

4/5/69

Dear John,

Sending you data on the characteristics of 6.5 ammo might be like cooling Newcastle, but I got this chart for my own purposes and made copies for you and Bernabel. He has an interesting theory that, to me, explains some of the questions I spotted early. I will be doing some experimental work to attempt a few tentative answers until he is in a position to carry it further with his greater knowledge and competence. This relates to the markings and lack of markings on the shells from the TSBD. If you have given any thought to this and the problems, I'd welcome your opinions.

You may remember that almost a year ago I gave you Dick's first formulation of his belief of the trajectory from the window and his plotting of it. Since then you have been in touch with each other. One thing you may not have done I'd like you to do if and when you have time (you are in part set up for it) is to set the rifle for the error Brazier reported for the close target and see what it comes to at the officially-stated "actual" distances. This would fit well in AGENT OSWALD, which I have partly written and which will deal extensively with the frameup.

Your 4/3 with the court papers arrived this a.m. I will try and read them by Sunday night and respond with this. If comment is not included, you will know that Easter precluded it and I will, as soon thereafter as I can. I hope I can help.

Did I offer to lend you one of the very few of the limited editions of POST MORTEM III I have? I will be adding a chapter on the rest of the DC proceeding and the relevant parts of the N.O. transcript, with excerpts of the testimony. I've not been able to get to it. I do not think I have a copy of hand now but I should get one back soon. If you'd like to read it, if you now have the time, let me know. The appendix is also incomplete, but I have been preparing additions as I can. For example, Wecht's D.C. testimony has been excerpted and typed.

Sincerely,