

insert on Failure Analysis discuss<sup>is</sup> of client because it occurs to me they could have done what he uses for the ABA Trial of Lee Harvey Oswald

Posner is so intent upon making it appear that he alone did all his work, he alone knows the truth, he alone makes the case for it that he creates suspicion where there need be none in a field in which suspicion is more than warranted. There may well have been an unusual situation for a client for whom Failure Analysis did <sup>this</sup> the work

Posner uses with such secrecy he begins giving the impression that it was done for him.

*writing and attitude*  
His book amounts to the claim to have discovered sex and invented the wheel. He has in-

truded into a field in which he has a number competitors who in effect make the same

*from the other side*  
claim. Individually they have in common <sup>a</sup> lack of established factual knowledge of the

Crime and its investigations and their books, however well received, have in common that

they are disinformational. In this sense, Posner has gotten into bed with those he

castigates, only they sleep on different sides of that bed.

Is it a measure of the man and of his work that he keeps secret all that ordinarily a <sup>r</sup> responsible writer would <sup>have</sup> put right up front? I think it is.

— Ordinarily, a responsible, honest writer would on first mention be fully informative. He would say where Failure Analysis is and why it did the work he uses. The address permits readers who want to know more or just check him out to seek that information from the source.

<sup>open</sup>  
This is simply the way honest, forthright people are, think and work. They do not create grounds for suspicion. They are not secret without real cause. They do not put on airs.