3/7/74

Dear John,

hersenell This is why I phoned you yesterday:

The morning before I spoke to a friend at the Post, having seen the indications the WG defense would allege the grand dury had no legal right to issue a report, to give him precedent under these defendants. "e thought it important and ran to the city desk, from which I heard no more and to which I had offered the records of my obtaining the proof.

It was the Chicago Marker Black Panther report. I had obtained the copy I have from DJ in Washington.

Not uncharacteristically and true to the competitive spirit of modern journalism. the story I suggested appearaix today, properly emasculated.

Three of the severn defendants did or probably did have direct connection with this precedent counsel now claims to be non-existent. Mitchell was AG, "ardien was his Indornal Security assistant and Ehrlichman was domestic-affairs WI chieftain.

Naturally, they seek the best of all underworlds.

There are kickers that in my abandoned youth would have been considered news: The Mitchellisti had political objectives, not legal once, in this report, obviously not drafted by that grand jury (250 pp.), to get at their "enemies" The Panthers, who the report falsely claims aborted andictments (of these the most obvious is perjury and obstruction of justice, open and shut) and thus_denied thems lves justice;

All Illinois Desceratic politicians, from Doley to the DA, whose atmospheric translation of the characteristic fraction and the framing (one is fascinating in my files, the honeho, Ban Groth);

Andato hide DJ culpability through the FBI's informer, William F. "eal, Jr., based on whose inside reporting the whole deal was set up. Further possibility: the claim is that Manpton was drugged. Question' by FBI fink on the inside?

by last weeks call was to remind of what you may have forgotten and what was not in the indictments (from the Post's contraction of them), several aspects of 3/21/73 only one of which came up at last night's press conference. I think these were more than news, but I am projudiced. To me the indictments are more on the Hum Hum aide than the Gee Whis and I solicit holding me to this opinion. I have not seen the story "ewaweck has been advertising on radio rather heavily. It may see this as the living end. I don't. I can show you old analyses bearing on this, prepared long ago. And scores of pages of official records bearing on probabilities and past records.

My calls have had nothing to do with the negative I expect, if anyone takes the trouble. You know my lack of expectation. Nor where they to embarrass you. There were morely an effort to be helpful with no quid pro quo. However, when you have not returned the last two calls I take this as a sign you'd be just as happy that there be no more. I don't mind the saving of that much time for me.

Hor do I mind not getting the one thing for which I asked that required any effort, Haldeman's notes of 6/20/72. I do not need then for my work. I wrote that stuff last summer and see no need for any revision. I visualized the possibility of being helpful to other by examining his memorable words.

Nor do I mind being cast as a pariah. I have enjoyed that status for a accade. As I take no offense I intend none. You and Mel work for others.

Sincerely,