was a couldn't remember 2/13/74 HW Something seemed very woong when GL announced the experts were inexpert in their nalysis of the missing minutes and cited Dektor as proof. Dektor sounded familiar, seemed o suggest CIA, but I couldn't place it. The army's criticism of the PSE, reported in oday's wxPost, brings it back to mind.

all will recall that some years ago, when nobody had head of O'Toole and his INE and and got interested, my response when JL asked my opinion is what are we doing having any

connection with a device of the colice state?

Now the army says it is no good. And the story says it is Dektor's device. Do we seed know any more than O'Toole was CIAk to connect Dektor, at least in thinking?

The story also quotes unnamed xxx citil libertarians as believing this gudget is

repressive device, one that can cost impocent people anything from jobs up.

Mixon knows well where to look for his defenders, his science and his "experts." As I remember the writing O'Toole did on his PSE and assassinations is that his mamples required no analysis, were all obvious lies to begin with. Why test them then? and what can the testing means?

and what the hell was he doing at Bud's "eorgotwon fiasco?

'Army Criticizes Voice Analyzers As Lie Detectors

By William Claiborne Unhu

Washington Post Staff Writer The Army Land Warfare giveaway modulations in the

lie-detecting device that is told. supposed to measure tiny,

Laboratory has flunked a new human voice when a lie is

In a recently declassified report released yesterday by Rep. Harold V. Froehlich (R-Wis.), the Army was sharply critical of "voice analyzers" that are currently in use by more than 500 police agencies and private organizations here and abroad.

The Army itself owns at least three of the \$3,700 machines, according to Dektor Counterintelligence and Secu-rity Inc., of Springfield, Va., the major manufacturer.

The study indicated a "clear inferiority of voice analysis .. not only to the polygraph, but also to judgments made on the basis of simply observing subjects' behavior.'

Voice analyzers attempt to measure stress in the voice. white polygraphs measure such physiological symptoms as perspiration and heartbeat.

When the voice analyzer was introduced about two years ago, civil libertarians warned against a device that purportedly could establish the veracity of statements without the knowledge or consent of the subject.

The promoters of the Psychological Stress Evaluator (PSE) even suggested that the truthfulness of statements made in televised speeches by politicians could be lished.

Moreover, the PSE's inventors claimed, the device could be used long after a person finished speaking, providing his voice had been recorded.

Froehlich said he was still undecided about the validity of the Army's \$37,000 study conducted by Fordham University psychologist Joseph F. Kubis—but he said he pressured the Army into declassif-

ying the report because of "a host of questions about the surreptitious use of these machines."

"If the Kubis report is cor-rect, well qualified and truthful people could lose job opportunities or jobs because of an inaccurate for unreliable voice analysis completely beyond their control," Froelich said.

Alan Bell, president of Dektor, yesterday challenged Kubis to a "one-on-one showdown, with polygraph versus PSE," and Kubis as the subject.