[illegible], the [illegible] Charles [illegible]. [illegible] there is also something on this in the volumes. Appears similar in intent to the story of "D", WR 30[illegible]-9.

Thanks for the Farrell pages from CD 7. (No need to send me the other Hunt pages unless there is something unusual.) We have gotten a few other pages from the withheld part of CD 7 in the past, by asking for name files. Chronology (from Jim's correspondence) is as follows: on May 9, 1968, we were told that in CD 7, pages 114-6, 328, 329, 361, 413, 416-7, 4[illegible]1-2, and 494-777 were withheld. On November 26, 196[illegible], we asked for any pages on James Robert REED or Tommy JOHNSON, and got CD 7, pp. 615-7 and 637-9. (Would you or Gary like copies?) On August 1[illegible], 1969, we asked for pages on Csala or James Shock, and were told that CD 7, p. 530, 654-7 are involved, but withheld. So, at best we cannot consistently get such pages in this way. It may mean nothing, but we have never gotten withheld pages from any other CD like this. It is possible that the list of withheld pages has been revised and doesn't include the whole block 494-777. (We pointed out that some were published, and were told (May 20, 1968) that "the pages from CE 1813-5 are available for research, and we will send you copies of them should you so desire (thanks loads). The unpublished pages from 494 to 777 in CD 7 are withheld from research at the request of the FBI.") As for content, these pages appear to be typical [illegible] stuff, by and large; in many cases other pages on the same people are available in later CD's, so I am not really inclined to ask for lots from the name files. If they [illegible] slipped a couple of times, fine, but we can't expect it, since it is [illegible] easy to [illegible] pages against the list which they did send me. I suggest you ask which pages [illegible] are withheld, and perhaps ask for some of the ones we have, to get a record of [illegible]. (Incidentally, we have confirmed that the name and subject files [illegible] of the withheld material, which is removed before the files are made [illegible] Eskhoff to JNS, [illegible]/69) You could add pp. 615-7 and 637-9 to your suit.

~~Davison's Atlanta [illegible] are in my [illegible] that, they are [illegible]-1918 (office, [illegible]); [illegible]465 (2634 Sherondle [illegible]); if no answer dial [illegible] Tuxedo Rd. I will send SS 515 when I get more [illegible]. [illegible] asked for CD 956.~~

~~Your [illegible] of [illegible] on CD [illegible] [illegible] was [illegible] source, not T-2; he may have been T-1 but [illegible] story and the [illegible] that DeB was trying to get him to keep an eye on [illegible], I doubt [illegible] was T-1. [illegible] word from both T-1 and [illegible] on the same [illegible].~~ (Oct. 9 letter)

Your letters to JD - I don't have the original requests, so I don't know what specifically you asked for. They are on weak grounds on the memo of transfer, since the section of the law which they quote refers to "personnel and medical files and similar files" and the AG's blue pamphlet interprets this latter as "all private or personal information contained in other files" (my emphasis; p. 36). If that is the best they should do, you should have them. On the "investigative files," I would think that your strongest case would be based on the special status of the WC files, documents on which I sent you some time ago. That is, the "guidelines" were set up to be less restrictive than the usual policy. I assume you and Bud are using these documents, at least as statements of policy if they have no legal force. On my outstanding JD request: I am told that Rolapp is unable to locate my original form; he asked for a copy and promises "an immediate decision." (That seems to mean they have already decided to deny it.)

Enclosed: Dawnay article from Penthouse, and cover letter for CD 498, with