7/2/70

Dear Howard (Dick),

The magnitude only of the discrepancies only surprises me oof the comparison of the medical data I asked you to make. I fully expected this, which is why I wanted it. I had done and misleid the holograph-typed proctocol comparison, way back in 1964, when the stuff was first available.

I ask that you make no distribution further than Dick and that both of you keep absolutely quiet about it. Of course, if it fits in the writing for the book, by all means use it there, but not in any way at all public and not in any correspondence. I'd rather it not be known and understood in advance of using it in court, where I might want to use you as a witness and to introduce it into evidence. I would certainly want to use it as a basis of examinations, the reason I asked that you make the study.

It was obvious there had to be more notes, that there were more notes, and I already have extensive notes on the proofs and evidences that there were, by whom, etc., only partly disclosed in my Archives correspondence.

I would be happier and this would all fit into a more appropriate context if I could get the perjurers to commit that crime all over again, and to this end silence is quintessential, so I hope both of you will be ultra-discrete.

If you could not read the scrawled note on one of the Thermofaxes, it is this: unless I ask for the return of something, it is for you to keep. Where I can Thermofax with any degree of legibility, I will do that because I have about 500 sheets of T paper, and it costs but two cents a sheet. The problem is with my very old machine, which doesn't hold and adjustment (cost: $15), so it tends to expose too heavily in the center and too little toward the edges. Narrow stuff can come out okay, if my Kentucky windage on the adjustment is close. I will try and do all the government correspondence this way so you can index it at liesure and be in a position to make tacit but undisclosed use of it yourself.

Hang onto all the copies of the comparison, esp the original, which would be theone to use in court. If you make notes on a copy, use a carbon, please.

As you suggested, I read the summary only. I have no doubt of the accuracy with which it reflects the comparison.

Many thnaks. Good thing to have done.

Sincerely,