Mr. Thomas P. O'Neill, Speaker House of Representatives Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. O'Neill,

In what I have written you before I wasted time in an effort to be of help to you in meeting the responsibilities you had assumed so that you might not multiply the earlier national trauma over the political assassinations and perhaps not besmirch yourself. No such purpose can now be served and I now have no such purpose.

It appears to be your belief that on becoming Speaker one becomes possessed of instant, total and perfect knowledge. So complete is this state of Speakerly grace that it extends to the staff none of whom have to permit a quest for or interest in truth or fact to intrude upon their lives.

In your indifference to the unselfish offers that have been made to you you have assumed an added responsibility. If this does not get any farthur than one member of your staff then that member of your staff will know that you have a share in the responsibility for the death of an innocent, troubled and sick may who for all practically purposes was assassinated by your committee of assassinations. This is not a typographical error. They are not investigating assassinations. They are committing them, beginning with the slaughter or truth, decency and temporarily ending with that of George de Mohrenschildt.

If devolvenschildt had a connection with the CIA, as seems probable, have you turned your Philadelphia mafia loose to make that a crime? It has never been a secret that he had served Free French intelligence in World War II and that he had been at the very least in contact with the non-secret CIA station chief J. Walton Moore das an experienced geologist whose work, with and without government auspices, cover much territory, he was a completely ordinary and entirely proper intelligence source — in any country and for any intelligence service. But what does this or his hardly more than casual acquaintance with Lee Harvey Oswald, months earlier and miles away, have to do with the assassination. And if your Keystone Kops can contort a meaning into the would not that be predicated upon an assumption of what they are to investigate rather than the results of a non-investigation, one not even begun?

Were none of this true how can you justify the Sprague Philadelphia mofioso Gaeton Fonzi going to the home of DeMohrenschildt's damphter and demanding to see him when it was public knowledge that the man had been under psychiatric care and had been tried to kill himself twice recently? This man, with whom I've never had any contact because I know of no reason to connect him with the assassination, has been under constant and enormous pressures from all the nuts and self-seekers who have commercialized the assassination. A relatively recent and total and deliberate fabrication that sold more than a million copies has him as the paymaster of the JFK assassination. Was any approach to him justified or necessary at this time even assuming there was relevance? Was any proper without it being through his doctor? If I could tell you more about Fonzi and this committee and its inept and exaggerated plagiarisms, need I do more than ask if this was not in fact a murderous fault for which the House and you have tesponsibilities?

None of the supposed secrets of the committee are secrets except for headlines. Even in the rare cases when there is any reality. Reporters phone me and before they get to the end I fill this in, almost without exception. Today I was asked about a name masked as McB. While with my increasing age and fatigue and dismay over this utter irresponsibility of the House my memory is not what it was I went back to my 1968 and 1969 records relating to one Harry McBurney and his claim to have lived at Cherry Hill, N.J. whithen with a women he described as a whore and a good one who claimed to have been a Ruby stripper and with the whole bit about the Oswald introduction. What is missing is the McBurney belief as of 1969 that his Cochise, aka Shufer, had fabrisated all of this for personal attention.

Whether of not this is the McB of your nurturing it is the same story.

Then there was the involvement, which is to say alleged involvement, of Maficso Carlos Marcello in the JFK assassination. This is contived by one of the endless thieveries, in this and most case from the most dibious of the irresponsible books. I am sure the reporter will confirm that as soon as I heard the beginning of the story I filled in the rest. It is from the type-set mush of one Peter Moyes. In it a man with a criminal record visited an office on the 17th floor of the Pere Marquette Building in New Orleans. On the same floor but in a different office it is alleged one David Ferrie also had an office and that Ferrie was virtually the same as Carlos Marcello. Well, this second office was that of a lawyer G.Wray Gill for whom Ferrie worked from time to time as an investigator. When Gill successfully defended farcello on a deportation charge Ferrie was the investigator. How this connects with Jim Garrison, tenuous as the imputed relationships are, is not obscure. How it makes Ferrie an assassin is obscure because he has the world's best alim - the FBI. He was in attendance on that court the time JFK was killed not in New Orleans but in Dallas. The FBI agent was Regis Kennedy.

I warrant I can so explain away all the allegedly new that is not new, all the nonsesses that is nonsesse, all the cheap flackery that is unrelated to the assassinations, to investigations or in most cases to reality.

Try ms, Mr. Speaker, so you may know what you have done. I have none of these records. I know what reporters have read me. Thus I know this committee's reports are frauds, the grossest and most deliberate misleadings of the House and the nation.

Perhaps you may recall the urgent need of protecting the supposedly secret identity of a man who was a key witness in the King assassination. There was with this the great sensation that the FBI had offered him \$500,000 to kill Dr. King (albeit it in 1965, not 1968). There are those who credit this sensation, this newest committee investigatory derring-do, with deciding the continuance of the committee. I had to check into that non-secret in 1975. I then had questions about the source and was faced with the certainty that there was no possible relevance. Threats, even real threats, are thousands in number. All these people could not have killed Dr. ing. But as with de Nohrehshhildt there is a CIA villain, so in this Baird story the FBI is the villain. Only not before the committee had its need for continuance, regardless of how or at what cost. Then it is apparent that Baird was suspected of being a dynamiter when there was terrible violence and that a normal police testing ensued. Local police, not FBI. I attach his 1975 letter and a relevant current news story.

These are but frow of countles examples. I'd be surprised if pretty much the same were not true of each and every bit of puffery pretended to be three the result of original committee investigation and general what with politeness is called plagiarism.

May the God who made you ommissment and who rendered fact irrelevant to you also find mercy for you far what you have done to the country.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

WASHINGTON — Senior congress-men today said the man who offered information about an alleged police-information about an alleged police-FBI conspiracy to kill the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. is a former Scripps-Howard Staff Writers By CARL WEST And JIM EBERLE

Louisville, Ky., policeman with a repu-tation for reliability

The congressmen declined to identify the man for fear it might jeopardize his life but they said he served on the Louisville police force for 27 years and is now in the private security business.

tee convince the House to extend its life. The committee's authority is due to expire at the end of the month. help the House Assassination Commit-The former policeman's charge may The committee was formed to find

ther the King murder or the assassina-tion of President John F. Kennedy. Committee Chairman Louis Stokes, D-Ohio, said the former policeman's reputation was checked by Rep. Samuel L. Devine, Ohio, the panel's ranking

FBI agent, checked with FBI sources. minority member. Devine, a former

against Dr. King was turned over to the assassination committee by Rep. M. Gene Snyder, R-Ky., early this month. Snyder said he had received a letter from a former policeman who claimed he was twice offered \$500,000 to kill the civil rights leader. Information of the alleged plot

will vote to extend the assassination committee's authority even though he voted against an extension last month. Snyder, a conservative, said he now

Snyder, who lives in suburban Louis-ville, said the ex-policeman's letter named three FBI agents and "four or five" policemen as being involved in the alleged plot which informed sources said took place in the mid-1960s. Dr.

(ing Informant

Pittsburgh Press, Mon., March 21, 1977 🔼 – 5

King was assassinated in Memphis in April, 1968.

Snyder said the man has turned over to the House panel a tape recording made in an automobile of an alleged conversation with one of the plotters against Dr King.

James Earl Ray pleaded guilty to Dr. King's slaying and was sentenced to 99 years in jail. A recent Justice Department investigation concluded that Ray alone killed Dr. King.

is seeking a new trial. Ray now claims he is innocent and

said yesterday in a copyrighted article that Ray's mysterious employer "Raoul" was really Ray's own brother. Meanwhile, New Times magazine