Garrison Files FCC Complaint Accusing NBC

Says Probe News Mis-Handled by Network

District Attorney Jim Garrison has filed a six-page complaint with the Federal Communications Commission in Washington against the National Broadcasting Co., claiming that NBC has wrongfully handled matters concerning Garrison's presidential murder probe.

In a letter to Rosel H. Hyde, chairman of the FCC, Garrison charged that NBC "has been so aggressive in attacking the of Louisiana's case (against defendant Clay L. Shaw) prior to trial as to have gone far beyond the pretense of merely gathering and disseminating news."

The text of Garrison's letter

to the FCC follows:

"I wish to make a formal complaint against the National Broadcasting Company for its activities interfering with the prosecution of an open case now pending and soon to be tried in the Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish in the state of Louisiana. The case with which this agency is interfering is entitled, State of Louisiana versus Clay Shaw, the defendant therein being charged with participation in the conspiracy to assas-

sinate John F. Kennedy.
"The actions of the National · Broadcasting Company, through its employees and agents, have been so aggressive in attacking the state of Louisiana's case prior to trial as to have gone far beyond the pretense of merely gathering and disseminating news. To the contrary, the news function of this agency has been used as a guise and a cover for conduct plainly intended to affect the course and outcome of the trial. The actions of this network have been so predictably injurious to the cause of the prosecution as to leave no alternative to the conclusion that the sabotage and destruction of the case of the state of Louisiana against the defendant has been the calculated objective of the agents and employees of this network

"The purpose of this letter! is to present to the Commission a summary of these activities so as to call to your attention the ? curious intervention on the part

of this network with regard to a pending law case in which it is not a proper party. This complaint will be followed by statements and affidavits supporting the herein charges of wrongful use by this network of its interstate communication privileges. t

"This operation of the National Broadcasting Company has been directed from Washington, D.C., by Mr. Walter Sheridan, a former investigator for the United States government. Following are the acts of which complaint is hereby made:

"1. This television network or months has harbored, sheltered and encouraged a fugitive from justice from the state of Louisiana. It has provided aid and comfort and economic help to Gordon Novel in the states of Virginia, Ohio and New York. even while the state of Louisiana has sought his return on a criminal charge lodged against him. This fugitive also has long been sought by the state of Louisiana as a witness before the Orleans Parish Grand Jury with regard to matters connected with the assassination of President Kennedy. On learning that he was to be a witness before the Grand Jury, Mr. Novel promptly sold his business and fled the state of Louisiana. Mr. Novel is, as his Louisiana attorney has admitted, a former embloyee of the Central Intelligence

"2. The National Broadcasting Company has attempted publicly to discredit, in advance of the trial, all known major witnesses of the prosecution. In the case of witness Perry Russo it has sought to discredit not only him but the objectifying tests for truth which he voluntarily took, even though the agents of the National Broadcasting Company knew well that the defendant in the case has refused to take any such tests. In the case of witness Vernon Bundy, the National Broadcasting Company has solicited derogatory and false statements, for presentation

prior to trial, from convicts who obviously have no knowledge of the facts but who have been cooperative with Mr. Walter Sheridan because of their common

"3. Agents and employees of the National Broadcasting Company systematically have harrassed witnesses for the prosecution. Every known witness for the prosecution has been approached through one decive or another. One prosecution witness has been told that he should reconsider his intended testimony for the prosecution and has been offered a trip to New York to see "a \$200,000-a-year lawyer". This witness has been contacted so repeatedly that it is obvious that an effort has been made to destroy his value as a prosecution witness by harassment. Another potential prosecution witness has been informed that if she did not want to be pictured in a bad light she had petter give filmed interviews o the National Broadcasting Company's agent. The presenation has been made to each of these witnesses contacted by he National Broadcasting Company that the prosecution's case, n effect is going to be destroyed by the National Broadcastng Company and that they should get on the right side of he case before it is too late.

"4. The National Broadcasting Company arranged for a poential prosecution witness to be flown to Washington, D.C. in connection with its objective of the development of this man as a witness for the defense rather than the prosecution. One National Broadcasting Company agent went to Washington with this witness where they met other National Broadcasting Company and former United States government intelligence personnel. Subsequently, public statements attacking the prosecution were encouraged and obtained by National Broadcasting Company personnel and were filmed and distributed

throughout the country.

"In connection with this witness a demonstrably false and altered tape purporting to portray a bribe offered by the prosecution, was publicized nationally by the National Broadcasting Company. A subsequent investigation by the New Orleans Police Department has revealed that no bribery attempt was made by the prosecution but that the said tape had been alered to make this appear to be the case. It is not known by his office whether or not the raudulent alternation of the tape was made by National Broadcasting Company personnel but we have learned that at an early stage in these activities a copy of this tape was obtained by and was in the hands of Mr. Walter Sheridan, who has been particularly active in recent years in adventures involving the use of tapes and bugging equipment.

"5. Agents and employees of the National Broadcasting Company knowingly have solicited from prisoners convicted by the New Orleans district attorney's demonstrably office false charges against the prosecution with the plain objective of creating a wide-spread belief, prior to trial, that the prosecution has no case against the defendant and is therefore resorting to illegal activities. From Miguel Torres, an armed robber and narcotics addict convicted by his office, the National Broadcasting Company has solicited the statement that the prosecution "offered him heroin" to give false testimony. From "John the Baptist" Cancler, a professional burglar convicted by his office, the Na-tional Broadcasting Company has solicited the statement that the prosecution sought to have him burglarize the defendant's home so as to place false evidence therein.

These incredible fictions, which have attempted to picture the New Orleans district attorney's office as dispensing heroin and initiating burglaries, although obviously false, were solicited by Mr. Walter Sheridan and his agents, and have been passed on by them to other news media as "news stories". It is apparent that the National Broadcasting Company intends

sented at trial by the defense pending case. because their testimony would "7. The National Broadcasting defense has been sufficiently be exposed by cross-evamina- Company, under the active close that in recent weeks a tion as false, however, by acting supervision of Mr. Sheridan, nember of the defendant's legal as the advocate of the defend- has attempted to buy copies of staff has been known to state ant prior to the trial, the Na- evidence which might be used that when the National Broadtional Broadcasting Company by the prosecution in the trial casting Company gets finished can use such witnesses to dis-of the case. In one instance, ac- with its presentation over nacredit the prosecution without cording to information obtained tional television, the prosecuproviding the prosecution any by this office, a large sum of tion's case will be thoroughly opportunity to cross-examine money was paid by the Na-destroyed.

to employ these fraudulent Company, under the active aforedescribed unethical and statements on a nation-wide supervision of Mr. Walter fraudulent activities by the Natelevision as a part of its effort Sheridan, has sought to obtain its agents and employes have to discredit the prosecution tape recordings of conversations worked in concert with repreprior to trial. Such witnesses among members of the district sentatives of the defendant. The obviously could never be pre-attorney's staff concerning the relationship of the National

tional Broadcasting Company to "Ordinarily, the effort to dis-

a photograph obtained by the prosecution.

"8. During the course of the Broadcasting Company and the

"6. The National Broadcasting a potential witness for a copy of credit the prosecution's case is

JUNE 18, 1967

**

is the first time, to my knowl-damage the state's case prior Broadcasting Company's invaedge, that a national television to trial. It should be added that sion of this pending law case network has actively sought to the last-described endeavor has is particularly marked in contorpedo'a pending law case prior been accomplished not by mem-trast to the objective and fair to trial.

Broadcasting Company has been actively aided by its New Orleans area actively aided by its New Orleans associate, WDSU-TV. The participation of WDSU-TV in
"The deleterious effect of such sination of President John F. prosecution witnesses by at least cution's witnesses predictably case related to that assassina-one member of its staff, biased has been quite notable. It does tion. This company, which exvolved in presenting its "news" envision the effect on potential purposes, should not be allowed programs and "editorials", jurors who are being exposed to use the public air waves to plainly intended to discredit through their television sets to accomplish such mean ends." the prosecution in this case, and this unauthorized pre-trial adthe dispersal of funds to at-vocacy. torneys and other individuals "The special bias and unique

bers of the station itself, but by approach of the other two tele-"It should be noted that in an attorney closely connected vision networks. Whatever the the course of its efforts to ob- with the station who has pre-motivation might be, it is clear struct justice, the National iously been known to disperse that the National Broadcasting

cluded harassment of potential undue pressures on the prose-Kennedy and of the pending presentation of the issues in not take much imagination to ists primarily for profit-making

a function of the defense. This participating in the effort to aggressiveness of the National

Very truly yours, JIM GARRISON District Attorney.