

RICHARD GALLEN & COMPANY, INC.  
260 FIFTH AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10001  
(212) 889-9624

January 4, 1994

Mr. Harold Weisberg  
7627 Old Receiver Road  
Frederick, Maryland 21702

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Richard believes in your work and has thoroughly read the entire manuscript with the following conclusions regarding publication:

Within the body of this manuscript there is a strong possibility of presenting a "legal argument" against CASE CLOSED. However, it will require substantial cutting. (As valid as the personal attacks against Posner are, they "weaken" the argument.)

The manuscript should be reorganized, since "less" is more of a legal argument here.

- (A) Personal statement by Harold Weisberg
- (B) Summary of Posner's "argument" and
- (C) Flaws in Posner's argument, (1) no new evidence  
(2) distortion of evidence  
(3) suppression of evidence  
(4) role of CIA re: Nosenko  
(5) absence of sources (and false sources!)

Your book can be presented as a "legal brief" and even packaged (cover design) as a powerful "legal" argument to "Case Closed".

The substantial cutting will take Richard's concentration to get to the essential "legal" argument, but it is exciting work.

In view of this, if you could write a personal statement for the beginning, and then a summary of Posner's argument, Richard will emerge himself into the project.

Sincerely,



Raphaela Seroy  
cc: Charlie Winton  
Herman Graf