NY Times 3/30/71 3/30/71

Suit Seeks Dismissal of Rankin Because of Conflict of Interest

By WALTER H. WAGGONER

tice yesterday to show cause West End Avenue, with offices

Robert B. Blaikie, an insurance partment of the City of New executive and former Demo-York has expressly violated an cratic district leader on the important law of this city." West Side, charged that Mr.
Rankin had violated provisions of the City Charter by engaging in the private practice of law while he was the city's chief law official at a salary of \$41,000 a year.

Law Called Violated

"Obviously," according to Mr. Blaikie's affidavit supporting the show cause order, "the purpose of the law that was so violated was to insure that appointed officials like Rankin of \$41,000 a year.

were directed by Justice George duties, and were not to engage were directed by Justice George Starke to appear in court at 60 Centre Street tomorrow to answer the show cause order. A three-man committee of the Bar Association of the City of New York had said on March 17 that Mr. Rankin was not guilty of "unethical action." It added, however, that Mr. Rankin's private practice, while "not in conflict" with his city position, and because, had he known of the city's prohibition against such activities, was "inappropriate" to his role as the Corporation Counsel." Counsel.

Activities Questioned

The committee said Mr. Rankin's outside practice did serves at the Mayor's pleasure. "indicate a departure from the standards of Section 1100 of the City Charter," which provides that any head of a city department, except for Coun-cilmen, shall "give his whole time to his duties and shall not engage in any other occupation, profession or employ-ment."

Mr. Rankin promptly an-nounced that he was giving up outside law practice, from which he said he earned less from than \$1,500 in 1966, and having his name removed as a lawyer from the Manhattan felephone directory, his office door, and the directory of the building where his office is lo-

Mayor Lindsay was ordered cated at 36 West 44th Street. by a State Supreme Court jus- Mr. Blaikie, a resident of 924 why he should not dismiss Corat 96 Fulton Street, complained poration Counsel J. Lee Ranthat "even with the dubious kin on the ground of conflict exoneration by the Bar Association . . the fact still remains that the chief of the Law Description of the City of New Partment of the City of New

The Mayor and Mr. Rankin engage all of their time to their

Corporation Counsel."

He was appointed to the post by Mayor Lindsay in 1966 and

Mr. Blaikie is represented by H. Leonard King of 8 West

