10/28/69

Gary (copy Faul),

Several weaks ago you asked about the Camali wrist scars and I referred you to the Camali autopsy.

I find I have 00007:482-3, which is also MF-1, im 3/12/64 interviews by obl Carter with Dr. Marl Dockery, who took the pictures, and J.C. Prince. My only clear copy is pasted up in the appendix to POST HORTEM (You should have noted this there). * have an unclear Thermofax I can send you. Anyway, whether or not occurately quoting the subject, I believe the report is wrong in asying "Dr. Doxkery said he was unable to recall observing any scars on the inner wrists", but in any event, somebody looked for them.

He took "about nine rolls of 35 mm film".

He said a subrema was required. Frice said the same thing.

Should you want a clear copy to keep, I suggest you get it direct. I never carried this further, as I should have, to see if a) a subpena was is gued and t) the pictures were analyzed, with comments and observations recorded. That is still worth doing. If you decide not to, let me know as I I will.

Probably the antire thing is in the 897 file. My copies I got from mal when it was first evailable and I was looking for something else.

I suggest March was a little late for the commission to be locking into such basic evidence, but perhaps it coincides with the CIA suggestion which it could not ignore. However, its own lawyers should long before this have recognized the importance of checking out that art of the diary and establishing the identity of the corpse. It was very obvious, as avoidance of it could justify wonder, if not suspicion. Also, the subsequent silence on the point. Of which the same observations.

Best megards,