Eavesdropping Tools Outflank Law

By Jack Anderson and Les Whitten

A chilling study for Congress suggests that Americans are closer to George Orwell's concept of 1984 than they may think.

Orwell described an advanced police state whose citizens couldn't make a move without the government knowing it.

With only nine years to go to 1984, the federal government may not yet be watching everyone, but it can concentrate an infinite variety of eyes and ears on anyone who arouses its suspicions.

A whole new arsenal of eavesdropping devices, according to the study, not only is available to government gumshoes but can be used with impunity.

For the 1968 law on the subject, thanks to the loose language drafted by law-and-order Sen. John L. McClellan (D-Ark.), places only the mildest re-straints on the federal snoops.

The study was conducted by electronics consultant David Watters for Rep. Charles A. Mosher (R-Ohlo). Watters un-published, 140-page report de-scribes a number of devices that have turned snooping into a sinister science.

Here are just a few ways, under the 1968 statute, that the government can intrude upon

individual privacy:

• Electronic "scanners" can
sort through telegraph, Telex
and other written transmissions, pick out key words and
then automatically reproduce
the whole message, Watters reports

• Similar scanners can be used, according to the study, to intercept data, facsimile and video transmissions.

• Devices known as "pen registers" can record the numbers dialed from a telephone, plus the date, time and length of the

e "Certain exotic categories of switch and signal wiretap-ping equipment," states the Watters study, "(can) automati-cally sweep at high speed through thousands of communications circuits per hour searching for special signal address patterns." In other words, intricate equipment, now available, can scan whole communi-ties, select out the call of a per-

son under surveillance and au-tomatically record the tele-phone data. Or, if the government wishes, the conversations can be recorded.

• Unknown to the customers. many telephone calls are transmitted by microwaves which can be intercepted without even the telephone company know-

ing it. Under the 1968 act, oral interceptions are supposed to be for-bidden. But the language refers only to the actual voices, not to the telephone data that can be intercepted without qualms.

As Watters puts it: "The citizen has no defense against the invasion of his privacy by switch and signal type telephone tapping.

With court permission (often no more than an okay from a goalong county magistrate), law agencies can engage in even nore spectacular eavesdrop-

in the ruture, police in hideaways will be able to intercept laser beam transmissions and even pick up the minute impulses of computers and electric typewriters.

To protect privacy, the Watters report recommends that "all wiretapping in the United States should be limited to ... the Federal Bureau of Investigation."

Even though the FBI has abused the law, it is still, in Watters' opinion, "the only agency in our country to whom we can safely entrust the privilege of intercepting wire and oral communications.'

As a guard against FBI abuses, Watters would require the FBI to obtain a court warrant not only for routine wiretapping but for all other forms of electronic interceptions. Then after the eavesdropping had ended, the subjects would have to be notified and the identified.

far as Watters wishes. But Sen. say he is a speed reader, who Charles McC. Mathias (R-Md.) can go through a two-inch stack and Rep. Mosher have intro- of paper in 30 minutes and still duced legislation to close the absorb the essential points. most gaping loopholes in the 1968 law.

been talk in the backrooms of year on candles by abolishing Washington about setting arbitrary prices for food exports afcontain 51 percent beeswax ter the example of the oil cartel. The total Air Force expenditure The success of the oil producers on candles in 1974, incidentally, in running up oil prices has al- was \$16,754. ready encouraged the formation

vey, Secretary of State Henry A. easier to slip off their trousers Rissinger is the hardest work-ing member of the Cabinet. He 1975, United Factures Syndicate, Inc. ing member of the Cabinet. He

eavesdroppers would have to be puts in an exhaustive day and lentified. wades through an immense Congress is unlikely to go as stack of paperwork. Associates

• The Air Force has adopted a unique economy measure. It Washington Whirl—There has saved thousands of dollars last

• The Navy last month aban-