O-Lot- Framon

JFK assassinations records appeals frivacy claims Records not provided Harold Weisberg 12/3/79

Copies of the records to which I refer are not attached. They also are not unique records.

It is the FM's stout and rejiterated claim that it withholds because it must withhold to avoid deforation, to protect individual rights to privacy.

626109060-4672 reports that John B. Dasenhower had, been subpossed by Jim Garrison, that he was assistant sanager of the New Orleans International Trade Nort (Clay Shaw was manager), and that on 12/9/65 the FMI had shown him six photographs of Oscald distributing literature outside the ITM. It is stated with regard to these photographs "that he could not identify any of the individuals in these photographs."

The conclusion of the text is that seconding to a 1954 Sew Orleans file the man "is a sex deviate." Reference to EQ 55-62456-232-3 is added in hand.

In 4675, from which a paragraph is withheld, the purpose of showing Damenhouser these photographs was to see if he could make identification. Here the photographs are described as "of Lee Harvey Osmald and two companions." How these are 1967 records, not those of 1965. I believe it leaves without doubt, as my prior appeals state, the fact that the FHI knew Osmald had associates it has not identified.

In 5516 there is a Domestic Intelligence Division Informative Note which begins,
"Layton Patrick Martens was a homosexual associate of David/Ferrie. ..." I do not
recall seeing any records in which this is stated. The basis for this DID note
appears to be withheld from what I have been provided from HQ and NO records.

If the FEI can disclose records identifying Daumhauer as a "sex deviate" and Bartons as a homosexual, can it really make any claims to privacy withheldings?

In the Daumhauer case the analyst bracketed the information for withhelding, so it is not disclosed by accident.

with regard to the photographs, only two of which were given to the Commission, my request and appeals have been ignored for many years. Can the reason be that some above this other Oswald associate?