

Dear Bill,

10/25/96

I'll read the enclosures with your 10/22 later. The Enquirer on Bolorous and sex looks interesting. Then the media has been quoting Dole on foreign aid to Clinton without regard to more of it to the GOPs, especially in the Reagan era, and to the fact that a Dole funds collector just got a heavy fine and six months in jail.

I did not expect my letter to Reno to reach her but I did expect it to get to some of those around her who go over her mail. I've heard nothing and probably will not.

I think it would be great fun to go after those Frazier annotations under both FOIA and PA but before doing that an appeal must be filed to exhaust administrative remedies. I did file general appeals that covered what I did not know existed long ago and perhaps it is not necessary to file new ones, New ones will get a stall and the FBI's backlog is longer than I can expect to live.

As I told Reno, with the characters they eased into the appeals office filing an appeal became a waste of time. We could be really dramatic in this in moving two file cabinets full of them into the courtroom to show that they were real and were ignored. As I told her, they were also documented, as a general statement.

It is at least 15 years since I appealed noncompliance with my request for all records relating to me. Of the FBI. It is at least 20 years since I appealed withholding from me of what relates to the scientific testing. That was CA 75-226. Perhaps a letter from you to Reno saying there is no need for the department or the FBI to be embarrassed when all I want is compliance, what as a matter of law is my right but that given my age, the state of my health and my history if I go to court this time it could get attention and be embarrassing. Particularly with the FBI's present situation because without any question I would again be proving the perjury I alleged and of which I sent her the Department's response.

The FBI could be embarrassed by merely turning it over. Thus they can lie and say they destroyed it. That is strictly prohibited as the FBI knows because all such records, here relating to the assassination, must be offered to the National Archives before they can be destroyed, if the Archives holds it is not a historical record. There is no doubt that any FBI commentary on the first book on the assassination is a historical record the archives would want and get so the FBI could not destroy it.

If Norris wants to air me 11/22, fine. Daytime, of course. Thanks for thinking of it.

More later. Thanks and best,