

Dear Paul,

5/29/76

From the midst of a satisfying disorder an update on a possibility that probably will make no difference in your efforts. I have a deal cooking with The National Enquirer that at the least should yield enough to finish paying the roofer and at most may lead to some support and help.

They have a sensational exclusive that should coincide with the appearance of the Hart-Schweiker report. It will address the Hart line with as much effectiveness as can be expected of that paper. There is no report back on whether they will go for the other proposals I've made.

If it does not work out now I suspect there may be possibilities in the future. I'll hope and try, anyway, because there just is no responsible opportunity elsewhere. I have a plan in mind. And inside allies.

The confusion comes from the beginning of an effort to end so much of it. You'll remember the stuff just stacked in my office and Lil's. I began at attack that in her's during the tax season, when she could do nothing about it and the carpenter we hired got a job and didn't return. Instead of the home-made desk convenient when I made it and inconvenient now I have a regular metal office desk with a formica top that may make my scrawl a little less illegible because plywood grain won't distort it more. In facing the opposite side of the desk with two-drawer file cabinets I have gone only 10" more into the scant floor space of the office and will not block the still-invisible air conditioner that sometimes I do need. I had to have some legal-size space and now have four drawers of that. There is more than enough space for the ~~intuz~~ letter-sized two-drawer one I now have there. Until there is further straightening up I don't know whether as I hope I can get a second two-drawer letter-sized cabinet there. I have it, from the "desk" and hope to find a way to use it without too much cramping and blocking of air circulation. In any event, I have at least six added file drawers of space, a more convenient desk and a plan for reducing the inconvenience of filing in the lowest drawers in the line of seven file cabinets along the wall. Using a seat to get into the lowest drawer when cramped by the desk is awkward and means to have to look sideways. I plan to convert all the lowest drawers into storage space, which I also need. Aside from the possible two-drawer setup not yet in I'll pick up close to this much space by eliminating the folding over of legal-size papers. So, although I still can't get into the drawers on the right side of the desk, I feel good about it. I'll nibble away at restoring order when I can. In one day I got to where I can work again.

And with two half-sized two-drawer cabinet released by the improving of Lil's situation I was able to pay a college kid for a day's work here. He'd have done it free and we could have sued those cabinets for cellar storage but he also needs them and can't afford them. He did a good day's work despite his fascination with my materials, which he read until 3 a.m. the previous morning and on his breaks from labor to which he is not accustomed. He moved all the flagstones and bricks you may remember were stacked up where I was making flower beds for Lil and put some shelves up in the cellar so I can get supplies off the floor and hopefully can begin straightening out that mess, too. And in this reorganization I have four more drawers of space in the cellar for dead storage. I need that for my pre-World War II files alone. They are almost inaccessible now.

What I've sold the Enquirer will pay back the \$250 this cost, which came from what I was to pay the roofer, and with luck the balance of what we'll owe him when he has finished the job. (He'd agreed to wait for half until the end of the year so we have no new money pressures. Without tapping the reserve laid aside for Lil's new typewriter, on which we signed the contract yesterday.)

Once again what I've found is the obvious that so many others have missed. It is in the newly-released CIA stuff that of all people Belin first asked for. He has to be really psycho to have been so stupid and blind. With what my Enquirer friend has already done we have a double suppression story, including particularly Belin and the Rockefeller Commission in what totally destroys the Warren Report. I have it complete with the covering letter to the Rockefeller Commission.



This is a lily each petal of which cries out for gilding. A minor but gratifying one affirms my own analysis of 1964, published 1965. I'll tell you what this is for your own understanding and so you'll know in what you are trying to do but I do not want it told because I don't want the Enquirer to be scooped.

It is the National Photographic Intelligence (or Interpretation) Center's analysis of the Zapruder film. That is part of CIA. It says, without accounting for the missed shot, that the first shot hit Kennedy - at a time Oswald could not have fired it, or anyone else from that window - the second hit Connally and the third Kennedy. At the least this means two shooters and a conspiracy. They also examine possible variations. Each one is destructive also, making the official story impossible.

This is the original handwritten work. The claim not to have anything else. It is undated. The CIA has confirmed that it was done within two days of the assassination. This is at a time when it did not, officially, have a copy of the film. They pretended in December 1964, after the Report, that they had none.

I've been working with a friend who is one of their retired editors, the one who until his retirement had been their JFK specialist. He saw the stuff here. He visited after you did. They went for his account, I've sent them copies, and even Pope is excited. There is no reasonable way around this evidence which appears not to have been given to the Warren Commission and was suppressed by the Rockefeller Commission. It establishes (not for the first time-I've done it twice before) that all the executive agencies knew there ~~was~~ was a conspiracy and were part of the faking.

My only present concerns are the risk entailed in their seeking of quotes. If they are listening to me they are playing this the safest way. The Belins now have much to worry about. They also have press access. And if some stunt is pulled it will reduce what I'll get and the roofer may not be happy!

My proposal has two parts. First they restrict themselves to the completely responsible on these stories and that there be a series of them, a sort of campaign. The second is they have some sort of foundation setup for me in return for which they have first turndown on everything and first-time use rights. Pope has done this with UFOs. He now seems to be seeking some prestige for his sheet.

As part of the first I've suggested that with each major story for which there is documentation he arranges for a Washington press conference in which, coinciding with his publication, I give copies to the rest of the press. Among the possible benefits are pressure on officials and a competitive press situation which may encourage major uses.

I think there may already be a second story from this one visit. My friend is working on it. This has to do with Pope's purpose in sending him here. The general concept is what was withheld from the Warren Commission.

I have no doubt there are many other stories suitable for the Enquirer's readers, who require simplicity and comprehensibility.

Working with two-way reporters is productive and a joy. I have Les Payne on something that can be significant in the Ray part of the King case. He checks with me and I can feed back. He came onto something the potential of which he had no way of knowing. Lesar thinks that is established it is enough to go back to 6th circuit for a rehearing. His own deadline for a petition cert to the Supreme Court is to begin by 6/5 if Ray says do it, so there is time, all next week. I've suggested how to Les and he has started. By the way, I'd like all the information you may have without checking on those who produced "Walking Tall." It can be helpful.

So, maybe there is a little good news and maybe a possibility of truning the crookedness in what is up around. The newest of this is another anti-JFK line that serves CIA ends. Lesar saw in in last night's Star, syndicated by Szulc/New Republic.

Best,