Donr Dick,

Having finished the Hollonald fakery and taken considerable time over two full flays and parts of nights to do other work part of which I informed you about, I haven many impressions and concerns some of which you may or may not want to go no farthur than you. This is a matter onto which I would not think of intruding. It also is one on which I b lieve I owe the responsibility of cander.

Because I have a great amount of work to do on this yet and have had to let everything else go. I'll ask my wife to try to find time to read and correct. I do not have to be in court tomorrow. My presences seem to have worked and the hearing has been postponed. However, I am going there saids sometheless to plak up about 200 pages of karoxing I should go over as carefully as possible before I speak to you and/or others.

I am not exaggerating the potential importance I attribute to this, emphasis on "potential." I declined to be interviewed by a CRS producer in Washington togerrow and in New York on Tuesday simply to keep sysulf from and because I'al be returning from Washington as fast as possible to get onto those zerozed pages.

(I laughed when I told him, "Iou have until "ovember -maybe," He agreed by repeating the "maybe.")

by strongest single impression is a question; how could this junk get post any decent professional reading? I can emplify this indefinitely. Instead I pose the question that occurred to set someone say have had a notion that "rove could be on the market for an assessination book, on that would for most publishers require a special formula and all lust for the impossible, the "solution." If it was known that "rove had expressed such an interest, then this bears on the willingness to gloss over countless red flags waving violently on the inside as it could on such a seff-destruct trash being fed.

Obviously, I'm not going to tell Rosset he has someone whose head needs looking into. I'm not talking about what requires knowledge of the assessination or its investigation. "ere common sense and a slight memory for what was just read.

There is no sighte significant detail in the whole cartego can. Not a street or person ness even. Nor of a Building. All the things the everlocking of which would get a coly boy fired from a hick newspaper are missing hore.

There is no end to the non-sequeture. It is implausible, illogical and scretimos irrational. The se-called support is self-serving garbage.

Eiven Grove's professionalism, I find syaclf asking questions about just this. I'm not going to con you or anyone close for a buck. As you know, I have no commitment and asked none of you for the extra work I've done. (Not that I wouldn't be pleased with a suitable reward for it.)

Now I address the lawyer.

This book is overt fraud. If Rosset prints it it will be very hurtful to him. He will also become a laughing stock. Farenthetically, if the is not a speck black book the distinction is meaningless because it could not more prefectly be one if of that origins. I've dealt with two, killing one and the movie and prospects of another. Both French, at least one probably CIA connected. Raybe both.

Suing costs soney but if he asked my advice I'd say sue and sit back and enjoy the depositions and what they can mean and do. If not lead to.

How fraudulent is this? I can't begin to give you a simple answer but I can tell you these characters not only are not familiar with the Warren Report alone - they never even bothered to look at the pactures in it. One picture alone, I think on 99, destroys the whole concection.

But to give you an idea of the deministrations, few as these pages are, a competent lawyer who prepared his waso could take direct testimony from me on just this for five days, early. It would not be necessary. I'm trying to give you an adea of how really fraudulent this complete manufacture presented as gospel really is.

The bie Starr provided dates to 1969. This is to May I had a copy of it in 1969. John merely retyped it. Can I but wonder?

When you called me I told you right off McDonald is a fake. When the woman at Grove phonod me I select if it would be ok if I merely annotated the pages she sent me. She said it would be and this I have done, Extensively in the text/outline, only slightly elsewhere. But if suit is to be considered, note the last page: AcDonald is a rich man. If he has no other connections.

The appendices are utterly worthless so I made only a New notes from them.

Unless this is to go farther, I see no need for taking the considerable time
to write these notes out separately. However, I can dictate them later if it is wanted.

I'll probably be going over sees with you anyway.

Efforts were used to confirm his baloncy that he saw the picture of "Saul" printed as that of Gazald. Regative. I add impossible. Can you believe that with all the newspaper and critical interest scrathing like this would be missed?

What I'm doing now is without taking the time for any special sequence typing odds and ends of notes that occurred to me while I was reading, other than on the pages.

He had a different collaborator, James Warmer Bellah, on carlier works not in his bio. For that matter, didn't anyone at "rove note ikimaxima that the novels Bocca shoeted for him agh not listed in it?

I think in what I wrote you I noted the timing on this, when CIA and JFK are hot, and that in the earlier book he had the "confession" not voluntary but at compoint and not in Spain but in Canada.

So professional assassin would dream of undertaking such a job for a nere \$50\$000.

There are not that cany jobs and this are would not begin to pay defense costs is the assessin were caught.

(And a "pro" taking as few precautions, even in "Troit's" room? But maybe they both have the same first name, Mala. L he Mala Troit. Fum.)

I can't believe some of this comes from McDenald. Example: real sheeter doesn't need regular practise. Or keeping derringer in hand when it is slower sheeting it from the palm than from a hogitor. Aside from other problems with that notion.

This world's greatest expert makes no rafe once to reading the official report or the appended 26 volumes. An investigation without this basic stuff? Of course he is safer that may because his stuff is factually untenable. Even the shooting.

He never identifies to weapon. The reference to "Hauser" is an obvious ripoff.
Actually no pro would use such a weapon. Nor would be use a scope for such a short
dustance to target. He'd use a very small calibre vory high velocity rifle-cartridge
combination, with the flattest trajectory and greatest accuracy plus the asset of a
phenomenon known as hydrostatic pressure. The heavier bullets drop fast. Nor would
he used "disintegrating hullets". He forgets and accounts how one did not disintegrate.

(A high school kid should have caught that ons.)

While on all essentials all details are missing, where he seems to add them they are come-ons, sucker-bait. To the uninformed they may seem to make the whole thing real, generally at their best they are nonsense.

Back to NeDonkad: his account here of how he got started is false. I have known for about with years that his first interest was for a movie with a producer and a writer, both well known, using them as the investigator. He then went to Dallas and came back with propaganda. Woose, a different story than he now tells. Including on the picture essential to this new variant. He then had in effect the opposite and it was based on a ripoff of what I'd published about a different building than the one he now alleges housed "Saul."

We might want to talk about the coincidence in doctrine and content between this book and O'Toole's. They play both sides of one street with only one peneficiary.

He says he gave MYReview an affidavit. Ask for it and the rest it.

He omits even well-known names, like FAI and Secret Service agents involved.

Where he promises more, as with one depokrenschildt, it will be unprintable. Libel. He and Agnotervald have been jointly hung up on that from the outset.

His bio does not make his fluency in five lenguages credible. Or his adventures inside the USSR. Or why he'd be sent on a germ-warfare investigation. Nor does it even claim for his the ability to fly jets, essential as a beginning with U-2s.

Some of the knew evidence contradicts his completely—even where there is reason to question that evidence. Example: fragments bullistically 'only' connected to the Oswald rifle were found in the Presidential car. If he hit only the street, how come?

While one of the onvious answers is that they were planted, seither it nor any other permits any integrity to McDonl/and's pretensions or book.

Without doubts fragaments of bullet remained in both victics. Yet his story is that "Saul" used bullets that "disintegrated" and left no traces. He has Saul of those seme disappearing bullets lamenting and theorizing that his first shot missed because JFK Bent over (which he did not). So, this disintegrated bullet transited the neck, making a smaller hole in the front on leaving than in the back on entering (opposite norm).

If John Starr read this stuff, he cught not have missed this and more. And he knows me and my work. But hex didn't ask so a single question. Ole Doc Savage cught to have had a few questions. That he did not ask any makes me believe he did not want to. Explanations of this can up to deliberateness.

Even if this work is presented as fiction it has no prospect. It will be laughed off any shelves it gots on. 't is that amateurish, too.

But were one to forget the thousands of proofs that it is a manufacture of low quality and to protend that it is for real, it is totally lacking in substance. It all hangs on Mc onald's entirely unsupported sord.

As for the so called appended "proofs", the community on the fragments and on the magical glue that held the disintegrating bullet together if are enough to take care of that also unidentified take. Of this there also is more. I did not believe it necessary to waste time on that guck.

Of course I am also concerned about what on publication would be still another assault on all credibility of responsible writing and writers in the field and on the subject. Asking cui bone here ought be enough.

It can't be Grove!

Not only does this crap not mention other blood that flowed, then and there if from a minor wound to a bystender - there is also what is kidden in those goodies promised for the future and glossed over with scent mention. One of those, if it is consistent with his stuff of six-seven gears ago, is that hBJ did it, with a guy who sure as hell will and can sus, setting it up, one George deschrenschildt. He consisted two crimes knowing Jackie's mether and not being unsympathatic to Oswald - finding him less drab than the other local Aussian-speakers.

I also wondered about all those involved and the editor/reader when I ava that Oswald had gone to the Cuban "Conspil" and the Russian "Council" in Maxico City. In each case there was no external way of knowing if he went to the consulate bocause with the Russians it and the Embassy were in a single building and with the Cubana inside a single compound. But a "council?" Seems like the was dictated but arread.

I do recomend trying to explore what is up. It will not be impossible if my phone has not been listened to.