

Innsert on Posner admitting the case is not closed

Posner also admitted to Paul Hoch that he recognized the ~~case~~ "case" was not by any means "closed." That happened ^{on September 29, 1993} when Posner was making a promotional appearance at the San Francisco Green Apple books store.

~~Paul is~~ ^{Paul is} ~~one~~ of the most careful and cautious of the original assassination ~~scholar~~ ^{scholars.} ~~He~~ ^{WP} became friends ^{late spring} the ~~summer~~ of 1966 when he was still working on his doctorate in physics at the University of California in Berkeley. He and I were ^{then} the only two "regulars" working ^{daily} in the Commission's files in the Archives ~~then~~.

Nobody is more generous in providing copies of documents than Paul. Not that Posner's reflects getting a single page from him. Or from Lesar. His thanks to both, as with me on the same page (504), makes no reference to his ^{getting} as little as a single sheet of paper from any of us. ^{These} appear in Posner's book as his own work. Posner describes Paul as "An academic, with a thorough understand ^{MS} of the documents in the case." Along with an intended deprecation, as "the unofficial archivist for the ^{on} conspiracy press," something that does not exist.

^{later} As with Lesar, Posner spoke ^{to Paul} privately at that gathering.

"On the 'closed' question," Paul wrote me when I asked him (letter of January 17, 1994 in author's files), "I have only my rough ~~notes~~ notes, not a direct quote. According to those notes, he does not expect - despite ~~the~~ his confident title - that the case is closed; he puts 'Oswald alone' back on the table."

In autographing ^a copy of his book to Hoch, this is what Posner wrote in it: "I know this case is certainly not closed for you and many other serious and responsible researchers but it (Paul inderjected, [the book, I guess]) should certainly make for a lively and continuing debate on 'Oswald alone.'"

Paul is his ^{careful,} usual, cautious self in referring to Posner's title as merely "confident."

It is part of this accomplished con man's shell game to exploit and commercialize the assassination for his own benefit, regardless of the totality of the dishonesty that required of him.