Ziegler Apologizes to Washington Post on Watergate

WASHINGTON, May 1 _ "I was overenthusiastic." Ronald L. Ziegler, the White His comments about The House press secreary, apolo- Post, the press secretary con- Mr. Ziegler's exchange with gized to The Washington Post tinued, were "an overstatement reporters about The Post: today for his earlier criticisms . . . particularly if you look at of the newspaper's coverage it in theh context of developof the Watergate case.

Post and to its investigative Mr. Woodward had vigorously reporters, Bob Woodward and pursued the story, and deserved Carl Bernstein, who have made the credit they were receiving.

a number of important dis"When we're wrong, we're
closures about the Watergate wrong," Mr. Ziegler concluded,
case, came in response to a "and I would have to say I question.

Several times in the last two cases." weeks, Mr. Ziegler had refused In New York, Mrs. Katharine to apologize when asked sim- Graham, publisher of The Post, ilar suestions about his state-said today she accepted the ments last October accusing apology by Mr. Ziegler. The Post and its reporters of "We appreciate it and accept "shabby journalism" and "a it with pleasure," Mrs. Graham blatant effort at character as-said, adding that "the Admin-

"Mistakes were made during mine the credibility of the press this period," Mr. Ziegler said for the last 10 months."

ments that have taken place." Mr. Ziegler's apology to The He said that Mr. Bernstein and

was in that case and other

istration was trying to under-

today of the 18 months since Mrs. Graham was in New

the Watergate case first broke. York to address the Society of the Silurians.

Following is a transcript of

Q. Ron, a question in view of the President's comments when he came out and said a few words to the press last evening. Are you ready to apologize to The Washington Post for your comments of last October?

Mr. Ziegler: I don't have the problem of the press giving me hell because that happens on a relatively frequent basis. I think we would all have to say, and I would be, I think, remiss if I did not say that mistakes were made during this period in terms of comments that were made, perhaps. I would say that I was overenthusiastic at the time in my comments about The Post, particularly if you look at it in the context of the developments that have taken place.

A press secretary, in this job, has to attempt to reflect the Presidency and the White House. He also finds himself speaking on his own from time to time, as I was doing on that occasion. It was an

overstatement, I believe. In thinking of it at this pointa in time, yes, I would apoligize to The Post, and I would apologize to Mr. Woodward and Mr. Bernstein.

Now, having said that, I don't want to say that I agree with everything they write, and everything they have written. I think that would be a mistake, too. But they have vigrously pursued this story and they deserve the credit and are receiving the credit for it.

When we're wrong, we're wrong, and I would have to say I was in that case and other cases.