7/2/70

Dear Harold,

Your mailing of 7/1 miraculously arrived today. I appreciate your comments as does my family, and we are all glad that we could be of some help.I'll order other copies of those letters from A.

On your suggestions to Mary, Paul, etc. on A mail, you may be interested in some of my own, such as with the shirt. They told me they would make it available to the FBI to have the slits examined at my request (FBI refused, as you know). However, if they could make it available to FBI, why not to anyone else?

As for the enclosed letter to Longbottom from VA medical examiner, I'm at a loss to understand the significance. Also, I cannot understand what you have written on this in red.

Thanks for the Ray case clip. Enclosed are some clippings on DJ. Keep these. I include the one about the DJ investigation of the Nazi threat (only done at the asking of one named in the threat) as an example of DJ bias. When Panther David Hilliard says Nixon should be shot if he keeps his crap up, he is arrested for threatening the Pres' life (Isn't it just wonderful to know we have a DJ so concerned over Presidential assassination?). When the Nazi's make an overt threat, there is a belated "investigation." Same goes for good ol' Mrs. Mitchell whose request that Fulbright be crucified should have gotten her arrested on a number of counts.

And with so little time, I had to waste an hour last night listening to "Tricky Dick" tell us all he wants peace so bad he'll annihilate the world to get it, reading the old stars and stripes raz-mataz about the domino theory and the greatest enemy of man--Communism--off XXX his cuff. And instead of loaded questions we get loaded questioners. "Was the Cambodia invasion a complete success or an overwhelming success?" would have been the most critical question of the night. ARRRGH!! Sometimes it really gets to me.

Our friend Dr. Fisher sent me a package of venom today which arrived miraculously without any stamp or postmark! Since it may be a little while before I can get a Xerox, here is the text of his letter:

> "Your letter of June 15 is at hand. Its contents and tone leave me no option but to terminate this dialogue. Suffice it to say once more--that the Panel report supports the findings of the Warren Commission in all substantial XXXXXXX respects. Kindly do not favor me with a reply."

He gets worse each time. He exaggerates in calling my monologue a "dialogue." The bit about supporting WC in <u>all</u> substantial respects is just too much. I never knew that the pix and X-rays supported the contention that LHO and Ruby did not know each other, that LHO killed Tippit, etc. I currently see no reason to respond to this insult. Taken with my letter of 6/15 (which you have), he has in essence admitted that my charges are true.

Must go now.

Best,

Howard

cc Dick