

Advertisement Advertisement Advertisement Advertisement Advertisement  
**Frank A. Fusco Today Accused  
THE WASHINGTON POST  
And The Electronic Media Of**

**COORDINATED CONSPIRACY TO  
OVERTHROW THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.**

**Watergate used to conceal plot.**

**Biggest Dirty Trick Of All.**

**Payola Rampant.**

**Story Dwarfs Watergate.**

In a copyrighted story of November 2, 1973, in the New York Times, entitled, "A Possible Club Over Networks", pertaining to the allocation of additional VHF television channels, Les Brown negatively accused the White House of a coordinated conspiracy. What the New York Times did not say, however, is of greater importance. It did not say, that the White House has never been quite as adept at coordinated conspiracy as the New York Times has proven in the distribution through publication of the **Pentagon Papers**. For the pious, pompous, pampered press and the New York Times, in particular, to speak of conspiratorial (rhymes with editorial) coordination is indeed a subject worth investigating. Were it not for the decision of soft headed judges, The New York Times itself would be the focal point of nationally televised hearings. In its publication of the Pentagon Papers the point that no soft headed judge understood or acted upon was the fact that under the pretext of "the peoples right to know", the New York Times displayed more conspiratorial coordination than a common "fence" by selling for a profit, a stolen commodity! I think that the New York Times has used "the peoples right to know" as a subterfuge to avoid being faced with criminal charge.

On November 1, 1973, Frank A. Fusco asked Mr. Sulzberger, president of the New York Times, the following Questions: "Mr. President, your newspaper constantly refers to its undisclosed sources, now at the impriety of having you violate your civil rights, under the first amendment, by having you reveal those sources, would you care to explain if the New York Times makes any distinction between impeachable and unimpeachable sources? And if so, are they conspiratorially motivated?"

The reason I ask these questions, is that a line of speculation is rapidly developing throughout America that what actually is being concealed behind the first amendment, is not "the peoples right to know", as the media would have us believe, but a coordinated conspiracy to overthrow the United States Government.

Do you believe such a conspiracy exists? And if not, how do you account for the reign of terror which is driving underground all political opposition, both Democrat and Republican, who oppose mediocrity? And when Krushchev said he would bury us, did he really mean that the overthrow of the United States would be accomplished by positioning America with an overdose of the first amendment?

I demand a joint House-Senate select committee be created to investigate these and related charges.

Now while these charges are shocking—let us look at the credibility of the radio stations of this country which have reeked with poyola from coast to coast. Let us look at the credibility of the networks who keep telling us that no man is above the law! Let us look at the credibility of CBS who has been tried and convicted of the greatest hoax ever perpetrated on this nation, which destroyed all confidence in the game you played with them, called the \$64,000 question. Are not the news-jockeys now committing a crime by making a poyola to the likes of a Senator Ervin in the form of the total amount of dollars it would cost for an equal amount of time to be purchased by someone with opposing views?

Is the Watergate circus another game on a larger scale, staged, directed, and produced, by the people who destroyed your confidence before? Is Senator Ervin their chief clown? No ladies and gentlemen, the only difference between the watergate game and the \$64,000 question are the stakes involved. In this game the jackpot is the United States of America. Will you let them win?

On Nov. 2, 1973 CBS was sent the following message

TO: MR. ARTHUR R. TAYLOR  
PRESIDENT CBS

Mr. President, when Watergate Senator, Lowell Weicker, stated last evening, November 1, 1973, on the CBS evening news, "I think it emphasizes to everybody the importance of a free press, this isn't an argument among political science professors, without a free press and without the facts no American can make the right decisions, and here clearly what is being set forth, is to try to bring the free press under the control of the government."

Did it occur to your monoging editor that in the interest of the "diligent exercise of his journalistic responsibility to cover the news fairly and accurately", for someone to have asked Senator Weicker, how the hell he would feel, if in fact, the government were brought under the control of the press? And when he spoke of the "facts" that no American can make a decision without, was the speaking about the facts as have been reliably distorted on CBS by Charles Osgood, Dan Rather, Marvin Kalb, and Walter Cronkite, relating to our troop alert of October 25?

While it is comforting to know that your reporters have been "completely immunized from outside pressures", have they also been completely immunized from thinking that perhaps they were violating FCC Fairness doctrine by making a poyola to Senator Weicker in the form of the total amount of dollars it would cost for an equal amount of time to have been purchased by someone with opposing views? Thank you.

Mr. Taylor I am still awaiting your reply!!

FRANK A. FUSCO  
P. O. Box 3311  
POUGHKEEPSIE, N.Y.  
12603

# 564 BILLION DOLLAR QUESTION?