C.A.78-0322: Withhold by dirty tricks, in part and in toto HW 7/18/78

In my initial review of the records that reached me 6/28/78, a review to indicate which pages I wanted copied for other uses, my attention was taken by two that refer to Dallas Motorcycle Policeman ~~James~~ James A. Chaney. From Dallas files 89-43 these are Serials ~~9476~~ 9614 and, in reverse chronological order, 9570.

My interest in Chaney dates to 1964. Two are incorporated in Whitewash, completed 2/15/65. On reading these two Dallas records my interest was further attracted by a gross and deliberate lie - that Chaney had never been interviewed. Couched, however, to make a different interpretation possible.

In this I also address possible motive in the sudden burst of withholding of the names of SAs after more than half the Dallas file was processed without excisions of SA names.

The name obliterated from 9614, I'm certain, is Charles T. Brown, Jr. Brown is one of the SAs who worked on the JFK investigation.

The lie is on p. 2 of 9614. It is that "Dallas indices and references from Dallas indices regarding the assassination fail to indicate that CHANEY was interviewed by Agents of this Bureau following the assassination."

At the bottom of the first page Brown quotes Lt. Jack Revill as saying "Chaney told REVILL that he had never been interviewed by anyone following the assassination to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness," referring to the assassination, is important. I doubt it is Chaney's exact language because he WAS interviewed to OBTAIN HIS OBSERVATIONS AS A WITNESS * BUT to an entirely different observation (Caps from ribbon fault, not emphasis intended.)

On 12/28/63 Chaney was interviewed by SA Raymond M. Lester, whose report is page 682 of one of the earliest consolidated reports, I think the very first, CD 4. Although ~~Chaney~~ Chaney was one of the outriding DPD motorcycle escorts he is the ONLY one not used as a Commission witness and about whom I could never find any FBI report. Now these were the closest of eyewitnesses. The others were called. In addition, as I state in Whitewash, in the opinion of Officer Studebaker, Chaney had done some work that appeared to have significance. Studebaker's lead was never followed.

From Lester's report all he asked Chaney about is having seen Jack Ruby the day after JFK was killed and the day before Ruby killed Oswald.

Now the FBI was so exhaustive it conducted special hair examinations to prove that the hair (public) on the blanket that was without any question Oswald's blanket was in fact Oswald's hair. So I found two such oversights to be two too many and I was always interested in Chaney.

The first time I had a chance to look into the Chaney matter was when I was in Dallas in December 1971. The first sentence of that memo is accurate and pertinent, "...failure to call Chaney as a witness is cleared up by a tape of his initial comment on what he saw: a bullet hit JFK in the face. He could be wrong," this continues, or could have misspoken himself. I tried to locate the tapes. The station's news editor is dead, others have no knowledge, and the owner's secretary, Gordon McClendon, said he also had no knowledge of their present whereabouts or existence. But he had made a record in which part of the Chaney interview was included. He sent it to me and this is what Chaney did say. It was unwanted testimony, as it would have been if he had corrected it in any way.

Both of the cited Dallas records were in headquarters. If the FBI is now telling the truth neither was released in the 12/77 and 1/78 releases. I think the reason is obvious: all Washington reporters would have known that the self-serving explanations worked into them are not valid - that the Commission did not call Chaney. The FBI was in charge prior to the appointment of the Commission and it was the Commission's major investigative arm.

The next day, referring to this memo, Assistant Director Harold N. Barrett wrote SAC Dallas directing that Chaney be interviewed immediately. If this was done it is not included in these Dallas records. If it is in the HQ releases there is no possible way of locating it.

FBIHQ also ordered a review of other cases of police not being interviewed. He directed be given "promptly" to the General Investigative Division, whose files the FBI steadfastly refuses to search - in any and all cases. No relevant record has been provided by Dallas and again there is no way of knowing if it exists in the almost 100,000 pages of FBIHQ releases.

The BROWN memo to SAC includes a quotation from former Dallas police chief Curry that is consistent with what Chaney said, that "two men were involved in the shooting" of JFK. It included expressions of sympathy for Special Agent/HOSTY and his present publicity..." The refers to the note from Lee Harvey Oswald he destroyed. An extensive FBI investigation was conducted. All Dallas FBI employees provided statements. There is virtually no reflection of this in the files just provided. If they are in the HQ releases there is no way of finding them.

It will not be possible to go into all withholdings or to prepare memos on them all. I have done it in this case in part because of my immediate and continuing interest and because motive for withholding outside the exemptions of the Act can be perceived. It was the FBI's job to interview Chaney as a Presidential escort immediately. It didn't. It interviewed him about a minor matter related to Ruby and more recently it misrepresented that no interview report is reflected in the Dallas indices.

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
MAY 1962 EDITION
GSA GEN. REG. NO. 27

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO: FILE (89-43)

DATE: 11/23/63

FROM: SAC SHANKLIN

SUBJECT: ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT
JOHN F. KENNEDY, DALLAS, TEXAS 11/22/63
AFO

On the morning of 11/23/63 Assistant to the Director C. D. DeLOACH called and stated that Chief of Police JESSE CURRY had just been on national television and that he had indicated (1) that the FBI had recently interviewed LEE HARVEY OSWALD in Dallas; however, we had not notified the Dallas Police; (2) that the FBI had OSWALD under surveillance but had not notified the Dallas Police; (3) that the FBI customarily advises the Dallas PD whenever an individual of subversive background arrives in Dallas. DeLOACH stated that the Director had also seen that television program and that CURRY apparently was trying to switch the blame to the FBI. DeLOACH advised me to contact CURRY and straighten him out and see that he went back on TV and corrected these erroneous statements.

I thereafter got Chief CURRY on the phone and pointed out to him that we were not denying that we knew OSWALD was in Dallas, but that we had not interviewed OSWALD in Dallas and that we had not had OSWALD under surveillance, and that we were under no obligation, as he was apparently indicating, to furnish him information on all Security subjects in the area; that we had no indication that OSWALD was a potential killer and that we had been extending to him full cooperation, and that I felt that he should certainly set the record straight. I also asked CURRY where he had gotten his information and he told me that he could not remember who had told him, but that someone had mentioned it and that he had no personal knowledge of any of this, but that the next time he was on television, he would rectify this error.

ADDENDUM: It is noted that Chief CURRY did appear on television later the same day and generally retracted his statements, although I did not have an opportunity to actually hear it. The Dallas Times Herald of 11/23/63 reported that Chief CURRY minimized reports of FBI lack of cooperation with local Police. He said that he had been told that the FBI [illegible]

SEARCHED ... INDEXED ... SERIALIZED ... FILED ...
NOV 23 1963
FBI — DALLAS

(3) - Dallas
JGS:mfr
(3)

DL #89-43

of OSWALD's presence in Dallas and interviewing him recently, but that the Dallas PD had not been advised. He, however, later is supposed to have said he was only repeating information that someone had told him and he could not remember who had told him Friday night. He said he had no personal knowledge that the FBI knew of OSWALD's prsence here or that the FBI had questioned OSWALD. "In any event the FBI has no obligation to come to us", Chief CURRY said, "They are cooperating with us one hundred percent."