

The Editor
SUNDAY NEW YORK TIMES
Book Review Section
220 West 43 Street
New York City 10036

Dear Sir,

There's little profit in a publisher commenting on an adverse review of one of his books, but I want to do what I can to counter the impression left by John Kaplan in his review (May) of Harold Weisberg's **FRAME-UP**, which we published in March. Mr. Kaplan implies that Mr. Weisberg's critical analysis of the case against James Earl Ray is based primarily on conflicting newspaper stories.

The heart of **FRAME-UP** is a detailed examination of the evidence adduced or alleged against Ray at his brief trial. This examination of the trial material shows, I believe, that not one aspect of Ray's involvement in the assassination of Martin Luther King has been satisfactorily settled.

I write because I think the questions Mr. Weisberg raises are important ones.

Sincerely,

Harris Dienstfrey
Editor-in-Chief
OUTERBRIDGE & DIENSTFREY

HD/mp