HR.

Urlet 29, postmarked 2, arr this a.m. Ltr 28 re Buckley puts it well (I'll file with TPP file). Yours on these papers vy chlpful. Which you are not telling me what I do not know, you are very much helping me find citations I will at some time want, so I'lm putting them in the new de-escalation or withdrawal file I have after the PP files. I jave marked them in red in the margin to grab my eye then.

Increasingly there seems to be a validity I did not see to Sauer's interpretation of the Monolulu conference.

The one thing it not likely any of these papers will show is JFK's self-imposed isolation from those he had to not tell what was in his mind.

I've not looked at clips yet. I lay them aside for free minutes when I'n not writing. The work is progressing fairly well, considering the interruptions. When I have finished the chapter after the one on white I'm working the thing you didn't see in your recent question of significance will, I think, be apparent.

The post has not carried three LATimes articles on JFL library, one on ass stuff not WC materials but advance memos. I hope to get copies. Told by phone. Perhaps NYT did paraphrase.

Dest.