

10/15/94

Dear Greg Raser,

If I did not tell you before, as a general rule those books that pretend in various ways to solve the crime are undependable to terribly wrong and bad. From them there is no way for you to "decide which one is correct" because not a single one is.

You also say "so many good and decent people have made many claims." If you are referring to those who wrote most of the books, I think that those who deceive and mislead the people on such a subject are not easily so described. Some mean well and are both ignorant of the established fact and lost in what they do. Others know what they are doing and do it anyway. *For fame and money.*

If by getting a "complete answer" you mean a dependable, non-theoretical solution, the probability is very slight. The crime itself was never officially investigated and there are no leads to follow.

This is why I have restricted myself almost entirely to the official evidence.

I find it interesting that, without having read all my books and also having ~~gotten~~ gotten somewhat of a sample of what I've done you ^{say} everyone, "yes, including the Weisbergs" (of whom there is but one in the field) is "an absolute idiot, or an evil entity covering up the most sickening crime of the Century." I do not mind and you are entitled to your opinion, but if you'd care to meet the challenge, how did I?

With regard to how you are spending money, on The Fourth Decade and AARG, your wife has a case. Fourth Decade prints self-important nuts who know little or nothing about the crime itself or its investigations and along with the AARG, goes for all the theories substituted for fact. It is for this reason that I have not joined any of the groups or subscribed to any of the so called "news" letters, which they are not.

Best wishes,

Harold Weisberg

Oct 9, '94

DEAR MR. WEISBERG,

PLEASE FIND ENCLOSED CHECK (\$30) FOR YOUR BOOK; OSWALD IN NEW ORLEANS. SINCE I LAST ORDERED, YOU LISTED IT AT \$27, SO I HOPE \$30 IS ENOUGH. THIS WILL BRING MY H. WEISBERG COLLECTION UP TO SEVEN. I HAVE ONLY READ ABOUT 3 OF THEM SO FAR, AS I HAVE BEEN SIDETRACKED BY R. GRODEN'S BOOK AND SEVERAL VIDEO TAPES.

FROM THE BEGINNING I HAVE BEEN AN "OSWALD DONE IT" PERSON. NOW, I HAVE MADE MY WAY TO NEUTRAL GROUND. THERE SEEMS TO BE MIXED AND INCOMPLETE INFORMATION. SEVERAL WITNESSES SEEM TO TELL DIFFERENT STORIES TO DIFFERENT AUTHOR/INVESTIGATORS. EVEN THE EXPERTS DISAGREE, AS WITH DR. WECHT AND DR. BADEN. BOTH THESE MEN ARE, I ASSUME EXPERT FORENSIC PATHOLOGISTS. ASSUMING AGAIN, THAT THEY WERE SCHOOLLED IN IDENTICAL OR AT LEAST VERY SIMILAR CONDITIONS, HOW THEN CAN I DECIDE WHICH ONE IS CORRECT. THEY BOTH (AGAIN, I ASSUME)

HAVE STUDIED THE SAME EVIDENCE. THEY, THEREFORE MUST BE BASING THEIR CONCLUSIONS ON OTHER FACTORS OUTSIDE THEIR AREA OF EXPERTISE. AS MUCH TIME AND EFFORT PUT FORTH IN THIS TRAGEDY, NOTHING CAN BE OVERLOOKED, OR MISSED. WITH THAT I HAVE THE UNCOMFORTABLE TASK OF CONSIDERING ONE OF THESE MEN, EITHER AN ABSOLUTE IDIOT, OR AN EVIL ENTITY COVERING UP THE MOST SICKENING CRIME OF THE CENTURY. THAT THOUGHT CARRIES OVER TO THE POSNERS, MOORES, LIFTONS, GRODENS, LANES, EDSTEIN'S MARRS, SUMMERS, AND YES..... THE WEISBERGS. I TEND TO BELIEVE THE WRITTEN WORD, ESPECIALLY WHEN SOMEONE PUTS THEIR NAME ON IT. SO MANY GOOD AND DECENT PEOPLE HAVE MADE MANY CLAIMS THAT DON'T HOLD UP UNDER SCRUTINY.

IN THE LAST SIX YEARS OF MY READING, I HAVE CONSUMED TONS OF INFORMATION, AND FORGOTTEN AS MUCH, YET I FEEL NO CLOSER TO THE COMPLETE ANSWER.

THIS SUMMER I STARTED
RECEIVING "THE FOURTH DECADE"
NEWSLETTER AND JUST YESTERDAY,
I JOINED THE AARC. ONCE
AGAIN I FACE THE PROBLEM
OF MY WIFE WITHHOLDING
FUNDING FOR MY RESEARCH....
PERHAPS SHE IS RIGHT.

PEACE &
BEST WISHES

Greg Rasen

P.S.

I KNOW I COULD ORDER
YOUR BOOK FROM "THE LAST
HURRAH BOOKSHOP". HOWEVER,
I CANNOT TELL YOU WHAT A
THRILL IT IS FOR ME TO
PERSONALLY CONTACT YOU, AND
RECEIVE A RESPONSE AS I
HAVE DONE IN THE PAST.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE
AND YOUR THOUGHTS, I WILL
BE FOREVER GRATEFULL.

gr