Dr. James Shoads, Archivist The National Archives Weshington, D.C.

Bear Sector Monde.

Yesterday Mr. Johnson informed me you do not have the <u>original</u> negatives from which FRI Varren Commission Exhibits in the series paginging in the 560 range were made. I saked him to have you ask for these so that I may, as moon as possible, examine them. My re-examination of the prints yesterday convinces me this is necessary for my surpose. For all surposes, however, I believe these are required to be in your erabive.

None of these negatives can fell withing the exclusions permitted by the "guidlines". My reading of the executive sessions convinces me it was the Commission's intention that all such original evidence was to have been in this archive. My reading of the Department of Justice spinions in your files also confirms this, Over and above this, I believe public policy requires it. It is, from the point of view of evidence, intolerable that the only real evidence illustrated by the prints you do have is not in your possession. There is nothing in the files or testimony of which I am aware that certifies the authenticity of the prints, either. Particularly when this deals with besis evidence about the surder of a President is this inconceiveble to me.

Aside from this, what you have is too for removed from the original. First the negatives were exposed. Then a print was made, then pictures were made from the prints, etc., each process causing a disunition in clarity.

The testimony indicates the prints used as exhibits were made from megatives exposed through a comparison microscope. I am not persuaded my examination permits acceptance of this.

When I select to exemine both the prints and the negatives in May, I was about the offset negatives and not told these were not the original negatives. These ere even further removed from the original evidence.

I believe also that the executive order of Atterney General Clerk requires these negatives and all such other government evidence to be in your custody and free-ly necessible to se and others.

Will you please request this evidence end let me know you have or, if you decline, your reasons for refusing to?

In speaking with Mr. Johnson yesterday I explained my desire to have a picture of Exhibit 309 made on a scale. It is the three do not and cannot know the weight of this exhibit at any particular time. It is understandable that a small frequent may have fallen off in necessary hedding, but that frequent remains and can be weighed. The testimony about the weight does not identify the time at which it was weighed.

Therefore, we cannot know whether the neight given in the testimony and used in a certain fashion in the Report is the weight before or after the sample was removed for spectrographic analysis.

I believe the reason you gave me for refusing to take this picture for me is not a proper reason, is not seriously presented but is an excuse by which the government seeks to dany me information it cannot properly dany me. However, as an elternative, to eveid the situation that exists, I will accept any certified statement of the weight of this Exhibit six any specified time before it was transferred to the National Archives. Or, there is an alternative picture you can make for me: the bullet and may fragments that may have come loose, in their cases, plus a picture of the cases and packing materials only, in each case on the some scale. Thus you are not weighing the bullet removed from its case but the cased bullet and the casings.

I use this occasion to call to your attention the proper requests I have made to which there has not been responsed for many months, One of these recently came to mind when I needed what I so long ago requested: the page of the Cavald Marine manual that is annotated. I have saked for this in writing and we really on a number of occasions. I still want it, please.

Yesterday's newspapers contain Relph Mader's complaint that other agencies, in taking several weeks to respond, are frustrating the purposes of the Freedom of Information Act. That is the case, then, when so many months shapes and there is no response?

Sincerely,

Marold elsborn