Insight and Outlook

Rusk Carries O

LIKE Abbe Sieves in the rench Revolution, Dean usk, as he completes his fth year as Secretary of

tate, can ount it major chieveme n t ived. But

hat he surlow? Certainly

not by grabpower ping holding nd n for dear Rusk allowed European af-



irs to fall into the hands

Under Secretary George all. He has palmed Vietam off on Defense Secreary Robert McNamara.

Latin America, once the ef of former Assistant Secetary Thomas Mann, will e no less the preserve or ne new Assistant Secretary, no less the preserve of incoln Gordon. Arthur foldberg runs the American how at the United Nations s no American Ambassador here before him.

African and Middle Eastern policy has been fashioned chiefly by Robert Komer of McGeorge Bundy's staff in the White House.

By Joseph Kraft

undy and Ambassadors verell Harriman and Llewllyn Thompson, not to menion the President, have played the creative role in relations with Russia.

Rusk, in short, is far from being an empire-builder, jealous of his bureaucratic prerogatives. On the contrary, he has the reverse kind of character. Hardworking, articulate, a master of the negotiating craft and f sticking to his last, he he hean ideal of what the tate Department in lines of its tedium

bareaucratic faculty is the culty of plugging all the

CONSIDER, for example, the Secretary's relations with Presidents Kennedy and Johnson. Both have complained of a lack of new ideas and flexibility at the State Department under Rusk. But even when he had deep reservations, the Secretary has never quarreled with policy lines dear to the White House-not on such matters as the cultivation of neutralist countries un-Mr. Kennedy, still less the heavy-handed and rgely unnecessary efforts ade by President Johnson justify what he did in the ominican Republic.

Similarly with the Congress. Thanks in large measure to the Secretary, the usually troubled course of relations between Executive and Legislature has been transformed into a cool sequestered vale of life. It is a mark of the Secretary's instinct for keeping Congressional fences mended that he was the man who priginally came up with the dea of former Sen. Henry Cabot Lodge as Ambassador n Vietnam.

With equal care, the Sec-tary has picked his way mong the bureaucratic inefields. He speaks of his lationship with the Departent of Defense as a "partrship." He has not taken e opportunity provided by the opportunity provided by the current inept leadership the Central Intelligence Agency to assert over the CIA a mastery almost everybody else thinks would be useful. As to the Department itself, Secretary Rusk has systematically cast his ot with the career diplopats who endure, even if they do not sparkle.

OBVIOUS conseuence of this kind of beavior is that nobody of any ower wants very hard for very long to oust the Secrery; hence his survival. But other side of the coin is sistance to change and innovation, an affinity for doig things, wherever possi-le, just as they were done efore. Korea, which occurred when he was Assistant Secretary of State, if not Munich, which happened when he was a young teacher of international relations, seems to represent for Rusk the quintessence of experience in foreign affairs. Accordingly, he has been slow to see the change that has come over the Communist world since the Sino-Soviet

> plit, and slower still to see he need for promoting a imilar process of change in nainland China itself.

As the war in Vietnam has ushed the China question loser and/closer to the surace of events, the Secretary as seemed more and more o dig in as a stone-waller the old policy of the 950s. And as inflexibility as bred public disparagement, he has even shown aces of qualities that seem me far removed from his ue nature—testiness and elf-righteousness.

When American policies vere sharply criticized by ormer government officials, lusk never made reply on he merits. Instead he in-

ulged himself in sour renarks about the propriety of ising information gained hrough previous governnent service for the purose of making money. Not ong ago he called in, and axed most severely, a corespondent of a foreign paer on the subject of some erticles which the correpondent had not written nd which, it turned out, ne Secretary had never had.

Perhaps the new face of Dean Rusk is only a tempoary thing. But to me anynow, it raises anew the quesion of whether, after five years of grueling service, he as not outlived his usefuless as Secretary of State

© 1966, Publishers Newspaper Syndicate