

WILLIAM L. NEICHTER
ATTORNEY AT LAW
1313 LYNDON LANE
SUITE 115
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY 40222
(502) 429-0266

August 16, 1995

Dear Harold:

Free to type again! Sometimes I get so caught up in the office, I tend to neglect my correspondence.

I enclose two articles that you may find interesting. One is by Dr. Baden. The other is a letter to the editor of the Wall Street Journal that discusses the cost of the B-2 Bomber- about \$6billion a copy, without the options like Hydrogen bombs.

Wonder if you get a rebate with that?

Last week was disgruntled client week. Seems to me since it took a lifetime for some of these people to get in trouble, they should give me some time to get them out of it!

The OJSimpson trial sure has taken some odd turns. It seems to me that the prosecution has already lost, and they want a mistrial. Also, I think at some point you have to ask the question- why is the state spending so much money to prosecute him? Seems to me that if the murder had been committed allegedly by, say a car dealership owner, a millionaire but not famous, they would not have spent \$2million or more. Gets into the issue of fairness.

One thing that has always fascinated me is that, soon after the murders, the ~~Bob~~ Brown family seemed unsure if OJ had done it. On an ABC interview, they really hemmed and hawed. And all the people who sat next to him on the plane to Chicago said he acted normal. The expert, name escapes me, that worked with you on the Ray case, did a good job on the bloody socks. It seems odd that everything in Simpson's bedroom was neat and orderly, except for a pair of socks in the middle of the floor, a pair that could have put him in the electric chair.

Who knows? One thing that is interesting that has come out about WWII is our plan to use poison gas on the Japanese in our invasion. That was surprising to me. I had never heard that.

Time to go politic tonight. A guy who is running for Lt. Governor is coming to our meeting. We need to get him to widen our road. Another case where no constituent ever asks the government for less money!

Saw a Republican talking about how we need to limit feeding kids at school unless the kid proves he really is hungry! Seems to me that if the kid eats the sandwich, he is hungry. Proof enough for me. Don't know why the Republicans are so nuts about the school lunch program. I honestly think their opinion is that by God if the kid's parents are too lazy to go get a job, the kid should starve! Sort of the Herbert Hoover school of economics. Makes you wonder what the country would be like without FDR.

