Dear John.

In your letter of 10/6 you say, "I do not understand the psoition of such things in New Orleans. Do you have any ideas?" Yes, as you would also if you had had longer experience with them. But this is hardly the time or place for that. Just figure them that way, figure them to do not what is to their interest but what at any given time and under any given circumstances they may consider to their interest, and do not assume they will do what ordinarily people who work together would do.

Since writing you I have heard from Moo. I assume Jim told him to call. They are not happy with my bluntness, but as they know I can help them, as I have in the past, often see what they do not. Moo has promised me transcripts (as he did before the trial was over). Now he wants something. I may get them. If I do, of course, we'll all have copies. Not knowing they bedn't given you yours (they have given me none-not even my own material back!), I did not specifically ask for it. I expect more (foolish). I asked specifically for Shaneyfelt and R Kennedy. We'll see what I get.

The Archives told me the authorization they finally provided is from the JFK 4-1 file, that it has always been there, and this is a lie.

My own work in this general area continues to go forward, though I have been more occupied with other taings lately.

Youl, who knows who wants what transcripts, will take care of distribution. Many thanks. Please excuse haste and delay in mailing earlier response.

Best regards,

Harold Weisburg