Mr. Joe Riley
4051 Francis Drive
Silverdale, WA 98383

6/12/95

Dear Mr. Riley,

I appreciate your letter and its enclosure.

I've read the letter but I'm letting your paper wait until I am less tired and do not have too many other things in my mind.

Today was one of the four I'm driven to Johns Hopkin for consultation and examinations yearly and they do tire me now.

Without regard for the autopsy film I've always believed that there were two shots to the head of which one was from the front. I indicted this in WhitewashII and then fearing reaction against it, underwrote it quite a bit. But I did carry that forward in Post Mortem and more in my current NEVER AGAIN! Which is now in the stores.

What I found beyond question is never mentioned, not even after I published it, those 40 dust-like fragments. Impossible for military ammo. Their dispersal is consistent with front entry.

I have never believed that there was any alteration of the body or of the autopsy film.

I do appreciate your letter and I do thank you for it.

Sincerely,

Harold

Joe Riley
4851 Francis Drive
Silverdale, WA 98383
(360) 698-1619

June 07, 1995

Mr. Harold Weisberg
Route 12 -- Old Receiver Road
Frederick, MD 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

I do not wish to take up a great deal of your time so I shall try to be brief and to the point. I am writing for two reasons, the first of which is far more important to me.

First, I simply wanted to express my great respect and profound admiration for your work. It is some consolation to know that future historians will know that not everyone was fooled. It is citizen-patriots such as yourself that demonstrate that which is good and worth fighting for in this country.

My second reason is more difficult to explain briefly. I am sure that you have been presented with an extraordinary number of claims and theories in the past and I am reluctant to present you with the enclosed information. However, your knowledge of the autopsy evidence may make you uniquely qualified to evaluate what I have enclosed. In brief, I believe there is a rational explanation of the autopsy evidence without invoking bizarre theories of body alteration or forgery of the evidence. For your information, though I do not ascribe to the "cult of expertise", I have a Ph.D. in Neuroscience, specializing in neuroanatomy and experimental neuropathology.

I do not wish to burden you with a request for your comments on the enclosed; it is simply for your information. If I am correct, however, I am sure that you will appreciate some of the reasons for various "odd" interpretations and comments in the various official studies of the autopsy materials.

Once again, my major reason for writing is to express my thanks to you for all that you have done in helping all Americans understand the truth of our history. You have my deepest respect and my very best wishes.

Joe Riley