

Olliver Patterson phoned me between 4 and 4:15 p.m. 11/13, while I was listening to broadcast of <sup>his</sup> testimony before HSCA. He said it was in response to several letters he had just received. He said he had been thinking about my questioning him about Clyde and that he had meant Clarence, that it was Clarence Haines. I asked him if he had seen yesterday's P-D and he said he had not and does not get paper, that he has been busy trying to get asbestos siding on his house before bad weather sets in.

He said agents asked him several times before they realized it was a mistake to do this.

I asked him about his period of informing and say he is uncertain whether it began in late 1968 or early 1969, with <sup>his</sup> informants. Before going onto Ray case had informed on White Citizens Counsel and Gordon(?) Baum.

During the course of his activity in controversy over low-rent or low-income housing project, which led to incorporation of Black Jack, he felt he had to let mayor know he was working for FBI and that mayor and others thought he meant against them on this project and that this was end of FBI relationship, late 1973 or early 1974.

Says there were many informers, that while he was talking with FBI agents, and he said sitting in car in particular, and showed them NSRP and perhaps other pictures he took, they showed him other pictures of the same meetings so he knew they had other informers there.

He has not yet received copy of transcripts. Says that there were only four people present so he can't explain "Voice" in transcript, that transcripts not entirely accurate but most errors corrected in it.

He is going to ask parents if they have P-D and will be in touch after he reads. Knew that Turley had been exonerated. I did not ask how.

He asked me my opinion about the House subpoena form he'd gotten from the phone company. I told him I thought no legislative purpose and if it were me I'd speak to my lawyer on privacy violations and worthless subpoena being honored. Told him that from timing - he said they told him served at 11 a.m. - I thought it possible that Bastz could have filled in a blank subpoena. He wondered about this because 11 a.m. was prior to his press conference.

On when who could have learned, says Susan was in touch with <sup>him</sup> about 7/24 and went out to Calif. 7/25 or 26.