to hold an opinion on the current matter without the most careful analysis of the papers Clark filed is court with your training as a lawyer emempes comprehension. Then you did this, with complete abandonment of legic and reason, and I third to get you to understand what, for the first time, we really had, you were never once responsive. Because others were present, I presume, you never once let me finish a thing without an interruption that was in no single instance garmeine. Prior to Sansterwald's arrival, all you would talk about was the inevitability what he, too, is an agent. The reason boiled down to that he wed failed to overthrow the government:

Entert to respond to or refute enything. You had an enewer for everything: a trep!

Would that we had more such traps and fewer "friends" and "helpers" like you! It

just is not possible to adequately describe your use of the word "trap", for with

what I had by then stready discovered in the papers at issue in them wery court,

It was a "trap" like none other, ever. That we have is the Atterney General certify—

and

ing the Marran Report and its account of the investigations of the surder of the

President that frondulant and that key witnesses had perjured themselves. To did have

an out, now that he had be a forced to provide descriptions of the surpressed evi
dence we had not previously had. He could plead the evidence was faket:

Some trapi Some Judgement: Some Selendria:

Theorem was responsible for that time-nick phone call to Mans Bertel in the courtroom was also responsible for the preservation of this monster War en Fepart and everything flowing flow and connected with it. His spectacular success would have been short-lived had it not been for your velocous backstopping. For the first time, in a court of law - and in Mashington! - we had a chance of doing what had never been done and what had never before been possible, and you prevented it! For the first time we could, in a court of law, have established the invelidity and much more - the motive - and you saved the government and its henckmen.

And you dere talk of others as agenta?

Had we men able to go cheed, we would have done more than accomplish
what is clear from the above. We could have protected Jim. A victory in Feehington
would have validated all his cleims of government interference that today stand
without support to the average citizen and the press. Again we would have doned more.
The would have given him a victory much more significant than convicting Clay Shaw
can possibly be. Simultaneously, we would have out him in the position where even
a defeat in the Shaw case would have been a victory for him. All these things your
great judgement and dedicated friendship spared him.

Inevitably one who has written much finds what he has written sometimes appropriate in other cases. I refer you to the eplicate to the first page address myself to the record of the late President's "friends". On the first page of this strongly-felt writing I say "The late President had such friends he had no need for entmies. Cases's friends had more honor. They worked in the open." Thatever your motive, nothing could better describe your "friendship" with Jim, your performance as his trusted "friend". It is like constration as a disease preventive.

I contact imnore what I heard Triday night and what you did Saturday morning. Bud phoned we early to tell me of your call to him. In the middle of the loudest possible denunciation of any move in Washington, the one place we could not lose by moving. In phoned you. You then, pointedly, sold you were moving the phone to me close to the one to him so I could hear what you were naying, and you went out of your way to let him know. That you then did and said is as opposed to what you stopped doing and saying to me as it could possible be. ince it was, as you know, not necessary to move the phone, if you did, for I heard everything enyway, I can only essume your words were for 'im's benefit, in this case synonymous with deception. Then you immediately turn around when you think you had disermed me and do the opposite again. If this does not provide a messure of judgement. it cortainly does of bonor and bonorable intent. Without putting the phone down you tell me you are unalterably opposed to proceeding and simulteneously tell Jim you see no good resson why he should not! Then you come back on the phone to me and tell me the some thing all over again, we should proceed. You sure wented to be certain I got the message, diam t you?

Without carrying this further, which is possible, I tell you I think
it makes a persussive argument that you are, indeed, what you call everyone else,
an agent. I also tell you what you have often heard me say, whather or not a man
does what he does for pay is not as significant as the effect of what he does.
You have made your own record, and I shall do with it what I can. 't is not
important to me to decide whether or not you are or could be an agent. It is suffic-

icient for me to understand that if you are an agent what you have done is more hordrable, for them you will have been faithful to some trust, have done what someone had the right to expect of you.

Tou have written the most invidious part of our history. No Schiller, no Thompson, no Epstein, no Roberts of "friend" like Salinger has been able to sparouch what you have done. It may be years before this can be properly recorded, for there is constructive work to be done. Eventually, it must be.

You must get full credit. You have earned it.

Searing on whether or not you are or night be an agent is your fundification. If you are not you do meet a prime prerequisite, a psychological one. There is preference for those with paranois and a tendency for self-destriction. Like all paranoide, you can be and still not be aware, telieve any effort to so suggest is part of a tramenduous campaign in some way to "get" you. But if you are not an agent, you certainly are a very sick man. I doubt you would head my advice or that of anyons class, but if you are not an agent you are long overdue in seeking competent help. In this subject you are completely irrational, your reactions are without sense or possibility of accomplishment.

As I told you in New Orleans, these flightful things you do, regardless of what impelo them (Noe has an ept phrase about how much Okster's scout had carned Custer's trust), so unsettle me they make it impossible for me to work well for several days. If you persist in this dubious career, do no the fever of doing it without any contect at all with me.

It sickens me to write one of us as "here you. I told you I would.

I have but a single comment to edd: if you are an agent, my het is off and
my cape swirls.

Sincerely,

Herold Weisberg

Dear Bud,

Although you know and have known my opinion of vince for some time, I never drawmed he was capable of this treachery, to have had me listen in on his end of his conversation with Garrisen and then, so rapidly, do what he could to effect the abrituar opposite.

When you get this you will know I have mailed the letter to him and to Lou Ivon for Jim. Other copies are going to Sylvie, Hock and Schoener only. The others (save those with whom Hoch will talk) are unworthy of serious consideration.

When we meet again, remind me to tell you of a good development that, fortunately, has no connection with wew Orleans.

I want to go further with what I tried to persuade you this morning. This is a crisis point for all of us. This will set back any effort to get access to what has been suppressed more than anything the government can do. I therefore feel it is urgent that we take certain immediate steps.

Whether you go any further or not, I agein ask you to at least announce that you are filing a suit for me under the freedom of information act and possibly others. If you feel that you cannot, or if you later feel that you should not go further, I will have had time to try and get another lawyer. It is possible this inexcuseable thing may make that easier. But this announcement coming on the heels of Garrison's abdication will keep all of us a little further from his brush. I have exhausted my administrative remedies, more than once, and satisfy that prerequisite. I also have a very broad area I can cover, of which the pictures and X-rays are but one. The others are without justification, have no fictitious contract to support them or give the government the possibility of invoking. It will be very had for all of us if we stop here, leaving the record Gerrison has made. It will also make things more difficult, encourage the government to do more of the same.

If you will recall how much I wanted us to gold a press conference on the filing of the brief and consider what we might have accomplished with a little attention that was then possible, you can perhaps better understand my urgent desire that we hold one now. This new Garrison thing will focus attention on any press conference keyed to it. With what I can then disclose, we do have a chance of coming out of this rather well and getting a few telling points accross. If we do not do this we join Garrison, become part of what he has done. Had I the capability of doing this alone, I would. It presents a number of possible if not probable developments. One, with the judge as interested as he seems to be, with a little attention in the media, should he be so disposed, he might harken back to what I did get in the record, that he had been lied to deceived and imposed upon, that the papers filed do not say whathhe was disputed to deceived and imposed upon, that the papers filed do not say whathhe was disputed to deceived that, given the understand of how understated this was, how he had been really had, he just might get interested and do what I have always understood a judge has the power of doing under the secircumstances. At least we put him in a position to.

The criticism has often been made, somethines with truth, that the critics are tails on Garrison's kite. This is an opportunity to show our independence without in any way undercutting him. I think it is especially important for you because you have or had him on your board of directors. Now, with you his lawyer, this happens and you stand for it, you will never, otherwise, convince enjone it is not true. If he should lose or deport or conduct himself badly, you will by that be ruined. It is also the chance, then, to make it possible for your organization to have the pos-

sibility of getting started. More, however, while we have nothing to lose by a press conference that gets no attention, look how much we have to gain - and who much your committee in particular does. For you to hope to get going, you have to persuade people that you will be doing things. There is little likelihood of your coming up with what I already have and have given you free. A little attention to that and youthhave a reputation. Although it is my work, you understand that it will enure to you. I think if you understand, as I know you do, that this is the one thing that cannot do me any personal good, that can only take the edge off my writing and book(s), you understand my seriousness.

In such a press conference, save for questions that are asked, we restrict ourselves to a few narrow and comprehensible highlights. This and the new area the changed overtones put us in, make it possible for other things to flow. For exemple, right now, this very minute, we have a formula that eliminates all the political liability to the new administration by making it a Clark rather than a Warren or Commission matter. With five of the seven members Republicans, Nixon is not about to clobber the Commission, now or later. If the wolves have to be fed, they can right now be fed the expendables. In fact, there is now, for probably the only time, the chance that the new administration and particularly the new Attorney General, not to be hurt and able to get what they might regard as a benefit.

In a different area, in the political area, we are now where we were in court when Numa got that call. Please do not have us in a couple of days or weeks look back with regret. We can now apply intellectual judo and turn all that heft that will be applied against us pack where it came. We will not spon be able to expect a duplication.

On a selfish besis, with you trying to start a law practise, this should be helpful rather than hurtful for you. It is more comprehensible than anything we can look forward to trying to present, is so fignified and significant in content that no client you would accept would be antagonized, and others who might not know about you and be considering Weshington counsel might just be impressed, gavorably.

At this press conference we say, simply, that unlike the statement by the Attorney General, in fact, even his panel, that they "support" the Warren and autopsy reports, their work, limited and entirely inadequate as it was, destroys both. We cite as proof the suppressed knowledge that there was metal in the area of the thorax and the perjury, that there was none; the wrong location of the President's wound, of which we say it cannot on any ground be excused and is fatal to the Warren Report and its solution - and that knowing this them panel and the AG were first silent and then misinformed the people (in the last significant act of the outgoing AG); that there is Still suppressed what cannot be, no question of good taste being involved (my request for the memo of transfer, made 1/20 and since never responded to despite repeated request; we allege, I think but do not insast, that the panel was convoked for other than the stated reason, and that, with quotes from Clark and panel, they did not perform their purpose and made no pretense of so doing; that the accounts of the pictures and X-rays do not stack up-at the wary least some are missing and more, we can now account for more than they say they took. The shell game with the pictures should get attention ... In addition to everything else, this gives us a chance to reach the remaining Kennedy people, possibly to turn some on. It is certainly the only chance we can expect to get a message to Teddy - and I want to .... Gotta get back to other work. Please see it my way and try. I essure you you will regret it soon if you do not.

Sincerely,

Warold Weisberg

PS brief and Xerox came today

Poer "ou,

End phoned me this morning, early, to tell us of the newest revertal. The one thing that is fixed and firm is that your office is not capable or making and sticking to a decision (nothing personal). He gave me semebody's message that he try and restrain me. That would be impossible and he will not attempt it. However, I tell you frankly that the restrain is indeed upon myself leaves me in doubt that it is the proper course. As I have tried to help you, so would i not hart you. Despite all those things that I can of justify, I am still persuaded that Clay Shaw is Clay Bertrand. With a mind not corrupted by what is with such abandonment of reason has come to be known as the "lat" for by a greater association with its practitioners, so large a perfentage of whom are less fit for decent company than a worn-out whore) than under other circumstances I would elect, this is enough for me. I would elect, this is enough for me. I would set judicial determination of fact and regret only that it has already had so inhibited, so pre-conditioned, perhaps pre-determined. I feer that what we may get may be such less than this.

Anchored is a copy of a letter I have written Vince. Hed there been more time, were I not so sick at this latest of the unanding New Orleans stupidities, perhaps I'd have written him more. If only you could have seen his insens posturings when he was here the Dunday after I was in New Orlands! He not only spoke end a cted like a two-bit Muscolini, he went through identical gesturings with his jawl He was wildly irrational, obsessed with the idea he could control Jim, had maddenly echieved en importance he had never earned and otherwise could not, so much the center-stoge actor who would milk every opportunity, strike every pose, be the truly great man of decision! I had anticipated some of what transpired, for as you know, i haw long regarded him as paramoid. To the degree I could, I propered. I even errouged for Pecht to cell me, as he was for another purpose, at a time when lines, bud and Tom Esten, who vince has brought with him, to be part of the conversation. As I knew he would, weakt spelled out exectly what falling to go sheed would meen. "e left no doubt in Vince's wind or sayone electe, that the most urgent need was to propoed without delay. "s also said that if you did not, it would and should cost you the expert witnesses, who might thereefter endanger their reputations by continued association with the office that had for so long been classoring for screen to the secret materials and tuen refused to do the very little required to got the most easentiel of them. But I did not, and I game it was not possible to, enticipate all of what Wines foundshinself capable.

If you people went to destrop yourselfes, just continue to listen to vince. I cannot think of a single thing he has done in this entire case that was not wrong. That I say in the enclose is no unkindness, believe me. His judgment is the worst - have even encountered and his personals the most presyletizing.

I prolong this interruption of work that can have some morning only because - believe, r gardless of whether or not he pays the slightest attention, Jim should have the enclosed impediately. With the situation that has some to pass, I could not care less what he does or note not do. I merely do this because I believe it required of me. I urge you to discharge your own responsibility by giving it to him as soon as you can. That then happens is his offsir and his responsibility.

Sincerely,