## HAROLD WEISBERG

7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702

Hr. James C. Turner, staff director Legislation and National Security Subcondittee Committee on Operations House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515 4/20/94

Dear Mr. Turner,

Please excuse my typing. It cannot be any better.

I appreciate the copy of the Hovember 17,1995 hydrings onathan Heyers asked that I before. I had two reasons for winting it, uside from reading it. One is general, as part of the rather Ler Large archive I leave that will be at local Hood College. The other is because two who were present told me that Posner interrupted Dr. Robertson with a statement I have every reason to believe is untrue. I wanted that for the rather large file I have for our history on Posner, who has a very clear record of having difficulty telling the truth offe even by accident. I find that is not in the transcript. I would very much appreciate the stenographic transcript of that section for the historical record. By our writing about Posner and book with between 75 and 89 percent eliminated as unnecessary has been published. If you or any Hember would like a copy I'll be glad to send them.

The Congress is unfortunately situated on such subjects as the political assassination because it is without means of evaluating those who ask to be hear Posner was not only grossly untruthful to you, he was using you to promote himself and his book. I illustrate from pages 26-7.

Few have resorted to "baseless spectulation" more than Posner himself. I read and annotated his book with care and I know the subject-matter so well the FBI itself told a federal court in one of my dozen FOIA lawsuit that I know, about it than anyone working for the FBI.

Posner is an attorney by virtue of having passed the bream. His slight work for the bravath firm was by his own statement limited to discovery work in an IB<sup>12</sup> case. Friends who have checked did not find any record of his filing any lawsuit or taking a case to court. Lexis makes no mention of his in connection with the IBH case.

The has not "looked at the available record ... of the Warren Commission" or "the tens of thousands of documents that have been released by freedom of information requests and lawsuits..." He spont three days here. Of the FOIA records alone I have about a quarter of a million pages of them. He never asked me how to locate a single page in the large collection and he did of ask me where he could even find the Warren Commission records I have. He seems to have restricted himself to a biased selection of official records on Warren Commission critics, including me, of which I have a file of duplicates. His reflection of them is less than honest and he asked me nothing about them,

A grosser and more deliberate misrepresentation that his boasting of "going beyond that was on the available record" is difficult to conceive with what he used the "nearly 200 interviews " for: circumventing the official offidence when it disputed his preconception. His is a formula book. He believed the time had come when that kind of support for what I regard as the official sythology could succeed.

He actually used less of those KGB files, than was published and he misused them selectively. For all his interview with Fosney, and he lied in saying he had "the first-ever one," he suppressed what I published from Nosenko in 1975 for the simple reason that if he did not he dectroyed his out fiction he was palming off in his exploitation of that great thatedy.

There were no "lost files of in Garrison" to be found and he very obviously did not find them, there being not a thing in his book cited to them or that can be cited to them. He had access to a large repository of them at Jim Lesar's organization and among his other possible sources is the daughter of one of Clay Shaw's counsel in that Garrison case.

His reference to that phony "new analysis of the Zapruder film" he in this different way suggests that it was done for him in saming it is the resultof that work...published by Random House in Hovember of this year, Case Closed: Lee Harvey Oswald and the Assassination of JFK."

That "result" was in fact "published" by the American Bar Association, which is not even mentioned in which is book, and when he saw it on the Court channel by his own account he changed the kind of book he would write.

There can harly be anyone with less "enthusiasm" for new records to become available because those of any significance will redound against him, his book and his deliverate dishonenty, including to your committee.

He knew I'd suid the FBI for the results of its scientific testing, even that the Congress had amending the inve tigatory files exemption over the first such suit in 1974. Te did not ask where he could find the records in that (or any other / litigation and aside from the numerous records I obtained by it we deposed four FBI Lab SAs.

I regret very such that the Congress cannot always perceive those who are literary whores, plagiarists, which Posner is, or just plain shysters.

Recently I mailed Congressman Conyers of letter from the FBI I should have sent you, along with my response. It actually told me that it is releasing nothing new, only what it had already dischosed. From the news accounts citing those records, that is true.

Handwhale Harold Weisberg