

October 31, 1966

Professor Wesley J. Liebeler
University of California at
Los Angeles Law School
UCLA
Los Angeles, California

Dear Professor:

We are extremely interested in your proposed book analyzing the Warren Commission's work and the criticisms by Lane and Epstein.

We have long been engaged in gathering all books, magazines and Kennedy Memorabilia in a collection that is now probably exceeded only by that of the JFK Library in Cambridge. We have offered our collection to Yale University's Rare Book Division.


We believe you are missing an important area in not including in your work the first and doubtless most important of the three major critiques - "WHITEWASH" by Harold Weisberg. He is now preparing a second book making specific accusations against those who are guilty of the "whitewash".

We have met this author and are convinced that his integrity and ability ranks with and above the other two authors you are answering.

Have you seen or heard the TV and radio appearances of Mr. Weisberg challenging able attorneys and famous TV personalities? He would be your ideal adversary in such an appearance. Mr. Weisberg will be appearing on an NBC television program on November 12, 1966 from 9 to 12 midnight. The name of the program will be "A Re-examination of the Warren Commission's Findings: A Minority Report". Appearing with Mr. Weisberg will be Jim Bishop, Mark Lane, Leo Sauvage, Penn Jones and Jacob Cohen. We hope you can find time in your busy schedule to watch this program.

Very truly yours,

Pennsylvania General Paper Corp.


Arthur Price
President

AP/pw

cc: H. Weisberg

**Warren Unit Critics Scored
by U.C.L.A. Project Head**

By JERRY DANE
Special to The New York Times
LOS ANGELES, Oct. 23

Lingering doubts about the circumstances surrounding President Kennedy's assassination are being analyzed at the University of California at Los Angeles Law School by two teams of researchers.

The teams are working under the direction of Wesley Liebeler, an acting professor at the law school, who served as an assistant counsel on the staff of the Warren Commission, which released a massive report on the assassination.

Mr. Liebeler said his work was prompted by two books that sharply criticized the Warren Commission report, "Touch of Judgment" by Mark Lane and "Inquest" by Edward Jay Epstein.

Though Mr. Liebeler has criticized some of the methods and findings of the commission staff, he has, in general, supported the commission's conclusions. During a recent speaking tour of Southern California by Mr. Lane, he and Mr. Liebeler engaged in heated public exchanges.

Slander Suit Threatened

Mr. Lane said on a recent television show that he was suing Liebeler for slander. Mr. Liebeler said, "But I have never been served with papers. Nor has he ever agreed to engage me in a public debate."

Twenty students at the U.C.L.A. Law School are partici-

participating in one aspect of Mr. Liebeler's study. Each will investigate a facet of the assassination, analyzing such questions as these:

Did Oswald kill Officer J. D. Tippit?

What was the evidence of Oswald's rifle capability?

Was Oswald at the window of the Texas School Depository at the time of the assassination?

Meanwhile, three other teaching assistants are working under Mr. Liebeler's direction on an analysis of the criticisms advanced by the Lane and Epstein books.

The assistants' salaries are being paid by the U.C.L.A. Law School, he said, and the three will probably go to Washington to examine the Warren Commission's working papers, which have been secreted in the National Archives. According to Mr. Liebeler, these records may be made available for outside analysis in the next few months.

Mr. Liebeler said he was looking back analyzing the Warren Commission's work and the criticisms by Lane and Epstein.

Objective Work Urged

"What is needed at this point is one piece of work which sets forth both sides objectively," Mr. Liebeler said. "Lane doesn't."

"Epstein doesn't." "There is evidence in the report not fully reflected in the report of the Warren Commission. It means it wasn't considered. It needs to be put in one short, concise place so that the readers can then make up their own minds."

Mr. Liebeler supports the Warren Commission conclusion that Lee Harvey Oswald was the assassin and that he acted alone. He says he has found some changes in the Lane work in which the author allegedly misquoted or distorted his records. Mr. Liebeler, a 30-year-old associate of the University of Chicago Law School, wrote the book dealing with Oswald's background and possible motives in the Warren Commission report and says he also wrote a chapter about the possible conspiracy. He believes there was sufficient evidence to have convicted Oswald if Oswald had survived.