(n)

Other Individuals and Organizations
Involved of Interviewed

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date December 2, 1963

<u>1</u>

Mrs. LINDA ROSENTHAL (Mrs. LOWELL N. ROSENTHAL),
4800 Harley Street, was interviewed as a result of information
received from the Dallas Police Department. The Dallas Police
Department furnished information that Mrs. ROSENTHAL had
employed Mrs. MARGUERITE OSWAID as a nurse and that Mrs.
ROSENTHAL might have some information concerning LEE HARVEY
OSWAID being in Russia and supposed to have been working for
the U. S. Government.

Mrs. ROSENTHAL furnished the following information: She employed Mrs. MARGUERITE OSWALD as a Practical Nurse to look after her baby who was born on September 11, 1962. Mrs. OSWAID worked and lived in the home of Mrs. ROSENTHAL for about three or four weeks. During this time, Mrs. OSWAID talked a great deal about a number of things. In fact, she was a fluent talker and discussed a number of different topics. She mentioned that her son was in Russia and Mrs. ROSENTHAL got the impression from Mrs. OSWAID that her son was working for the U.S. Government in Russia. She could not recall any definite statements that Mrs. OSWAID made about the nature of the work of her son in Russia. She did not make any direct statements about her son, but did recall that she said her son married a girl in Russia. Mrs. OSWAID seemed to have a persecution complex and talked about everybody being against her, and at the time she left told Mrs. ROSENTHAL she could look through all of her things and luggage to make sure that she did not steal anything. Mrs. ROSENTHAL advised that she never saw or talked to LEE HARVEY OSWAID. She would not re-employ Mrs. MARGUERITE OSWAID.

11-29-63	Fort Worth	, Texas	File # DL 100-10461	
on	EARLE HALEY	CK.206	Date dictated 12-2-63	
by Special Agent	ROMAN D. MADIA	WD <u>1.</u> :	C. I.	

This document contains no ther recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and the wall have are not to be distributed outside your agency.

Orusid Meigreage-Nigmub in file of mak allend #SCF regulate

What I wrote you yesterday without the new "arguerite Os ald records in front of me was correct in sense but was not correct within the quotes. The exact connection with the JFK assassination stated in 105-147805-1 as "the assassination of the late President "olm F. Kennedy" rather than "JFK assassination" as I stated it.

Dear Jim.

In Serial 3 Dallas includes its main file number for this new files, 105-2190. I'd had a record of the existence of this file. I have an incomplete card file of file numbers by number, not by mane or subject, so there is no way I could have retrieved it. My presumption is that I found a reference to it long ago. This record has the initials of Robert P. Gemberling, who was the JFK assassination care agent in DL. The last graf of this serial also establishes the relationship of "arguerite and this new file with the assassination. So does the last sentence of Serial 5. (Dallas then closed this new file by as Serial 6 reflects, FRIHQ did not.)

Based on two different DL LEMS FBHQ twice characterized the old lady as "potentially dangerous" or "communist" connected or "actively investigated" as a member of some group or organization "inimical to U.B." and at the same time "Subversive, untrarightist, recist and fascist," (I've eliminated the pural" so that she could be marked in the form for "Evidence of emotional instability" and thus a threat that had to be called to the Secret Service's attention. (The did not tell SS that the FBI had his rifle so she couldn't use it!)

In the Fain report (100-353496-715 at FRIHQ) of 5/12/60 he gives the Dallas main file number as 105-976. Its relevance to the assassination is additionally established by the note at the bottom of the page "(re Oswald)". There is the additional handwritten note at the bottom designating a copy for 105-82555, which is the main Oswald file at FRIRQ.

You may recall yyst Phillips swore the page we used in 0322 was and had to be classified. "ote that at the bottom of page 2 supervisor Longe cited the <u>declassification</u> fiction letter of 11/18/64, which seems to indicate that the file was declassified for disclosure to the Commission, or by it, if used in the Report, which the FET did for many records then and for that purpose. Another page, Fain's 5/10/60, was declassified three times without being reclassified at any time. First was 9/18/64 "for use by President's Commission," then 3/5/78 (both before Phillips' attestation) and then again 5/12/82.

The relationship of DL 105-976 to Lee Oswald and thus relevance in 0322 is further shown by Fain's other reports, FD-302s, of 5/6, of which I've made copies of two, his interviews with Robert and Marguerite. In this connection, you may want to recall the FBHQ concern over the possibility of an imposter using Oswald IDs.

Re the Ryan to Bassett memo of 1/4/78, do you know what "serious questions relating to the preparation of an investigative report in the Kennedy assassination" is referred to?

If you want me to provide copies of these so you will not have to go through your file, it will be easy because I'm keeping these copies on my deak in the file pertaining to the appeal, so just let me know.

Beat,