

Add on Failure Analysis

Aside from in effect stealing Failure Analysis's work for the bar association and presenting it as his own work, as work Failure Analysis did did for him, a defection Posner found it easier to get away with by not once mentioning that it was done for the bar association - ~~not~~ even mentioning ^{wg} the American bar Association at all in his book - there is no way in which he used what he took from Failure Analysis that Posner is not dishonest about. This dishonesty is still again intended dishonesty. ^{There is not} and there can be no innocence it it.

Quite separate from Posner's taking their work and presenting it as his own work or as work done for him, as was ^{almost} universally accepted, and ~~is~~ ^{is} not less separated from his deliberate misrepresentation of what that work was - and it was ~~not~~ ^{not} anything but what Posner represents, there is what he also suppressed, that suppression being meaning life of death to his book and what he listed for from it, the official Failure Analysis opinion of the meaning of its work.

(YOU MAY WANT, OR HE MAY WANT, THE ELIMINATION OF ^{the following} THIS REFERENCE TO WINFON . I SUGGEST THAT YOU ASK HIM. ^{unless you decide against it})

The lone major-media exposure of Posner using Failure Analysis's work as his ~~own~~ ^{own} was in San Francisco. That is where the ABA's mock trial was staged and that is where Failure Analysis is based. So also is Publishers Group West. Its president, Charlie Winton, tipped the Chronicle off that ~~Posner~~ what Failure Analysis did was for the ABA, not for Posner. He also sent me what Failure Analysis had prepared prior to having its work taken by Posner and presented as his. (If Charlie is to be cut, what follows next requires nothing extra to do that. It makes this cutting no more than xing out)

Failure Analysis prepared a videocassette demonstrating the kind of work it does and the use that can be made it it. As it relates to the work done for the bar association, ^{its} president, McCarthy, says of the assassination shooting, "I'm the best shot I know and I could not do that shooting," the shooting attributed to Oswald.

McCarthy then says, "No one, not even Failure Analysis, can say who killed Kennedy."

^{McCarthy}
If he had aimed it at Posner, he could not have been more on target.

add on Failure Analysis-2

It is ^{that} ^{ed/} ^{professional}
~~But~~ not only ~~did~~ Posner suppress/what he knew, that there was a jury, a competent, T
that satisfied the bar,
jury, and after getting both sides, not the one side that Posner pretends and presents
as the only side, the jury did not agree that Oswald was guilty - which is to say that
Knowingly mis-
Posner (represented, even in his title.

Failure Analysis, the outstanding experts of all experts in Posner's own presen-
tatioⁿ/of it, official^{ly} describes his a work as a lie and him as a liar in saying that
it did not and could not say who killed Kennedy and that doing ^{so} that is impossible!