

1/9/72

mr herb brubaker
NBC NEWS
4001 Nebraska Ave, nw
Washington, D.C.

dear hebb,

please excuse consequences of almost losing a thumb yesterday, difficulty with caps.

as is now obvious, i knew what i was talking to you about in my last letter. graham was in touch with me, the only visible result of which is lattimore's de-emphasis of one of the more incredible preconceptions.

there is serious error and serious omission in what propaganda has been staged and in graham's reporting of it. an exclusive seems to have been arranged for him, not for the first time and both times resulting in propaganda. this is inevitable because no reporter can possibly have the knowledge required to understand such enormous material needlessly made more complicated. no intended attack on graham, who was used.

to make this comprehensible to you, assume what is not true, graham's third graph, that the film "eliminates any doubt completely" about the warren commission conclusion that oswald "fired all the shots that struck the president." what about the shot or shots that hit connally? and ask yourself how any of the stuff lattimore saw could in any way address who fired what shots?

here the refusal of anybody in the media to report anything about any of my suits for this suppressed evidence makes it possible for falsehood to become fixed as truth.

this graph is off the record. i can't give away the book i have completed. it is totally and deliberately false to say that the commission did not see any of the film. my source is unimpeachable, i have official unpublished records on this, and i have been told, in addition, by the official who did it what on two different occasions he showed to whom. if we can work anything out i will take you to hi.. it is likewise a deliberate lie to say, as graham did not invent, that the kennedy family denied the commission access. again, my source is a suppressed official record in my book and in my possession.

whatever is or is not true, this has to be one of the great scandals in our history. it should have the makings, with what is in my possession alone, of a fantastiv special. i put it this way now because of my previous comment about the quality of nbc's recent reporting. the cost in learning whether or not his is true is only a little time and less than an hour's drive.

again off the record, lattimore can be evaluated by this: there is NO PICTURE OF THE FRONT OF THE PRESIDENT'S BECK, AND THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THAT THERE IS ANY FRAGMENTATION OF BULLET IN THE BODY IS PROOF OF TWO THINGS: PERJURY AND ITS SUBORNATION. it is also, in and of itself, total disproof of the warren report, which postulates no fragmentation in the body and whose counsel, who had seen this same film, suborned perjurious testimony about it. this is but a small part of the overall story or what was suppressed that i now

have in hand, including what i would not permit to be used, pictures of suppressed evidence that include even the president's blood. you can't begin to imagine how much and how overwhelming it really is, or the magnitude of all the wrong done knowingly, all beyond any question.

it is a pretty sorry thing that has happened to the country, and it is sadder still when an uncritical, unthinking press permits itself to be converted into an unofficial arm of dishonest government, in effect an agency for propaganda. i would like to hope that on this subject, too, that can change, and too late as it is, now.

two more points: it may neither concern nor interest you, but all the kennedys are again victimized, this time by their trusted representative, who had been warned. what follows, i regret, must be off the record, as you will see. because i anticipated this long ago, i saw mac mathias, who i have known for years. i explained some of what i could see to him, he saw it immediately, spoke to burke marshall, who is a friend of his, and reported back to me that he had marshall's assurances that he, ~~marshall~~ marshall, would not permit any such thing. because i believed this, i made no further efforts along those lines. under the contract with the government, this outside viewing became possible october 29 of last year. it was before then. i can't use my files with one hand so i can't give you the exact date. the only thing i can think of that can explain either this change or what was not in any event a necessity, that anyone be permitted to see anything, is an awareness of what is in my book, what i have. this may have been triggered by my interviews with three authentic medical experts all misused by the government, or by some of my recent successes in tracing the evidence indicating that both oswald and ruby had had government connections.

the last point is that if nbc airs any of this misinformation from and about lattimore, radio and tv, i think that under fcc regulations i am entitled to equal time and i hope it will be offered, not as a formal request under regulations, but as a voluntary practice of the traditions of our calling in which the media seeks to give the people both sides. to this end i do claim that i am the only expert who has done the work needed to qualify. a pathologist, for example, is qualified in pathology, but that does not make him an expert in the evidence about the kennedy assassination. i alone among those who have done any of this research have the necessary knowledge and i have proof of everything i say in my possession.

sincerely,

harold waisberg