

# EXPOSED: THE TO DESTROY AME

On November 22, 1963, there began in Dallas, Texas, a string of assassinations which resulted in the deaths of three of America's great leaders and the attempted murder of a fourth. At the time of each assassination, the country was eager to accept a 'one-assassin' theory for each murder. But in the years that have passed, more and more evidence has piled up suggesting that the murderers in every case did not act alone, but were merely the triggermen in a vast conspiracy. Indeed, in some cases, there is a question as to the actual innocence of the convicted "assassins". Now, in an exclusive report to the National Examiner, Drew Baxter has assembled for the first time facts and opinions, and even statements by the men jailed for the assassinations which cast doubt on investigations into the plot to destroy America's leaders.

**PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY ASSASSINATED NOVEMBER 22, 1963 Dallas, Texas**

John F. Kennedy was shot and killed as he rode in a motorcade in Dallas, Texas. Shortly afterward a man identified as Lee Harvey Oswald was arrested and in a Dallas police station he was, in turn, gunned down by a Jack Ruby, who himself was to die within a few months of his act of murder.

Much has already been detailed in regard to the great shadow of doubt cast upon the Warren Commission's Investigation of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. Too much new evidence has been presented. Too little credence can be given to the decision arrived at by a study group whose main mission seemed to be merely calming an outraged public.

Rather than reiterate these questions, let us permit individuals who have studied the assassination very closely to state the case for a conspiracy:



**LEE HARVEY OSWALD... victim or partner in a conspiracy?**

**"...IT WAS A CONSPIRACY"**  
— Dr. David Wrona, history professor at the University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point, after researching the assassination for ten years: "It's an irrefutable fact, there for any common citizen to see, that it was a conspiracy."

— Dr. Cyril Wecht, the forensic pathologist who was

the first to study autopsy materials and records: "It is physically impossible for only one gunman to have slain Kennedy. The fact is that the physical evidence shows that not any one person could have been a shooter. There had to have been at least two people shooting."

— Fred J. Cook, journalist: "Most people I have talked to cannot believe that one man, Oswald, could do all this. I think it's almost the unanimous opinion among expert riflemen, hunters, people familiar with guns. They just shake their heads and say this is impossible."

\*— Kerry Thornley, writer: "I read the 26 volumes of testimony given to the Warren Commission (and) I found the report extremely inaccurate, and there are places in it where it's either a question of almost criminal carelessness, or a deliberate attempt to cover-up."

— Mark Lane, author of "Rush To Judgment" and a founding director of the Continuing Investigation Committee: "The Warren Commission's conclusion that Oswald did it alone is ludicrous. The evidence is conclusive that there were at least two people."

— Edward M. Keating, lawyer: "The Zapruder film, with its mysteriously deleted frame, the questions about whether the bullet came from the front or back, the mystery of the autopsy, the time-sequence of the shots, the refusal to present X-rays of the President, the hint at a prior acquaintance between Ruby, (Officer) Tippit (who was also killed the day JFK was assassinated) and Oswald — collect all of these things, and add the method used by the Warren Commission (the inadequate cross-examination and the absence of adversary proceedings) and it all leaves you feeling very, very uneasy. But what really concerns me is the statement that some of the material (from the Warren Commission Investigation) would be locked up in the archives for 75 years.

Well, in terms of our national security, what the hell are they talking about?"

— Harrison Salisbury, the highly respected New York Times journalist who stated in print his certainty that Oswald acted alone, had the courage three years later to say he was wrong and later endorsed a proposal to investigate the commission report.

Most incriminating of all is the ridiculous "single bullet theory" which would have us believe that one bullet passed through Ken-



**GOVERNOR GEORGE C. WALLACE... "I don't think Bremer was a loner..."**

nedy's body, through Connally's back, Connally's chest, wrist and thigh and was found on a stretcher in nearly perfect unfired condition! Preposterous — and yet that's what the Commission decided to believe.

It is even more preposterous when you learn that the one-bullet theory doesn't jibe with the photos of JFK's clothing, two FBI reports of the autopsy, the chief doctor's sketch of the autopsy, testimony of Governor Connally's doctors, as well as the recollection of the governor and his wife. And, of course, most of the witnesses at the scene of the shooting were certain that the shots came, not from the book depository, but from the "grassy knoll" in front of the President's car. Further, the only witness to see Oswald at the murder site couldn't even identify him in the police line-up!



**JFK AND BOBBY... are their real assassins waiting to strike again?**

And there are the words of Lee Harvey Oswald, himself, as we was being led out of the Dallas Police Station, only moments before he was murdered by Jack Ruby. Shouted Oswald: "I AM A PATSY!" Was he?

one's mind that once he had won California, he could have won the Democratic nomination and probably would have gone on to win the Presidency, taking up the torch dropped by his murdered brother, President John F. Kennedy.

**SENATOR ROBERT F. KENNEDY ASSASSINATED JUNE 5, 1968 Los Angeles, Calif.**

Bobby Kennedy was the center of attraction at a party which celebrated his victories in the election primaries in South Dakota and California. There was little doubt in any-

That dream was ended when a bullet struck him in the head and a young Jordanian Arab, Sirhan Beshara Sirhan, was caught at the scene brandishing a handgun. He was tried, convicted and sentenced to death. It seemed to everyone at the time that he acted alone, but nagging questions continue.



**SIRHAN B. SIRHAN... destruction of evidence**

Numerous inconsistencies crop up when discussing the murder of Senator Kennedy. For example, assistant Maitre D' Karl Uecker, who was leading the Senator through the kitchen pantry of the Ambassador Hotel pinned Sirhan against the steam table, at approximately "two arm lengths" from the Senator. Uecker testified that Sirhan's gun muzzle never came closer than "a foot and a half...two feet" from IN FRONT of Kennedy. But Dr. Thomas Nuguchi, the doctor who performed the autopsy, said the fatal shot was fired one-inch

EXAMINER

wi gu Uu  
wi ne ha fat six to ha th in fin Th we lay a j  
wt tex by de tri of  
sti au be  
mi W ca an au Bc

Ke off de an w  
JA

from his fur of sen / Sir his bel wo ow bou say  
GO WA AT

WRITER - HARDLY AN ACCURATE DESCRIPTION OF THORNEY, A VERY AMBIGUOUS ARTICLE IS TRASH.

**Assassins Did Not Act Alone But Were Part Of A Plot!**

# A CONSPIRACY AMERICA'S LEADERS

witness in a hundred) saw the gun any closer than what Uecker described.

Furthermore, the bullet which was removed from Kennedy's shoulder was marked as having five grooves when the fatal bullet should have shown six according to ballistics. And, to test the bullets, which should have been tested by firing them through Sirhan's weapon, investigators chose instead to fire them through another gun. This discrepancy incredibly went unnoticed by three lawyers, three prosecutors and a judge!

Furthermore, a second gun, which should have been submitted as evidence, was destroyed by the Los Angeles Police department, months before the trial began! A clear suppression of evidence.

These questions and others still abound and lend some authenticity to the doubts now being cast on the guilt of Sirhan.

Finally, there is the statement made by Dr. Cyril H. Wecht, President of the American College of Legal Medicine and the consultant to the authorities who investigated Bobby's death, who says:

"I do not believe that Senator Kennedy was satisfied with the official verdict of his brother's death. If Sen. Kennedy had lived and become President, I feel he would have done something

Nobody had given George Wallace much of a real chance of becoming President early in the campaign for President back in 1972 until the fantastic victory he won in the Florida primary. Then other Democrats began taking him seriously. Republicans were worried. And the "establishment" was on the verge of chaos.

Riding high on a crest of popularity, he campaigned throughout the South, "pressing the flesh" with the people. Then, that fateful day, May 15, 1972, Wallace was gunned down, the target for not one, or two or even three, but five bullets supposedly fired by one man in the crowd — Arthur Bremer. Bremer was arrested, brought to trial and jailed. Was he the assassin? If so, was he the only assassin?

Not even Wallace himself believes that!

He indicated in one interview that he thought several gunmen had done the shooting.

"I was worried about other folks getting shot," he recalled. "I thought there might have been more than one person shooting."

Several times after that as he investigated his own near-assassination, he continued to suggest a conspiracy.

"If I ever get to the bottom of this," he said, "...I don't think he (Bremer) was a loner. It's hard for me to understand how a busboy would be able to accumulate (that much) money in the bank."

Bremer is now in a Maryland prison. He never said he was innocent. But he has never proved satisfactorily that even if he was a would-be assassin, that he was the one and only assassin or that he was the mastermind of any plot there might have been to kill Wallace. Is he covering up for one or more members of a conspiracy?

**REV. MARTIN LUTHER KING ASSASSINATED APRIL 4, 1968, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE**

James Earl Ray was tried for the killing of Martin Luther King in the only state in the entire union which allows a man to plead guilty to a crime without a jury trial and without the presentation of evidence.

Now, six years later, James Earl Ray, from his jail cell in a Tennessee State prison, says there was a conspiracy. He has also alleged in a lawsuit that there was suppression of evidence in his trial and has suggested that he knows names of

persons involved in the conspiracy!

Ray, himself, affirms his innocence, saying that he was sitting in his car when the fatal shot was fired and the weapon that killed Rev. King was handed to him by a South American named "Raoul". The man, according to Ray, had previously told him that the rifle was being shown to a "pros-



**SENATOR ROBERT KENNEDY... unanswered questions**

pective buyer", when he was asked to deliver the weapon to Memphis.

No trial has been held which would disprove any of this evidence. Add to this the fact that Ray had no personal axe to grind with Rev. King, that he was an unemployed bartender who would find it difficult to get enough money to travel first to Canada and then to England — as he did — and the questions mount up.

Ray says he is innocent. Is he?



**REV. MARTIN LUTHER KING... who killed this man?**

**THE WHOLE TRUTH**

Does a conspiracy exist? The answer is a loud clear YES. It is an unwitting conspiracy of silence of the American people who are at one and the same time the victims and the perpetrators — because we have stood by and done nothing to let the authorities know that we want the truth... the whole truth... and nothing but the truth.

Were the assassins framed? YES! Because two have been convicted without benefit of trial and because in all the cases, the American people have allowed important questions to go unanswered.

For even if the men who were charged with the assassination were, in fact, the "triggermen", they may not have been the "brains" behind the murders. Yet they have been saddled with the guilt of both planning and executing the assassinations alone.

Are Lee Harvey Oswald, James Earl Ray, Sirhan Beshara

Sirhan and Arthur Bremer innocent? At this point, there is no conclusive proof that they are solely guilty for the crimes with which they've been charged.

There is no proof that they were NOT merely "patsies" or scapegoats.

Until a thorough investigation is made into the assassinations, until there is no doubt as to what actually happened and until there is no doubt that the assassins acted alone, we will all stand accused of being part of the conspiracy to destroy America's leaders... a conspiracy of silence!

*The National Examiner realizes that any investigation into all the assassinations must begin with the first one. Therefore, in cooperation with the Continuing Investigation Committee, THE EXAMINER is printing a petition designed to gain a re-investigation of the John F. Kennedy murder.*

*National Examiner readers are urged to clip the petition, and when enough signatures are obtained, send it to THE NATIONAL EXAMINER, P.O. BOX "O", Pittsford, N.Y. 14534. We shall forward your petition to the Investigation Committee.*

**PETITION FOR AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION INTO THE ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY**

**We demand an independent Congressional investigation into the assassination of President John F. Kennedy and the opening of the National Archives and the release of all pertinent material.**

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_
5. \_\_\_\_\_
6. \_\_\_\_\_
7. \_\_\_\_\_
8. \_\_\_\_\_
9. \_\_\_\_\_
10. \_\_\_\_\_
11. \_\_\_\_\_
12. \_\_\_\_\_
13. \_\_\_\_\_
14. \_\_\_\_\_
15. \_\_\_\_\_
16. \_\_\_\_\_
17. \_\_\_\_\_
18. \_\_\_\_\_
19. \_\_\_\_\_
20. \_\_\_\_\_

EXAMINER

ng to  
ne had  
d have  
rmined  
d have  
dency,  
ped by  
Pres-  
I when  
e heal  
Atak  
i. was  
lishing  
l, cop-  
death,  
at the  
e, but  
ue.  
encie  
ng the  
inedy  
Main  
s lead  
gh the  
mba-  
rhan  
le, a  
urn  
nato;  
rhan;  
close  
f...tw  
f Ke  
oma  
no pe-  
d the  
e-iaa



**JAMES EARL RAY... willing to name names**

from the White House to probe his brother (JFK's) death further and I think that is one of the large reasons why the senator was killed."

Add to this the fact that Sirhan has always maintained his innocence. Strange behavior for an assassin who would be expected to not only own up to the murder, but to boast about it! Nonetheless, he says he is innocent.

**GOVERNOR GEORGE C. WALLACE ASSASSINATION ATTEMPTED MAY 15, 1972 Laurel, Maryland**

SIGIOUS Figure. PERHAPS CIA- OF COURSE,