Cear Quin,

J. Taylor

\*\*

8/8/79

Yesterday's news should make clear any ellipsis in my additional to my King appeal of the day before. I am still somewhat inhibited because all I know is not yet reported.

Obviously all the Patterson story is within my request in more than one Item.

It also is within the stipulations, despite OLC's unilateral revision of them.

Now, I suggest, is the time for the Department to remember Brandeis on appearances.

For your information and for whatever help it can be to any in the Department who may have any concern about such adventures, a little more.

I spotted Patterson as an informer four months ago. What I did not know, the records having been withheld by the FRI, is that he was an FBI fink turned over to the committee the first of this year.

That the FBI did not receive any of Patterson's information cannot now be argued because at the least via the committee it and the AG did. In my view this presents an additional problem for the Department and the FBI because not knowing of his FBI past I let Patterson into my home when he brought Jerry Ray here this past April.

Frame-Up in the pictures. I know he turned these pictures in, besides the prints

So we have a situation in which as FHI informer "penetrates" my home while informing for the consittee when I am suing the FHI and am the committee's longest and severest (factual) critic. It sure looks bed.

Actually, Patterson offered to get me some good cassettes at less cost than I can get them here. Although I was able to get TDE's at a discount for Civil (which has yet to repay last Mevember's bill for them), Patterson get them for me at less than helf the descounted local price. I enjoy them as I tape the early-morning Walls jame programs.

What does not look good is his insistence on getting a copy of Frame-Up autographer by both me and James Earl Ray. After getting two from me he said were for his boys.

I believe the Department should get and provide each and every report Patterson provided and every record relating to them, to him, his work, etc. That the FRI pretends a request is restricted to FRIH; files is no more than a pretence. My request was of the entire Department, not only the FRI, and not for HQ files only. I believe also that whether or not it may be argued technically that some of this more recent stuff was generated after my request the situation should persuade the Department not to take any such position and not force the litigation of the issue. If it does I'll be able to produce a very large number of records that were generated after the requests were filed. It did not apply any such restriction in the past.

Patterson's spying on Jerry Ray and on "ames via Jerry, not all of which is known publicly, was ever the period of the habeas corpus effort. I do not know the exact time in 1974 that he supposedly stepped working for the FRI (which need mean no more than that a more productive replacement was available) but for the entire period I was James Earl Ray's investigator (and only one) and Jim was of his counsel, actually doing all the work. During this period I am the one who was in contact with Jerry. During this speriod and especially in the time immediately before the evidentiary hearing in 1974 there were some influences on Jerry to undermine James' confidence in his counsel, particularly Bud Fensterwald.

I do not see how any b7 claim can be made, or b1, because if there was either a law enforcement of national security justification for this kind of apping it means that the Department perpetrated a fraud to get Ray extradicted from England. It then insisted that there was no conspiracy.

There cannot be anymisfiling claim here. The FAI turned Patterson ever to the committee. I have made you aware of another, aimilar case. I am sure that the FAI records I do not have will reflect its appraisal of the committee that validates my own of utter irresponsibility and affection for the nut stuff only. It did this after knowing what the committee did with an earlier informer tirned over to it. I tell you frenkly that a case can be made from the records I have of the FBI deliberately feeding bad stuff to the committee because it was certain the committee would go for it. Thus you have the case in the movening paper of the man who was afraid to appear because the committee had already made public what the FBI had not and what the committee got from the FBI, as I did. Cande Powell is charged with contempt even though I know of no established relevance of his testimony to the committee's mandate or to any legislative function that can be claimed.

oe, I am saying I believe the FRI is engaged in gamesmanship.

Last week, before any of this was public but when I knew it would be public soon, I informed in and asked him to consider beinging it up at the coming calendar call. I regard it as a very serious matter when an FMI informer comes to me under false pretenses while I am swing the FMI. I would hope that the Department would share this belief. And I believe it reflects the FMI's attitude toward compliance in the case and as I've tried to tell the Department and its counsel more than ence, illustrates why the FMI continues to withhold relevant information under my PA request and in the King case.

I am not representing that I was unaware that Patterson was an informer. But I was not aware of his FRI connection. I did believe he was working for the committee. I had my own reasons to believe this before he came here on Sunday April 16, 1978. I was more convinced after he was here and after I saw him and his decorated 1978 Buick ( since traded in for a superdelume factory-equipped van, which had to be paid for when he had no CB business left). I immediately warned "im, who represented "ohn Ray and the sister, Carol Payper. I tired to warn Jerry, without success. I also did warn "ohn and Carol and Carol's husband Albert, who I think heeded no to the extent Jerry did not prevent. But I never thought the FBI would have any association, no matter how indirect, with any such impropriety.

While I am not yet at liberty to tell you have others came, quite belatedly, to know of the Patterson operation, I do give you my belief that the nest probable explanation is by a wiretap, one or more. One of the phones on which this was discussed is mine - and I am never in touch with Mark Lane. The leaking to the Times followed. While I am sorry that "ony Marro, to whom this was not leaked, was sandbagged, maybe the consequence will be fewer of these angled and dishonest leaks or greater reluctance for the headline-seekses to go for them.

I am aware of the map and of more about it than has become public. I am aware of ether intructions not yet public, so I am merely letting you know. I believe all of these are within my request and I offer the opinion that my going over these things now serves the Department's interest. I am quite familiar with James' and Jerry's manner of expression and I think their personalities. Perhaps there is an escape plan but I doubt it very much. Not with a pot of gold marked at the roots of an oak, which is not public knowledge.

Also for your information, Jerry and the committee's candidate for a youthful Bonnie, aka Rhonda Gibson, came here Sunday afternoon on their way to Washington.

The notion that Thomas was a wheelgirl for benkrowing Jerry (who like all the Rays was impoverished by successful alleged heists) does not originate with the nutty constitute. It is the FRI's fabrication of which I have known since 1969 and about which I have not yet received a single record. I believe they should be produced. When I becomed this I issediately arranged, now I'm talking about 1969, for Jerry to have emergency representation in Washington and in St. Louis.

From Jerry and Change I can inform you of more without violating any trust.

There are more than the audio tapes in the papers. There are videotapes. These range from a crude Hambons-Rastus routine by Stoner, and Jerry to Mark Lene. I remind you that regardless of what I think of him Lene is and has been Ray's counsel. He also is making extreme and false charges against the FMI.

So far all that is publis indicates that only one committee investigator was involved in these adventures. Here will come cut, including more names. Oliver was also a bit careless about his reports to the PRI.

1980 ·

19.00

Perhaps the AG can take some comfort from the fact that this all appears to have begun under J. Wallace LaPrade.

If the Department takes the position that I have to make a new request I will, of course, do so while litigating the need. I hope there will be no need to litigate and that someone will assure that the FBI's sames with me and with compliance come to an end. I can make a request in a less personal communication and will not be foreclosed in C.A. 75-1996.

The calendar call is this coming Menday so please excuss my typos. I want to make inday's outgoing mail with this. If you want any sore information please unlifer I can come over af ter Menday's sorning calendar call.

Sincerely,