o swald hungreuns

BIBE STREET

To Guin Shea from Harold Wedsberg, JFK assessination appeals 10/3/79
Fing assessination appeals—
"Substantive" added notations

Thin also relates to the problems from the FEI's self-serving, cover-the Escrean paper.

Attached will be (after the orgier is repaired) the Not Recorded 52-109090 Rosen to Belleont memo of 9/10/64 and the N.O. 9/11/64 mirtel, 105-82555-4951, the copy also Not Recorded in the 62-109090 file.

The airtel has a notation added, seemingly innocent and without substance. You to a subject expert it is of substance.

At this time in September 1964 the Commission's Report was in page proof and it was working on illustrating it and preparing the 26 supplemental volumes.

The Commission has some knowledge that Commid had used the 544 Camp Street return saliress on the pumphlet he'd bought from the Fair Play for Cuba Committee but in providing the Commission with copies the FAI had BOT provided that particular copy.

The Commission knew that the CIA-organizated and funded Cuben Revolutionary Council had had offices insue in that building. It knew much loss than the FEI could have told it of other offices in that building. To a degree I brought these matters and what the FEI hid and how in my Omesia in New Orleans, in 1967.

As it prepared for publication the Commission decided it had to publish the Osmald use of this address. It got nowhere with the FEI in its effort to get a zerox of the pemphlet with the 554 Camp Street address, as the Commission records show.

Despite the circle attached and the note added, the Secret Service has well what the Commission wanted and provided a copy, the copy the Commission published.

The note which appears to be imposent ion't. It is false in saying that "none contain address of 544 Camp St." The Fid copy with this address is disclosed in the emerch releases and sankier it was given to Paul Soch, when he paralated with the Fid.

An FOLA analyst or you acting an appeal may not know the actualities and may regard the note as without substance. I believe that any false statement of this nature is quite substantive. If I can't tell you why the FEI kied I can tell you that it did.

To a subject expert the lie does not stand alone. As soon as the FEI in New Orleans learned that the Secret Service was investigating Occald's Literature distript bution it phoned PHIHQ, which leaned on Secret Service "eadquarters and got it to terminate its interest and to direct its New Orleans office to terminate its investigation. In this way the Pai assured a non-derustigation and misled the Counterior and withheld from it. I remind you of the prior appeals relating to the Doyle, "eartin(Minneapolis) and MDSU films and the relevant records that leave without doubt that Cawald had an associate the PEI did not locate or identify. By appeal relating to the fingerprint not Cawald's also is relevant. (Tou have not acted on any of these appeals and the PEI

WEST TO STREET

has provided a copy of the boyle film only and that only whom it was providing a copy to a later requestor.)

Going along with this is the absence of any FMI records relating to Cameld in New Orleans or any of my books published after 1966. I recall no reference to any in the JFK records or those provided in response to my PA request. Given the FMI's interest in my first two books and its scheme to one to "stop" no and my writing it does not appear likely that it had no interest in any of my later books, particularly not where I was no critical of it, as over this 544 Camp Street matter and what it did not tell the Commission.

It is my belief that the minedny information is in other and unmeareded files. Prior appeals refer to some that can hold withhold information.

Voluntaous as the records provided are they cannot be all, as my recent sammination of the earliest assessmation file (62-109060) Sections makes clear. I will be writing you about this may separately when I can attach copies. This will include reference to records not provided in the file.

is the FEI foreclosed the Secret Service, so also did it have its own ways of foreclosing the Commission. When the field reported that the Commission was interviewing witnesses the FLI had interviewed the FEI murely told the Commission it would not "mate" its time for the Commission if the Commission were to duplicate its work. Because the Commission depended on the FEI it commission did not do about it and there is the 544 Camp Street matter and what the Commission did not do about it and there are such things as the FEI interviewing a witness seven times but filling only two reports of two of the interviewe. The Commission learned of the other five interviewe. Views only when it was deposing that witnesse.

The FOIA problem is not a simple one. I believe there is no solution except by providing any and all secondary duplicate copies. And, of course, searching preservoist files.