Saturday night the prime minister of the Revolutionary Government and first Secretary of PURSC, commander Fidel Castro, gave a comparison by television and radip orientated to the public of the happening where the President of the United States, John F. Kennedy, lost his life and, the infamous campaign of hysterics brought by reactionary elements, warfare, in relation with this happening.

Continuing, we offer the version of the pronouncements of the maximum leader of our Revolution.

Department of Versions of the Revolutionary Government

Luis Gomez Wanguemert-Good evening ladies and gentlemen:

The Commander Fidel Castro, prime minister of the Revolutionary Government and first general Secretary of the United Party of the Socialistic Revolution of Cuba, is going to talk to the country through radio and television of actual happenings.

Dr. Fidel Castro Ruz: Always whenever anything transcendental has occurred, nationally and internationally, we have esteemed the convenience of talking to the country to express our opinions, and in each of these circumstances express also the orientation of the Government, the orientation of our Party, and for each one of us to know what attitude we must adopt in front of each of these situations.

It is true that we are somewhat accustomed to some unforseen incident, important incidents, serious incidents, because since the triumph of the Revolution our country has had to confront a series of problems, a series of situations, that have unnerved the country to be able to go on with the Revolution

Analysis: Objective and Serene

That is why, having the assassination of the President of the United States, which took place yesterday, in mind, for the effects that this may have, and for the paper, the role that the Northamerican nation plays in the problems of international politics, that is why we think that we should make an analysis, especially objective and serene, of the possible consecuences.

The Government of the United States , in Eisenhower's Administration as well as in Kennedy's, didn't pratice a policy of friendlyness towards us. The policy of both Administrations was characterized by their aggressive spirit, hostile and implacable towards our country.

Our country was a victim of economical aggression, tendencies to provoke the ruin of our economy and the hunger of our country; it was a victim of all sorts of attacks, attacks that cost blood; hundreds of our comrades have lost their lives defending us from the attacks of the imperialistic Yankee. And not only that, their hostility and their aggression towards our country brought us almost to the brink of war, which,fortunately we were able to avoid; they brought the world almost to a nuclear war. And still when they didn't contemplate that alternative like the crisis of October, whith the invasion of Giron, we are all perfectly conscience that had they finished the plans that they planned against our country, that is to say, if the imperialism had been able to establish a part of the beach on our coast, that fight would have costed dozens of thousands until-maybe-hundreds of thousands of our countrymen's lives.

The Assassination Serious and Bad News

We have been a victim of constant hostility brought on by the United States. And between the government and the diligent men of the U.S., it fell on Kennedy an important responsibility of these acts.

Still, the news of the assassination of the President of the United States is very serious and bad news. We must analyze it well in order to comprehend it; above all, analyze it serenly, without feelings, it is like the revolutionary that we must analyze these things.

Bad news, leaving to one side the human problem, where always the sensebility of man, of any man, he feels afected in front of an act of that kind, in front of a crime or assassination; I mean, laying aside the questions, I always react-and I am sure that that is the reaction of the majority of the people in this world. We always react with repulsion in front of assassinations and in front of crime.

We cannot consider it as a correct weapon with which to fight with, we must not. And above all, in the conditions that are produced, because-like everything else-we have to circumscribe the environment, the scene, the circumstance.

An Unjustifiable Act

It sometimes happens, in the middle of a civil war, in the middle of a vicious represion, where the revolutionaries are obliged to defend themselves, where they are obliged to kill to defend themselves. And another environment, another circumstance, in a normal situation, of peace, it's always an act of this kind that is not justifiable.

With the circumstances that surround the assassination of the President of the United States , we feel that there is no justification.

But analyzing the question from the political standpoint, objectively, I was saying that it was a serious and bad news.

And some will ask: why?, why exactly the Cubans, who have received so much trouble from the Americans from the Administration of Kennedy, how can they say that it is bad news, how can they have such an attitude with this news.

We Don't Hate Men; We Hate The Establishments

It is that the Cubans, in the first place, must act like revolutionaries. In the second place, the Cubans as conscientious revolutionaries must not confuse the establishments with the men. And we have to start by considering that we do not hate men, we hate the systems.

We hate the imperialistic system, the capitalistic system. But that doesn't mean we hate men as such, like individuals that are a part of a machine, that are a part, more or less, of a system.

For that reason, we must not confuse the hate towards the system with the sentiment that we must have towards man, which is a distinct sentiment, it is not a feeling of hate, and much less a feeling of hate that in this case would be a feeling of bad taste.

As marxists-leninists we know that the part of men is a part related to each historic age in each society, in each determined moment. And we must know the part men play in each society. And above all, one question of elementary principle: we don't hate men, we hate the systems.

We would become happy by the end of one system; the disappearance of a system will always be a cause for jubilee. The triumph of a revolution will always be a cause for jubilee.

The death of a man, although this man is our enemy, shouldn't cause us any jubilee. In the first place, for a question of principle, that should be our attitude. And furthermore, another thing which is very

characteristic of us the Cubans, the Latins, the Spanishamericans-whatever we are, which is a mixed race with a determined idiosyncrasy-, always in front of death we cease our beligerance, we are inclined to respect eventhough it is our enemy.

I was saying an act of this kind can have negative aspects for the interests of our country. It is not about the interests of this country , but about the interests of the whole world. We must know how to put the interests of humanity above the interests of our country.

We consider that the intemsts of humanity is a negative act. I am going to explain why.

Undefendable the Politics of the United States

In certain internation political situations, at a given time, there can be bad situations and worse situations. The death of Kennedy has all the perspectives that are derived from a bad situation, a worse situation; it has the possibility that from a determined situation unfolds another situastion that could be highly prejudiced for the interests of the peace, for the interests of humanity.

Why? Maybe because we think that the United States maintains in the international order figur politically defendable? No. The international politics of the United States cannot defend itself. Its policy of aggresion, imperialism, violation of the rights of other nations, intervention of the internal affairs of other countries, domination, represion, blood, aliance with the most reactionary sections of the world, participation of bloody wars against countries fighting for their liberation, like in South Viet Nam, its attitude towards Latin America, its attitude towards us,finally, its international politics is not in any way defendable in any normal way.

In American society and inside the politics of the United States there are elements of a politic much more reactionary, of a politic much more aggresive, of a politic much more warlike. That is to say, inside a certain situation you have classes, and inside one bad politic you have classes: from bad, to worse, to worst.

And still in bad politics you can have more negative aspects and aspects less negative, and inclusive there can exist positive aspects that in its place can be bad.

And all the circumstances of the internal politics of the U.S. , of the internal fists for the power in the U.S., the assassination of President Kennedy tends to convert the policy of the United States in a worse politic and add the bad things of the politic of the United States.

We can say that there are elements inside the U.S. that defends a poltic ultra-reactionary in all fields, so much in the internal politics as in the internaltional. And those are the only benefits of what happened yesterday in the United States.

Why? In the United States there has unrolled a series of forces, a series of organizations that are very powerful in the Northamerican society they are influenced by the big interests of the United States, and there is no doubt that a President with the maximum authority in this situation.

A President is a political man, that should take into account numerous factors, advice, opinions, influences of opinion, in general an attitude from those that are not politicians by proffesions-we could say- if he has another proffesion, other interests, and who has political reactions that are always the worst reactions.

Collision of the Politics and Military

In the United States there is a series of powerful forces: economical, political, and military. Many of these forces have a certain policy; and we have talked about this problem, of the collision, for example, the current politicians of the State Department with the current militarists of the Pentagon; many times we have seen the manifestation of that fight in Latin Amrica, like there are some in the United States that the politicians from the military's hits, above all current militarists; and since there are current politicians that defend other types of politics-it is not a good policy-much less-it is a reactionary policy, pro-imperialistic,

Kennedy Had Authority Within the United States

Unquestionablly when there is an authority in the United States, strong authority, the dangers that are derived from a series of current reactionaries in the powerful organizations of the U.S., are much less than when there doesnot exist that authority. And without a doubt of any kind, Kennedy had that authority in the United States.

And suddenly a new situation is created, from a President that from circumstances occupies the power, that is to say, being Vice President of the country and by an unforseen circumstance is President, independent of whatever his character- because here it is not a question of his personal character, but of the circumstances- he doesn't come into power with the same authority as did President Kennedy.

In the United States there are people who are reactionaries, racists, that is to say contraries of the civil and social rights of the black population, people of the Ku-Klux-Kan, people who hang, who use dogs, who hate the black citizens of the United States, who feel a hate, people who are, naturally ultra-reactionaries.

There are in the United States economical forces, powerful economical forces, equally ultra-reactionary, who have in all the international positions a situation completely reactionary; there is in the United States forces of a major intervention of the U.S. on the international problems; of a major employment of the military force of the United States in the international problems; there are forces for exapmle, in the U.S. of direct invasion against our country.

There is in the United States forces with the application of drastic measures against any government that assumes the slightest degree of a nationalistic character, of economic character in benefit of the countries.

And, finally, there is a series of sectors that can think of only one conception: the ultra-right of the United States, the ultra-reaction of the United States, and that this ultra-reaction, in each and every one of the problems-internal and external-of the United States, is of the worst , of the most aggressive politics, the most dangerous for the peace, and the most adventerous.

In the United States there are also liberal more liberal, more advanced, less advanced; there are men who are more radical, others more moderate; there are certain intellectual sectors who are not constantly thinking about force, who are thinking of diplomacy, instead of force, which has a policy less aggressive, which has a policy more moderate.

That is to say that in the United States there is a of the political thought, that go to the men on the extreme right, the men on the extreme left, the men who are situated more on the left in political thinking.

And in this situation, a variety of opinions, of more or less moderate actions; there are liberal people, the intellectual sections of the United States, who understand the errors of American politics, who do not agree with many of the things the United States has done in international politics.

And what happened yesterday can only benefit the extreme rightest and the ultra-reactionists, in which President Kennedy is not included, and the men who colaborated with him. You could not place him as an exrteme reactionist or extreme rightest.

And still in the situation in the United States, in politics, which together is undefendable, Kennedy was strongly attacked by the reactionists, and by people who wanted war.

You will remember on the eve of the crisis of October, there was a campaign including laws and resolutions of Congress, pushing the Kennedy Administration to go to war.

All the world will remember that we, in other occasions, have said that one of the errors of the Kennedy Administration about Cuba, was to play with its enemy. For example, take the plans of invasion against us which the Republican Administration had organized.

Politics of

All this gave place to what in the United States produced politics of by the Republicans. That is to say that Kennedy put Cuba in the hands of the Republicans. How? By following the aggresive policies of the Republicans, and utilizing it as a political weapon against him.

But in powerful campaigns of force, powerful movements inside of Congress pressing the Administration for a more powerful policy against us. And finally all of these factors and all of these forces who were the extremes of the most radical of the rightests of the United States, they fought Ken-

nedy precisely in those things that did not coincide with the aggressive policy that these sectores wanted.

Now we have a series of things that were motives of constant critics of the ultra-rightests. For example, the problem of Cuba, the compromise, of not invading Cuba, was one of Kennedy's political points that was constantly being attacked by the ultra-reactionists. The agreement about the ceasing of nuclear testing was another point much attacked in the United States, which the ultra-reactionists strongly opposed. They didn't want any agreement to be made of this point.

All the world knows what our position was in this problem, all the world knows also for what reasons we obeyed our position, for how much we considered a step of advancement that we could-if we kept on going-that is to say, that could mark the initiative of a policy in favor of the real peace, in favor of real disarmament; but with respect to us, this policy was never applied. Because meanwhile they subscribed this Agreement of the ceasing of nuclear testing, they accentuated the aggressive policy towards us.

But we are not analyzing now the problem of what happened to us, but what would happen to the world, and above all, of what one would do, and what another would think in the United States. That is to say in the United States there would be many sectores, many ultra-reactionary elements that would start a strong campaign against the Agreement of the ceasing of nuclear testing.

Opposition of the Anti-Racial Policy of Kennedy

There are other elements, sectores in the United States that, for example, that oppose strongly against legislation for civil rights that Kennedy proposed about the negro problem in the United States.

Not that it would be a revolutionary legislation, not that it would be a big strain, because it has not happened in the United States in favor of equality and in favor of civil rights, and above all in favor of the rights of the negros of the United States; but however you want it, it was a legislation that had a series of measures that, from the legal standpoint protected the negro's rights.

And above all a series of points of the international policy. There is in the United States certain elements that are parts of the prevention of nuclear war; that is to say,
the nuclear war, because they think that that is the policy that they must have in the United States, reactionary elements, neofacists, without any consideration towards the countries or human interest.

And this is a rigorous objective; there are types of capitalists, types of reactionists. And there is no doubt, for example, that the worst type of capitalism was the Nazi, the worst type of imperialism was the Nazi, and the most criminal mentality, was the mentality of the imperialism in the form of Nazism. There are series of classes in this question.

Analyzing everything objectively, from the moment a strong authority falters, an authority personally attacked, in the situations, in the forms, and in the conditions where the policies of the U.S. elaborate, all these reactionary forces can find a magnificent opportunity-

This is analyzing automatically the results of that act. Independantly another aspect of the question: What is behind the assassination of Kennedy? What were the real motives of the assassination of Kennedy? What forces, factors, circumstances, were behind the unexpected act, insolant, happening of yesterday? A news that surprised absolutely everybody in the world; some-

thing, possibly, that had never passed through anybody's mind.

At this moment, this is not absolutely clear, at the moment there are many things in the dark and many things that are confused, about what happened to Kennedy.

And there are some things that are evidentely symptoms of what I was saying before: that the reactionary forces are erupting inside the United States.

Hysterics Against the URSS and Cuba

For example, the worst symptom is the advantage they are taking of it, to bring in the United States a state of hysterics anti-soviet and anti-Cuban; that in the first place. I mean that the new Administration that is taking possession, could find themselves in a situation of hysterics in the U.S. precisely by the sectors that are the most reactionary of the country, by the most reactionary newspaper in the country.

They are already combining to from public opinion in the United States, and the worst character it has, is that they are campaigning of the spirit of "McCarthyism" of the spirit of anti-comunism.

When President Kennedy was assassinated, what passed through most people's mind-and surely through the minds of the majority of the North American citizens, and it was logical that it should happen-was that the assassination was the work of some unconformists with his international policy; with his policy of the Nuclear Pact, with his policy with respect to Cuba,-that they didn't consider it sufficiently aggressive, and they considered it weak-, with his internal civil problems' policy. Not too many days ago the representative of the United States, Stevenson, was attacked by ultra-conservative elements of the "John Birch" Association. This was brought to the attention to the whole world

They also thought: To what degree of reaction will these people come to, that consider that Stevenson deserves to be attacked for international policy.

As reactionary as the international policy of the United States has been, there are elements that attach physically to Stevenson, for considering that this policy is weak, that it is a bad policy, that it is not sufficiently reactionary.

This paased through the minds of the whole world. Did it pass through any leftist's mind? No, that didn't pass through anyone's mind. Why? Because the polemic today, in the U.S., the furious polemic is liberating itself between the most ultra-reactionary elements, the most rightest elements, and the most moderate elements in the policy of the United States.

You don't characterize the internal polemic for the of the communists of the United States with the Government of the United States, This doesn't mean that the left elements helped the policy of Kennedy; but the fight, the battle, was liberating itslef in the U.S. between the extreme right, the extreme reaction, and the most moderate elements, in Parliament, in the newspaper, in the streets, everywhere.

The international tension had gone down considerably in the last months. They weren't the months of the crisis of October, nor the posterior months of the crisis of October, nor were they the months when the cold war was more intently called the cold war-that many times it came close to the hot war-. No, there wasn't in the United States the time of "McCartyism" which was characterized by the persecution of the most progressive elements of the United States.

That is why there was no logic, no feeling, that nobody would feel it was a fanatic of the left; if any a fanatic of the right, if any fanatic at

all.

But it was very difficult that after such an insolent incident, such unscrupulous people-like many North American politicians are-,such inmoral people, so dishonest and so unashamed, like many of these elements that represent the reactionary sectores are, cynics of the United States, warriors; irreconcilable enemies of Cuba, participants of the invasion of Cuba, although this costs a nuclear war, it was difficult for them not to take this opportunity to show their hate, all their propaganda, and all their campaign against Cuba. That is not strange, I was saying before that we are a little acustomed to these things. The fight, the life,to our country has made our country a nerve of steel and a serene country. We have passed the cyclone, and we have fronted the test with dignity and honorably; we have fronted many tests with dignity and honorably. Over the assassinated President's blood, there are enough people without scrupuls to start to elaborate immidiately an aggressive policy against Cuba. There is no doubt that this policy is erupting while the blood of their own assassinated President is still warm.

They are people who do not have any morals, who do not have any scrupuls, nor who are ashamed; maybe they think that they can take us unexpectedly, unmorilized, weak,this is what the imperialists erroneously think.

The Lying Campaign of the UPI

And effectively, yesterday at two in the afternoon, first cable: November 22, UPI..."

Why observs this: of the telegraph agencies, there is one that has been more moderate, more objective- the AP-, and there is one that has lied unashamedly of a policy against Cuba, which is the UPI. But it is not only that; there has been before a series of information of the UPI, very interesting, and a series of campaigns against President Kennedy, that puts it with the

current ultra-reactionaries, interested in getting the party out of this situation for their political adventure, or because they are responsible for the assassination of President Kennedy.

And here we can see it very clearly in these cables:

"Dallas, November 22, UPI,- The police held today Lee H. Oswald, identified as President of the "Committee of Fair Play with Cuba" as first suspect of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy."

Immediately Cuba, and immediately the Soviet Union. They have started a campaign anti-soviet and anti-cuban.

Cable: "The American Embassy confirmed today that Lee H. Oswald was in Russia. A Representative said that Oswald visited the Embassy on November of 1959 and according to information left the Soviet Union in 1962. He added that he ignored it when the suspect of the assassination of President Kennedy had visited Russia, what was the purpose of the visit, and how long his visit lasted. There was information without any conformation that Oswald solicited the Soviet citizenship, but did not obtain it."

From the first cables they are trying to insinuate a responsiblity for the Soviet Union and a responsibility for the Cubans, if they want to believe us, let them, it is the least they can do; maybe they think that we are exalted, maybe they think that they have done us much harm. But insinuate that the Soviet Union could have had some responsibility for this incident, can anybody believe it? Insinuate that we can have it, can you believe anybody?

It was the first thing they tried to insinuate. Take heed to this cable: "they didn't know the purpose of his visit and for how long he was in Russia." That was the first insinuation, and this is what made it look suspicious

the most unexpected thing happened, as unexpected as the assassination itself- which was that a suspect was found right away- by chance- he had gone to Russia; and -by chance- he was related with a Committee for Fair Treatment for Cuba. This is what they started to say.

Immediately there appeared a guilty person; a person who had been in the Soviet Union, a person who sympathized with Cuba.

They Persist in a Campaign of Hysterics

Although it is extremely hard to fabricate a package of this nature, it is possible that at the moment they are not persuing this objective, they are persuing another objective. They are persuing a campaign of hysterics, anti-comunist hysterics, antiprogressive, anti-liberal, anti-soviet, anti-cuban. An adventurous hysterics, reactionary, in the United States; because if they had any responsibility any of seriousness or of good faith, they wouldn't have a campaign of this kind like the one they have.

We are going to read this: "November 22, UPI.-The assassin of President Kennedy is a marxist, he confessed that he passed three years in Russia trying to denounce his American citizenship, but later he changed his mind and returned to the United States with his passage paid for by the United States.

Already an insinuation towards the Soviet Union.

"He was identified as Lee H. Oswald, 24 years old, ex-Marine and President of a "Committee of Fair Play with Cuba."

Immediately after the imputation against Cuba. And that is how all the cables are headlined, All of UPI's, all the informations; behind the information they have repeated twenty times the same ideas the same things, using a very well known technique where they are able to insinuate what they want

to insinuate, to difame the Cuban Revolution, to difame the Soviet Union, to create hysterics against our country.

It says: "Oswald was captured when he tried to hold a battle by gun when he hid in a movie house..."

There were a thousand information about this, many of them contradictory.

"...The police said that Oswald worked in the store of school books for Texas...After the crime the police found in the building a "mauser" gun, etc... It says where he was born, it says that on the 30th of October he appeared in the American Embassy in Moscow, the 30th of October of 1959,telling them that he wanted to denounce his citizenship.

"According he informed, he told the American Embassy: " I am a Marxist." The FBI confirmed that Oswald went to Russia and solicited a Soviet citizenship.

"Oswald told the Embassy that he proposed to communicate with the Russian authorities " all that he knew of the three years that he was in the Marines."

The Embassy said that Russia never gave Oswald the citizenship that he had asked for.

Because they have on their hands a suspect, real or false, they have fabricated their suspect. You will see the course that will follow this campaign.

"He told the people at the Embassy that he proposed to reveal all the secrets that he knew." Well, I shall be referring to this.

In February of 1962 Oswald apparently changed his mind and returned to the United States. In the meantime he had married a Russian, Marina Nicholaevna, employed at the Hospital at Minsk, where she had her baby. This man, whom they accuse of more than just deserting, spying, of confessing that he is going to give military secrets away, returns tranquily to the United States-

According to they themselves.

It says: "The Embassy revised his case and since he did not get the Soviet citizenship they gave him a passport for the United States.

One returns easily to the United States who has said that he is going to give military secrets away, without imprisoning him, without judgeing him?

It says: "The Government shows that he left Moscow with $485 for spending money which he gave to the U.S. Government.

"This year Oswald solicited another passport. He told the State Department that he wanted to visit England, France, Germany, Holland, Finland, Italy, and the Soviet Union; he was planning to take the trip in October of 1963 or December, or January of 1964. The passport was given in New Orleans the 25th of June; but they don't know whether Oswald paid back the money for his first trip to return to the States.

"If he hadn't paid, they shouldn't have given him a new passport"- that is what they say. We are going to use their own information.

"Dallas, November 22- another cable-. The President of the United States, John F. Kennedy, died today from an assassin's bullets. The police held for being the principle suspect, a Northamerican "castrista" (one who favors Castro)...

Now it turns out that the man who killed Kennedy is a "castrista." We know that there very few "castristas"-what they call "castristas"- in the United States. They call "castristas" everything which is convenient for their propaganda.

Now we find out that yesterday he was a Fair Treatment- in the first cable-, he was a Northamerican "castrista" that on one occassion had tried to become a Soviet citizen. These are how all the cables are, you will see.

"...Kennedy was 46 years old...he was mortally wounded..." At last that

is what the cable was for.

Another cable: "Dallas, November 22, UPI:- The police jailed Lee H. Oswald today, a marxist a party of the Cuban Prime Minister Fidel Castro."

There is not one cable where they do not associate the incident, the name of the individual whom they are sure is the assassin, with the Cuban Revolution, with the Soviet Union, with Fidel Castro; "castristas", a party of the Prime Minister, admirer of the Prime Minister.

Lies About the Committee "Pro-Fair Treatment"

It says: "A party of the Cuban Prime Minister Fidel Castro, who tried to obtain the Soviet Union citizenship- where he lived for several years-, rejected all knowledge of the incident. Oswald killed a policeman..." etc.

And in the same cable: "...Although Oswald who presided over the "Committee of Fair Play for Cuba," a "castrista" organization in this city, was found to be owner of the revolver with which he died..." They repeat this everywhere.

This then follows. The first thing that appears here is the lie, that this man was President of a "Committee Pro-Fair Treatment." A lie. We would dedicate ourselves to finding information that might appear, declarations, publicity, to see if that in this zone of Texas or New Orleans, there was a "Committee Pro-Fair Treatment for Cuba. They affirm that this man, where did they get this?...they affirm that he presents himself as a Secretary of a Section of the Committee Pro-Fair Treatment for Cuba in New Orleans or in Dallas. In some cables it says in the month of August, on other cables it says last week. This is what they affirm. It is the origen of "pro-castrista" to this man. And that he defended the Cuban Revolution in a station.

This is all strange. We had no declaration of this kind. But we look for information: cities where there were Committees Pro-Fair Treatment for

Cuba from where we did have news were: "New York, Los Angeles, Cleveland, Baltimore, Chicago, Tampa, Yougstown, Washington, San Francisco, Minneapolis, Philadelphia, Detroit, and from nowhere did there appear a Committee Pro-Fair Treatment for Cuab in Dallas or in New Orleans.

Immediately here: "The National Director of the Committee of "Fair Play for Cuba,"- Fair Treatment for Cuba- affirmed today, that Lee Harvey Oswald, principle suspect of the assassination of President Kennedy, did not have any regular representation of this organization.

"It added that it did remember that Oswald had been President of any representation of the Committee.

"In Miami, the Student's Revolutionary Directors (DRE), made up of anti-castritas Cuban students, affirmed that Oswald had been President of the Committee of "Fair Play" in the city of New Orleans and he had debated about the communist conquest of Cuba with a representative of the DRE in a radical program. Oswald tried to infiltrate this organization.

Other strange things are being to appear, they are saying that it was an American castrista who wanted to infiltrate a castrista organization. And why would a sympathizer with the Cuban Revolution want to do anything with an "anti-castrista" organization, of pirates, of those kind of elements; without having any contact with us, without knowing anybody? How strange, because they are infiltrated with Americans, and agents of the CIA and FBI!

But it also says here: -the President of the National Committee said- "The Committee Pro-Fair Treatment for Cuba has never had arrangements of any kind for a chapter in any city, in Texas or in Louisiana. I can say that Lee Harvey Oswald was never Secretary nor President of any Committee Pro-Fair Treatment in any city of the United States.

The whole world started talking from the moment that it was about a Com-

mittee Pro-Fair Treatment for Cuba. Later other things appear, because later we are going to try to analyze who the suspect is, is he real or false.

Well, that was the 22nd. UPI, "November 23.-Dallas. The communist Lee Harvey Oswald was accused today of having assassinated President Kennedy. The police said that the paraffin test made on the hands of Oswald had positive results, there was some samples of dust, etc."

Dallas 23, UPI.-They don't know the results of the tests made on Oswald.

In the first paragraph they put "communits", in the second paragraph they are talking about something else; third paragraph: "Oswald, marxist and sympathizer of the communist regime of Cuba, had breakfast..." that is to say, to talk about what he had for breakfast, they repeat that he was a marxist and a sympathizer of the communist Cuba regime of Fidel Castro. Understand? It's almost clear, we know well these people, we are almost experts in knowing these unashamed people.

"Dallas, November 23, UPI. The local police has proof that President John F. Kennedy was assassinated by the castro-communist Lee Harvey Oswald, it was announced officially today." So he was assassinated by the "castro-communist." He is no longer American, he is no longer an ex-Marine, that which taught him to shoot and kill; he is no longer an ex-Marine where he became an expert shot and where the imperialists kept him. No! He is not an American, he is a castro-communist,although we have never heard talk of his exsitence.

See how the propaganda and everything is. An American, a legitimate American, born there, educated in the American society and in American schools, watching American films, in the American Armed Forces, and with everything American. And now, he is not even that in the cables, they now say "castro-communist."

Captin Will Fritz said that now they were sure. etc. "That was yesterday, no, it was today in the afternoon. "The Chief of Police of Dallas, Jesge Curry said today, that Lee Harvey Oswald admitted that he was communist." He admitted it today. Yesterday he admitted nothing and today he appears admitting that he is communist. "Curry added that Oswald admitted before the police officials who interrogated him last night, that he was a member of the Communist Party." Now the man is a member of the Communist Party, as the hours pass they find more and more titles for this man. Is he the real man or not, we don't know. Who could know?

One thing that is obvious in connection with the assassination, is a campaign to bring a bad name to the Soviet Union and Cuba.

These people are planning something very strange and nobody knows what sinister plans they may have behind their backs.

On the other side there was an official declaration made by the Department of State published today that said: "Authorities of the State Department said today that they have no evidence that indicates that the Soviet Union or any other potential is involved in the Assassination of President Kennedy."

"Lee Harvey Oswald, ex-Marine, who passed three years in Russia, was accused of the crime. When Oswald, who is 24 years old went to Russia, he announced his intention of denouncing his American citizenship, he told the American Embassy in Moscow that he was a marxist. Later he changed his mind and returned the following year to the United States, sympathizing with the Cuban Prime Minister, Fidel Castro." The cables that announce where they are lying repeat. It says: "The authorities of the State Department say,

that they also don't have any evidence that Cuba is mixed up with Oswald.

But why does the Department of State have to come out today, to make this declaration? What does this reveal? It reveals to the proper North American authorities, for some people of the United States, the danger of the anti-soviet and anti-cuban campaign which the more reactionary and war people are doing. The State Department understands the danger of such a policy to the United States.

This reveals that there are some people in the States that know that they should leave while they can. What is behind the assassination of Kennedy at the moment nobody knows. This declaration not for the danger of the packages that can be fabricated there, but it indicates that there are some people in the States that understand the risk and danger of such a campaign and it possibly indicates that there some people who do not agree with the campaign.

The State Department has seen the need to declare this, because this policy, this campaign, who knows where it will lead to?

This is all Mysterious

Other things have appeared, because this is all very mysterious. Another cable, this one from the AP. It says: "A letter of 1961...the UPI didn't talk about this, because the UPI, its campaign, has gone in only one direction, but not only the UPI, yesterday we were listening to the North American transmissions and in the radio there was the same campaign, the name of Castro almost appeared more times than that of the accused assassin.

It says: "A letter of 1961, found in the Pentagon Archives, brings doubts as to whether Governor John Connally of Texas, instead of President Kennedy was the principle victom of the assassin.

The letter dated January 31, 1961, was written by hand from Minsk, Russia, by Lee Harvey Oswald, an ex-Marine, whom they accuse of assassinating Kennedy and wounding Connally. Oswald returned a year later after having passed three years in the Soviet Union.

"The letter was addressed to Connally who was then Secretary of the Marine, asking him to revoke the lower(?) as undesirable for Oswald; but he didn't grant it, and if he is the one who did fire against Kennedy and Connally, the question could come up as to which one did he have more motive to kill.

"A copy of the letter from Oswald was sent to the Secretary, Connally, who left this position December 20, 1961. He wrote to Oswald a short note February 23, 1962, where he informed him that he no longer belonged to the Marines and that he had referred his letter to the new Secretary.

A copy of Connally's letter was sent to Fred Korth, the new Secretary, who referred it to the Marine Infantry Corp; they passed it on to a Court of Appeals of the Marine Infantry, who confirmed that lowering undesirable. The letter of Oswald sustained that that kind of lowering was a big error and unjust."

Now they have taken charge of finding another possible version.

There is information here that says: "The of the District, Henry Wade said today that he was sure that he would be able to obtain the death penalty for Lee Harvey Oswald, the ex-Marine who has fromaly been accused as the assassin of President Kennedy, informed the news agencies of that country.

"It adds that Wade has intervened as in 24 cases of assassinations and has obtained 23 times the death penalty for the accused, and in the other case it was for perpetual chains.

"Wade said that he has material proof against Oswald, but he refused to say what they were. He added that it has not yet been established that the "mauser" found was the weapon used for the crime.

"Oswald has denied during the interrogation to have participated in the attempt...," etc.

"Captain Willi Fries, Chief of the Department of Homocide of the Police of Dallas, affirmed that according to his criterian Oswald killed President Kennedy; and the case to him was finished."

We must now try to find some fact about whom this man they are accusing is. But we want to talk about the campaign of UPI, the agencies of the cables-really UPI-... That by chance precisely this act happens at the time when Kennedy is being strongly attacked by those who think his policy with Cuba is weak; it couldn't be us, but precisely the enemy of the Revolution, and the enemy in general of a moderate policy, a policy less in favor of war, the enemies of a policy of this type, the only ones who could have been interested in the death of President Kennedy and the only ones who could have gotten any satisfaction by news of Kennedy's death.

Why was it that a few days ago an incident was brought to my attention and while the Conferance of the SIP was going on, that was scandalous, because a series of Governments were strongly attacked, crudely attacked, like the Government of Brazil who said horrors about the President of Brazil, where they also made other declarations against other Presidents, other Governments of Latinamerica, there in the United States; and it called my attention that the cables...and they published a series of opinions against the discourse of Kennedy in Florida. Because the discourse that Kennedy proposed in Florida deceived the aggressive policy towards Cuba, it deceived the counter-revolutionaries of the United States.

And here a series of cables. Here: "Miami, Florida.- The exiled Cubans waited tonight, in vain, for a promise from President Kennedy, that he will take energetic measures against the communist regime of Fidel Castro."

It says: "they waited, in vain, for a promise."

"Many of them united in the offices of the Revolutionary organizations, and in homes, to listen by radio Kennedy's speech, the Spanish transalation was transmitted by the radio stations of the exiles. They heard the President say "we in the hemishhere must use all methods in our possession to stop the establishment of another Cuba in this hemisphere" -they did not accept "stop the establishment of another Cuba,"

"Many exiles had hoped of a course more vigorous to free Cuba from communism. Some confided that the United States Government was acting against Cuba in a secret war of infiltration that cannot be divulged."

"José Ignacio Rivero, Director of the "Daily Marine" in exile now, the oldest newspaper in La Habana, and Emilio Nuñez Portuondo, ex-President of the Security Council of the United Nations, asked for more positive action from the United States.

"Rivero, member of the Interamerican Society of the Press, to which Kennedy came to talk, expressed doubts about the sinister intrigue between the international policies"-an intrigue because they wanted to co-exsist with us.

It says: "He also said in his manifestation that the weak policy of the United States towards Cuba and other American nations is an international shame-Ignacio Rivera said this, whom you know as an ultra-ultra, and who has to be connected with ultra-ultras in the United States. Those elements there openly said "that the weak policy of the United States towards Cuba and other American nations is an international shame." You see how irritated

they had come with respect towards the United States Government, with Kennedy's policy.

The Policy Towards Cuba is Weak

"Miami Beach.- The latinamerican editors and directors in general applauded the speech of President Kennedy, but many thought that he had not assumed a sufficiently strong position against the communist regime of Fidel Castro. Where the representatives of the press united, the more reactionaries inside and out of the United States, according to the cables of the UPI and the AP they say that "many thought that he had not assumed a sufficiently strong position against the communist regime of Fidel Castro."

"Agustin Navarro, of "El Espejo" (The Mirror) of Mexico, thought that the speech was too weak and that his observations of Cuba were not enough." It is not only the guerillas of the United States, but also their peers the guerillas of Latin America, who protest and criticize the policies of the United States, even when they are in the United States, you would suppose that the least they could do is shut their mouths;but no: they feel as if they have the right to assume the position of ultra-rightests and guerillas of the United States.

"Other Cuban newspaper proprietors in exile proposed similar terms."

A series of cables began to arrive. Here: "The President of the Medical School of Cuba in exile, Enrique Huertas, said that the speech did not clear any fundamental questions of Cuba's problems," he wanted a unanimous attack, a unanimous criticism against Kennedy.

It wasn't only Latin Americans, there were also North American editors. Here we have: "New York, November 20, UPI.-The Daily News says in today's editorial that President John F. Kennedy seem preoccupied among other things,

by the presence of a Communist Cuba and by the fact that dozens of millions of Americans are still mad or alarmed by the existance of this power from the Kremlin in the American continent.

"Referring to the President's speech at the reunion of the Interamerican Society of the Press Monday night, the editorial remembers that Kennedy told the Cuban people to throw out Fidel Castro and once this happened, the North American people would gladly help whichever regime took over.

"The newspaper keeps on saying that the problem is that the weak policy of Kennedy'a Government with respect to Castro, as it was with the Eisenhower Administration, made it possible for Castro and Kruschov to convert Cuba into a political State where the country has practically no hope of rebeling without the aide from the exterior.

"The newspaper says: Kennedy now refuses to let the exiled Cubans lanch attacks at Cuba from United States territory-says the newspaper-, and to use air power and naval of the nation to keep Castro in power.

Now you see what some guerillas think, now you see what some ultra-rightest think, and is there any difference in their thinking and any difference in assassinating their President, and assassinate a President.

Cables and more cables, because they had never written so many cables. It is curious how the cablegram agencies made so much ado of all the criticism of Kennedy's policy towards Cuba.

Julio Mesquita, Ciro, was the reactionary who talked against the President of Brazil, who started a campaign against Brazil, and to start a reactionary and fascist state in Brazil. Listen to how he talks: "Julio Mesquito Ciro, Director of the state of Sao Pablo," who told the editors of the SIP with his analysis of the economical and political situation of his country, said that it was an error of the United States not to have known in time

of the danger for the whole continent of the presence of Cuba. Mesquita showed himself to be of the collective action, of the collective action force of the hemisphere in Cuba, because I am a defender of the free will of the country.

The Suspicious Announcement of Sergio Carbo

And here the man goes on proclaiming his anti-Brazilian policy. And finally something very interesting and very curious, which came to my attention when I read it, It says: "The third editor to give his opinion was Sergio Carbo, who referring to President Kennedy's speech said: "It was a speech that had no category conclusion." It made Carbo, who is Executive Counsel of the Interamerican Society of the Press, mad because it was not in favor of the liberation of Cuba.

But most important of all, look how this important man in the intellectual circle of the reaction in the United States and outside as Executive Counsel Director of the Interamerican Society of the Press ends his declaration. He ended by saying, "I think that a near and very grave incident is going to obligate Washington to modify its policy of peaceful coexistance." What does this mean? What did he mean to say by this? Three days before the assassination of President Kennedy, wha t did a man who occupies a very important job in the intellectual circles of the ultra-righest in the United States and out mean, to say?

What does this mean three days before the assassination of Kennedy? Was there some understanding? Some kind of thought? Some kind of plot? How strang all of this is.

And this man who is the suspect, who is he? Is he really guilty? Is he mentally sick? Or is he an instrument of one of the most reactionary circles of the United States? Who is that man? Why at precisely the time

when a leftist fanatic would least want to kill him?

Is Oswald an Instrument?

Here there appears information form the "New York Times:" the "New York Times" says, "during the month of July of last year he (Oswald) made gestures to belong to the so-called Director-A student of Cuba was trying to participate in the plans to overthrow the revolutionary regime of Fidel Castro in Cuba." It was not a "castrista" plot. It goes on saying, "Oswald returned to the United States 18 months before, after having been in the Soviet Union for two and a half years, where he trie d to get a Soviet citizenship. Oswald was able to return by borrowing $435.71 from the American Government. This he was able to get after asking the Senator of Texas, John G. Tower." That is to say that he was able to return from the Soviet Union by borrowing money from the American Government thanks to the help of a Republican Senator from Texas.

"Actually, Oswald had an Americnn passport on the basis that he was a photographer and that he wanted to travel to different countries during the months of October, November, and Decemberthis year to visit the Soviet Union, England, Holland, France, and Italy." How strang! Ever since he was arrested in Dallas, the American television and radio have been trying to say that Oswald is President of the chapter in Dallas of the Committee of Fair Treatment for Cuba.

"Executive Secretary of the Committee Pro-Fair Treatment for Cuba, denied that Oswald was anything of that sort, and said that there exsisted no such chapter of that organization in Texas."

"The New York Times explaining the contact established by Oswald with the counter-revolutionaries of Cuba, says that José Antonio Lanuza, of the so called Student Director of Cuba, declared in Miami that Oswald approached

the delegation that anti-castrista group in New Orleans last July."

"Lanuza- according to the "Times"- said that "Oswald wanted to help the Cubans with their fight against communism, and offered them $10 contribution and his help in military matters for an invasion." The delegate of the mentioned organization, Carlos Bringuier, said that "at first I suspected Oswald as being an agent for the FBI or the CIA that was trying to find out what we were doing."

And that is what they publish, that the castristas, the castristas, the communist admirers of Fidel Castro, sympathizers of Fidel Castro; and here it seem like he tried to get in an organization and that they would not let him in becasue they thought that he was from the FBI of the CIA- they should know who agents of the FBI and the CIA are, because they deal with them a lot.

For the time being, without us affirming anything, because we cannot affirm anything, because the same thing can be guilty or innocent; that it can be an agent of the FBI or of the CIA as these people thought, that he could be an instrument of the more reactionary sectors, that have been planning this sinister assassination of President Kennedy, by not conforming with his international policy, that a sick person is being utilized by the reactionary sectors of the United States.

At first they say that Oswald tried to get into this anti-castrista organization then later he appeared on television repeating propaganda pro-castrista. How strange? In North American the televisions don't pick out of the street to talk, especially do they call people of the "Fair Treatment for Cuba" to campaign for Cuba. The radio, television, and newspapers close their doors on them. They say that Texas is the head of the reactionary spirit

They don't condemn him, they don't judge him, they give him money to

return, and then they give him another passport to leave. How strange! What is behind all this? What sinister plan is behind all this? Who can be the assassinators of Kennedy? Who are the only ones who would profit by this? The party of the invasion of Cuba, a group whose policy is on the edge of war, the enemies of peace, the enemies of disarmament, the worst enemies of the rights of the negroes of the United States, the worst enemies of the progressive elements and the liberal thinking of the United States.

Who could benefit from this incident, from this assassination, if not the worst reaction, the worst elements of the North American Society. Who would be the only ones interested in the assassination, by chance someone from the left, a fanatic of the left, at the time when tension between the right and left has gone down, at the time when McCarthyism is a thing of the past?

"Dallas, Texas, 23(AP) Lee Harvey Oswald during his whole life was a loner and an introvert, with communistic ideas. At an early age his inverted personality became fixed with the ideas of Karl Marx.

This is the description that is given to Lee Harvey Oswald, 24 years old, whom they accuse of the infamous crime of assassinating President Kennedy.

"The Chief of Police in Dallas, Jesse Curry, has said that Oswald admitted to being a Communist.

What contradictions, he didn't admit to the assassination-you would think that a fanatic who commits an assassination of that kind, would tell every one- a strange case where the fanatic denies that he commited the assassination, and on the other hand admits that he is a communsnist-according to the cables.

These are all new things, they didn't appear yesterday, they are of to-

day....Although he is accused of the assassination, Harvey Oswald has resisted all the efforts of the authorities to make him confess, and he has told the newspaper men: "I didn't kill President Kennedy, I didn't kill anybody."

What kind of a person was Oswald before they arrested him? He was born October 18, 1939, in New Orleans; "my father died before I was born"-he said- his mother, a widow, took her family to Fort Worth. A police of Fort Worth who does not want his name revealed, said that he knew Oswald since they were both in the fifth grade until he went to High School in Fort Worth. This policeman remembered the following:

"He was always opposed to any kind of discipline, he seemed to have something against the people there, against all authority, he was not like other boys, he never associated with the rest, in High School he talked a lot of how things should be; Oswald said that he became interested in communism at the age of 15, when a marxist pamphlet came into his hands, later he read The Capital, of Karl Marx, which is the "Communist Bible;" when he was 17 years old Oswald left school where he soon joined the Marines; his military career was a disaster, on two occasions he was taken to War Counsel because he had infrineged on the rules, his specialized occupation was operator of electronics. He fought in Japan, but he was only a soldier first class.

"His career ended September 11, 1959, when they liscensed him to contribute to support his mother; they put him in the un-Active Military Reserve, but later he was expelled dishonorably.

"A month later Oswald arrived in Moscow. October 20, 1959 he went to the American Embassy and announced his intention of denouncing his citizenship. He told the officials: "I am a marxist."

The Government Lent Money to Lee Oswald

"In February of 1962, after having studied his case, they came to the

conclusion that Oswald had not obtained a Russian citizenship; by his request they gave him a passport and lent him money to return to the country. When he arrived in the United States, Oswald went to his native New Orleans; the following June he asked for another passport to return to the Soviet Union; in the meantime, he was wrapped up in a dispute with an anti-castrista, Carlos Bringuier.

There are now new ingredients. It is a series, a chain of propaganda; that first he is of the Committee Pro-Fair Treatment for Cuba"-which is false-; then he was in the Soviet Union; then a series of insinuations in a series of cables; and now not only that, but he is also a communist, a good communist which he confirms. Finally, this is all very strange.

It seems like he was just made for the worst policy of the United States, the reactionaries. He seems to be the individual who was made to create hysterics, to have a campaign anti-soviet, anti-cuban, anti-communist, anti-progressive, anti-liberal, in the United States.

What could the motives of the assassination of President Kennedy have been? What could be behind all this? We cannot affirm anything, because we cannot count on the other elements of justice; the personality of the individual is suspicious, just like the propaganda is suspicious, everything is suspicious.

Because the same thing can happen to an innocent person, in a well prepared plan, by people who know how to prepare these plans, it could be a sick person, in which case the only honest thing to do is put him at the disposition of doctors; or else it is a very well chosen instrument and very well prepared by the extreme right, by the ultra-conservative reactions in the United States, with the purpose of eliminating a President that-according to them-, isn't following the policy which they think he should do, in other

words a policy more for war, more aggressive, more adventerous.

And it is necessary that all the countries- not only Cuba, but everywhere, and the North American country itself-, clears up what is behind the assassination of President Kennedy.

It is to our interest, to the interest of all the other countries and of the country of North America, that we must do this. And we understand that the intellectuals, the lovers of peace, understand the seriousness that a policy of this kind implies, a campaign of this kind and the peace of the world can signify that an incident like this, a machiavelli policy of this kind can come to.

And these are the analysis, and the considerations that we wish to make: orientate the country; tell you objectively how things stand; tell you which things were strongly antagonistic between the United States and us, and to tell you which of their policies were more moderate, less war like, less aggressive. So that we, as revolutionaries, as conscientious men and women, are able to analyze problems of this sort, difficult problems, delicate problems, complex problems.

Because the policy in a country like the United States is very complex; in the elaboration of a policy of this country a number of factors intervene, many times contradictory factors. But there is no doubt that these things which we have been showing you of the campaign are some means-and the most inmoral for sure-, means by which a policy elaborates.

What do these circles mean? Force a new policy on the new Administration. What do they mean these circles? Put the new Administration in a factual situation, give them a strong hate towards the Soviet Union, towards Cuba, towards the progressive ideas, even towards the liberal ideas.

This campaing propoese to put the United States in the worst international position. And this, certainly, is serious and grave for the peace.

We are not worried for ourselves. We are not worried for ourselves; we are worried for humanity's sake.

We also know that the luck of this country depends also on the luck of humanity; and for us we do not tremble; for us we are and will always be tranquil. We worry about the peace, and we worry about bringing this attention to everybody.

Warning about the dangers of these acts is another thing which we worry about, and furthermore, that the country is orientated, orientated and serene like always, firm like always, and ready like always to defend the Revolution! Ready like always to defend the country, with your moral as high as always.. To be always ready, in front of danger, whatever they may be.

Be they big or little, be they more or less infamous these campaigns, be they more or less criminals these campaigns, the enemies will always find us firm, alert, and our heads up high, ready to do our duty to our country or Die, We Shall Win;

Luis Gomez Wanguemert: Having finished, the Commander Castro, the analysis of the tragic event of yesterday and the campaign of anti--soviet and anti-cuban by the newspapersoof the United States, we bring this program to a close.

Godd evening.