

6/26/67

Dear John,

Relet 23, with enclosed pictures: I am about to leave. It is before daylight and too early to make any phone calls and it was impossible to phone Tom yesterday after your letter came. My wife will phonen him this morning about the affidavit. The question is not money. He just hasn't gotten to it. I spoke to him and he did agree.

On the regulations I have checked and do not have them. I also checked with Gary Schcener, who had tried. He failed to get them. I also wrote the Navy for them and did not get them.

At Olathe there must be someone who has file copies of all Navy regulations. It will not be a commissioned officer. Find out who it is and ask him. In making the initial inquiry, do not specify what regulation you want. After finding out who it is, ask for regulations covering medical records and when you see these or the index to them, ask for those you want.

Hurriedly,

Harold Weisberg



## UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS MEDICAL CENTER

RAINBOW BOULEVARD AT 39TH STREET  
KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66103 • AREA CODE 913 • ADams 6-5252

SCHOOL OF MEDICINE  
DEPARTMENT OF PATHOLOGY AND ONCOLOGY

June 23, 1969

Harold Weisberg, Esq.,  
Route 8, Frederick, Maryland.

Dear Harold:

Enclosed is Thermofax copy of recent letter of June 16 to Dick together with my own (now Copyrighted) picture of the back of CE 544 showing the scratches Dick called my attention to. I intend to have four copyrighted articles in my brief, all items from the archives, and done specifically for me at my request and for which I have a cancelled check.

It is not necessary to send me a picture of the back of CE 399, I have a special one made for me by the Archivist.

I have procrastinated too long on getting the appropriate Navy Regulations for disposal and proper keeping of medical records. About two years ago I had correspondence with the Chief of Medicine of the Navy in Washington on this. This was unrewarding, however, he did send me Xerox copies of Chapter 17 or 18 (I believe) from the "Medical Manual", which, of course, I already had. They do not give explicit directions or instructions on preservation of medical records which I know must exist somewhere. Last week I asked our librarian to obtain the appropriate manual(s) for me.

In this department we have a full Colonel, a Lieutenant Commander, and two senior Lieutenants (Navy) all reserve medical officers but none know of or have the regulations I want. The nearest Naval base is 20 miles out at Olathe, Kansas and to which I am a "consultant", at least on paper. However, the command there, including medical, do not view things my way and I do not want to irritate them. So, if you just happen to come upon the appropriate regulations please do run off a Xerox copy for me.

Have not received your photographer's affidavit. If more money is needed for Notary Fees or otherwise just mention it.

June 16, 1969

Dick Barnabel, Esq.,  
Department of Classics  
Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.

Dear Dick:

Attach no significance to Doctor Lattimer's article.

Yes, I have previously pulled 100 bullets with an inertia hammer. These were weighed on an analytical balance and then re-seated into numbered cases and fired into mattresses previously fluoroscoped and metal items removed. After recovery they were weighed again and compared to the pre-fired weight. Each was found to have lost 25-29 milligrams or about one-half grain each. This, of course, applies only to this particular weapon and not necessarily to Mr. Oswald's C2766. A large number of black-white and color transparencies of these bullets are on hand as well as pictures of bullets after being shot thru ribs, wrists, and legs, both singly and in all possible combination. Sixteen millimeter footage is available of the impact of the bullet and reaction of the ribs, wrists, and legs. This was taken at 1,400 frames per second with a Wollensack camera designed for taking pictures of rockets in flight after being shot from pods on airplane wings. This will comprise part of the substance of my book and possibly part of my article in Look.

Enclosed is rear view of CE 544 showing the scratch you called to my attention and which provides evidence of Frazier's mistakes. I am having it copyrighted and intend to have about four similarly copyrighted items in the brief which are out of the Archives. This will stagger the defendants. The pictures of the comparisons of the bases of the #11s cases which you drew my attention to arrived also but the Archives had merely taken pictures of the printed plates in the book and were worthless. I have requested prints from negatives.

Yes, please do put me in contact with a person who can provide copies of the Zapruder film.

All the best,

cc: Harold

JN



Copyright John Nichols 1969 - CE544 -