

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
WA6-2034

20734

May 10, 1936

Mr. Phil Burleson
Attorney-at-law
Dallas, Texas

Dear Mr. Burleson,

Because the press indicates you are still associated with the Ruby defense, I am herewith sending you a copy of my book, WILKINSON'S THE REPORT ON THE WARREN REPORT.

After reading his book, DALLAS JUSTICE, I wrote Mr. Balli calling the contents of page 93 to his attention. I received no reply.

I am not a lawyer, but I wonder if the testimony of a man who may have perjured himself before the Warren Commission, if not in the trial, was instrumental in Jack Ruby's conviction. If Dean committed perjury at the trial, was the conviction, as Balli indicates, made possible by this perjury?

If he was not accused of false swearing by the Commission's assistant counsel, did he not in so swearing before the Commission at the cited page, commit perjury before the Commission?

I presume you have the 26 volumes of the Warren Commission. If you do not and would like a Xeroxed copy of Dean's testimony, I'll be glad to provide it.

Sincerely yours,

Harold Weisberg