House Members Oppose Vietnam Troop Boost

to any plans for sending more Europe. Pelly said the U.S. U.S. troops to South Vietnam. anti-ballistic missile defense is One lawmaker introduced a sufficient to control Commuresolution that would put Con- nist aggression there gress on record against such a

Pa.) said consideration of his told the House the country is resolution would allow Can at a crossroads where it could gress to participate in the de-either increase its military incision-making process now believed going on within the Administration.

Reported troop increases

the 525,000 American servicemen that are programmed to be in Vietnam by June 30.

to Democrats. Rep. John An-Tonkin Resolution in August, derson (R-Iii.) told the House 1964, has Congress been given he was against sending any more troops and that the policy of "endless escalation" should make way for a new look at U.S. policy in Asia.

But Rep. Samuel Stratton (D-N.Y) said it was obvious more troops would have to be sent to Vietnam and that if they were to be at all effective "they must get over there fast"—even if it means calling

up some reserves.

Rep. Thomas Pelly (R-Wash.) said that if a decision is made to give Gen. William Westmoreland, allied commander in South Vietnam, more men, they should be

House members yesterday taken from the 30,000 U.S. began voicing their opposition troops stationed in Western

Moorhead, whose resolution tep.
Rep. William Moorhead (D. Rep. William Ryan (D.N.Y.) volvement or change its objectives and at least contain that involvement.

"This is a basic and fundaunder consideration range mental policy decision about from 50,000 to 206,000 above war and neace in which the war and peace in which the American people through their elected Representatives should participate," Moorhead Opposition was not confined said. "Not since the Gulf of