Deer Bud.

On Wednesday, from Beven's office, in your absence, I phoned the Archives for a copy of the efternoon session in Judge halleck's court. In khoads' absence (making speeches in Europe), I spoke to his secretary. The said they do have a copy of the transcript, as I presumed, and she said she would refer my request for a copy of the efternoon session to their Legal and Diplomatic branch. Un Thursday, remarkable repidly, from my experience of the past year or so, the enclosed raply was dictated. I got it Saturday.

is you can see, government and suppression by semantics have not ended. Angel does not say that he, the Archives, GCA or the government do not have the transcript. He says only it is not "in our office files".

Therefore, I have written him, copy enclosed, renewing my request, from whatever source or file or temporary repository.

I remind you I have us do the samer request of the Department of Justiceo which has failed to respond in any way at all. The IJ request is in writing and repeated when it was ignored.

Faul nock has sent me a copy of the Attorney General's memorandum on the Freedou of Information act. If you do not have your own copy, I will provide this one. I have merely glanced at the opening pages. However, they include statements of national policy, by the President and by the tuen atteorney general, I believe you will find useful. I have marked them, underscoring what seems to address our position in the matter.

I look forward to hearing of your New Orleans trip, enything you may have learned of what was represented of present plans, and what transcripts you got copies.

Since speaking to you, in the course of going over some files, I learned that the lawyer to whom I referred you on Reul was once an associate in the Council of Tesceful Alternatives of Leonard Reissman. If I didn't tell you, Reissman has returned to N.O. and is reluctant to talk, saying he is content to leave the record where the government (not in the Warren Report but inits files) left it. My recollection is it is a record showing he was at Stanford and was not in N.O. at the time Oswald's hendbill was found near his home (and no less near Kent Courtney's home and then plant, as my investigation shows).

Sincerely,

September 27, 1969

Mr. Herbert E. Angel Acting Archivist of the United States The National Archives Weshington, D.C. 20408

Dear Mr. Angel,

I appreciate very much the promptness of your raply to my inquiry about the court transcript the day after I made it. I do thank you for this agnideration.

Homers, your letter leaves me with questions.

Your sgency and Dr. Phonds were the defendants in this action in Judge Hallack's court. His decision was against you. You appealed. It is my unforstending, perhaps incorrect (and if it is, please correct me), that to appeal the defendant requires the transcript.

Your letter seys (my emphasis), "I have had our office files checked for a copy of the transcript you requested" and "We do not have this transcript". This is less than saying the Mational Archives, the General Bervices Administration of the government does not have a copy of this transcript. It could very well be elsewhere and not in "our office files".

It is difficult to believe the National Archives can be without
the first and successful challengs to the arrangement under which government
property of the significance of the prime evidence of the assessination of a
President was restored the government possession. It is also difficult to believe
there can be a complete archive on this passessination without this transcript.
Furthermore, because there is reason to believe and because you do know this is
not the only challenge to the aforementioned arrangement, another now pending in
another jurisdiction, it is logical to assume that perhaps elsewhere, not "in
cur office files", the government does have your copy of this transcript. I therefore renew my request for it, from whatever file it may now temporarily reside.

Let us explain further than the part I seek is the dide of the government. I have obtained the other side. It is the government's defence that I lack. For my work to be belanced and complete I want to study and present the defendant's case. If and when you locate the transcript I understand the government does have, all I want is the afternoon session. I would prefer to come in an examine it and order the pages I will want copied, but if this presents any problem, I will buy a copy of the antire afternoon session.

It is not possible to impose on the official reporter, to go into that office and make this study, and the charges made per page by the reporter preclude the possibility of buying a copy this way. These charges are prohibitive to research read writers. In any event, I believe it is incumbent upon the government to make this government record available to me.

Sincerely,





UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service Washington, D.C. 20408



September 25, 1969

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 8 Frederick, Maryland 21719

Dear Mr. Wetakers.

As you requested, I have had our office files checked for a copy of the transcript you requested.

We do not have a copy of this transcript, but I understand you might be able to obtain information about it from a court reporter, Miss Norma Houghton, Room 350, 451 Indiana Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D. C. (Phone: 202-629-4171).

Sincerely,

HERBERT E. ANGEL Acting Archivist

of the United States