The Office of Logic Grandl of the Department of Justices of DRAFT 2/7/73

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

This is in reply to your letter of February 2, 1973.

As we do not have the original of the "Report of Inspection by Naval Medical Staff on November 1, 1966 at National Archives of X-rays and Photographs of Autopsy of President John F. Kennedy," we are referring a copy of your letter to the Department of Justice in regard to your request for a copy of the report made from the original.

As you know, the report of the Naval Medical Staff was previously withheld from research under the terms of 5 U.S.C. 552, subsection (b) (6), as a part of "medical files and similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" of the family of the late President Kennedy. On a subsequent review of the report, it was found that the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552 were no longer applicable.

In recent judicial decision, were not longer applicable. It was found that the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552 were no longer applicable. It was found that the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552 were no longer applicable. It was found that the provisions of the secret for the foundation of the foundation of the secret Service agent in seizing and exposing a film at the autopsy. If you know of any relevant documents that have been published, we shall be pleased to make them available to you.

behasper .

Enclosed are copies of an unsigned draft of the report of the Naval.

Medical Staff and a memorandum from the Department of Justice to the

National Archives dated January 13, 1967, entitled "Authentication of Kennedy Autopsy Pictures." Additional documents leading to the report of the Naval Medical Staff are withheld from research under the terms of 5 U.S.C. 552, subsection (b) (5), as "inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available to a private party in litigation with the agency," or an archive party in litigation with the agency," or an archive party which would not be available to a private party in litigation with the agency, or an archive party which was a first party of the party of the Party and the Party

we shall be pleased to consider your request for a review.

Sincerely,

JAMES B. RHOADS Archivist of the United States