## **STATE OFFICE HID** US ROLE IN DEATH

SEP 1 8 1975 Records Lick Drug Cover-Up In \$5 to Assistant Attorney General Under Javita

NYTIMES

NY JOSEPH R. TREASTER

SOLID THE PAY TOP They

WASHINGTON, Sopt. 11

The Service State Attorney to the Service State Attorney to the Service agreed to cover to the Federal Sovernment's involvement in a death in an Army-sponsored drug experiences in Manhattan after the Fadigal Government agreed to pay half of an \$18,000 settlement to the widow, previously secret documents reveal.

The check for \$9,000 was and to New York from the Department of Justice on June 9, 1955, while Jacob K. Javits was the state Attorney General. He had been in office less than six months, and most of the negotiations had taken place in 1953 and 1954 during the tenne of Attorney General Nathaniel L. Goldstein, according to David Marcus, the Assistant Attorney General who handled the case.

Both Mr. Javits and Mr. Goldstein, who is now in private law practice in Manhattan, said today that they had "no recol-

lection" of the case.

Mr. Marcus, who is now in private law practice in West-chester County, said he thought. Mr.: Javits "probably would Mr.: Javits "probably would have been aware of the final administrative transfer of funds, but T-don't think he would be aware of much more than this."

## Says Goldstein Knew

But he said that Mr. Goldstein was "fully aware of what I was doing."

Mr. Marcus said in a tele phone interview that he had several discussions" with Mr. Goldstein in 1953 about the case, in which Harold Blane

nis player, was given a fatal dose of a mescaline derivative, supplied by the Army, in Janu-ary, 1953. Mr. Mancus added that Mr. Goldstein "war aware of the case, he knew about it."

" was case, no knew about to " was an Assistant Attorney General," he said "My function was in inelected and make to my boss, and he would make decisions based on my recommindations. I was not authorized to make any decisions."

None of the documents that

The Argument Robutted
The Argument Robutted
The Argument at stake in
the experiment at the netropic State Psychiatric institropic However, inwyers in the
civil division of the Justice Deperiment said in a memorandum dated July 12, 1854, that
"scurity is involved to some
argument said in a memorandum dated July 12, 1854, that
"scurity is involved to some
argument but more importantly
out purpose is to avoid unfavocable or damaging publicity."
In a letter to the office of
the United States Attorney

the United States Attorney General dated March 28, 1955, General dated March 28, 1955, Mr. Marcus recalled "a number of scorferocas" held in Washington "pointerly for the purpose of discussing possible sediement of the above entitled claims (Blauge V. State of New York) and also for the purpose of determining the extant to which the state of New York might go in revealing the activities of the Federal Government in producing and supplying the mescaline derivative that was used in the treatment of Harold Blause."