2/11/78 Despite Some Tinkering With Reality, the Shortcomings Often Seem Unimportant King, a Controversial Portrait

By Tom Shales

sion perfectly matched. air Tuesday night), into a stirring and ally evolves, in about its fifth hour (to out as a cumbersome and heavyand the civil rights movement, starts absorbing portrait of a man and a mishanded situation tragedy, but eventu-"King," NBC's six-hour, three-part film on Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.

sanctimonious preciousness at the start and insists on parading his own pects. This is probably because writer Abby Mann mounts a high horse of 4, doesn't inspire the tremendous emo-"King," which begin with a two-hour telecast Sunday at 9 p.m. on Channel tional release one hopes for and ex-Eyen at its conclusion, however,

> credentials as a proudly guilty liberal.
> It doesn't make King any more heroic a figure to portray John and Robert Kennedy as spineless vacillators the champ of moralistic revisionism until very late in the game. Mann is picted as something of a quivering neurotic who doesn't find his courage on the civil rights issue; Bobby is de-

TV Preview

proclaiming with piety now how people in another time behaved or should and over-the-shoulder judgments, of

a conspiracy by Memphis authorities -and, implicitly, the FBI-in the ashave behaved. Much later, Mann drops coy hints of

> especially is a surreptitious, half-whis forum for raising such speculation tary-style drama on prime-time netpered way. work television does not seem just the

"Roots," if only the script had been shaped by a competent director. It wasn't. The film was directed by Mann himself and it's safe to say that however, and "King" might have matched the riveting impact of the director and the author managed to bring out some of the worst in each Mann is a slickly skillful dramatist

actual newsreel footage intercut with to abuse the already questionable tac-Worse, Mann has found new ways

sassination of Dr. King. A documen- the speculative narrative, but occaso that they would look like newsand white and with hand-held cameras sional new scenes were shot in black reeis, too.

concerned with advertising his own mood, but at times he seems more all people, Singer Tony Bennett pop concern, and his own real or imagined up as themselves, and this seems a credibility, than in telling King's story that. Mann was clearly in a messianic thenticity, and a disreputable one at gambit to bolster the illusion of aufaithfully and movingly. Julian Bond, Ramsey Clark and, of

Dubious emphasis plagues the film. The role of Coretta Scott King in the

King, Controversial Portrait on NBC It is only during the oratorical

KING, From E1

Mann, and had approval rights over tion in the filming, according to King was paid a fee for her cooperamay have been overstressed. Mrs. movement and in shaping King's role for TV Guide magazine. later wrote an article about the film the script and the casting. Mrs. King

Meanwhile, such figures as Ralph Memphis prior to King's assassinawere added in the interests of good periphery: some fictitious characters filming, remain on ment but did not cooperate with the Abernathy, who was part of the moveginning of the civil rights movement this is presented as, in effect, the betime King met his future wife, and tion, Mann flashes back to the first After an opening scene of riots in storytelling. the silent

"King" is not an exploitative insult, rytelling and accurate history arises CBS, but it tinkers about with realilike the recent "Ruby and Oswald" on presented in less gimmicky and obfustainly important enough to have been ties that are strong enough and cercating ways. Again the conflict between good sto-

endary and so widely idolized-howhave been easy to make a man so legthis. Winfield is outstanding. ever widely derided he also was in striking empathy and consistent con-viction by Paul Winfield, It couldn't of "King," the title role is played with time—as believable and life-sized as To the credit, perhaps the salvation

> scenes that his performance proves inwith a penetrating force and theatriadequate, because the speeches of comparison, and does. field's version is doomed to fail by just as he delivered it, so that Winand hear the "I have a dream" speech through television can close our eyes table. Those of us who lived the era cal flourish that make them unforget-Martin Luther King were delivered

oddest and most disruptive moments steam. Standing ovations occur at the working up some real evangelical peatedly undercut just when he's poorly, anyway, that Winfield is reand Mann is obsessed with showing us this audience reaction. Mann directs the speech scenes so

begins to look like a Dean Martin show-biz terms; it would figure. ate, to King's success with crowds in Roast, Perhaps Mann could only rel-There's so much random applause it

Howard Rollins, who is sensational as Andrew Young—or the version of An-drew Young that Mann created with Young's approval—and Kenneth Mcand unseemly courtship scenes. out piety to the role of Mrs. King police chief "Bull" Connor. but two exceptional actors stand out: quality of other performances varies though it is rough going for both her and Winfield during Mann's sloppy Millan, who is brilliantly terrifying as Cicely Tyson brings nobility with-

onstrations on television. Mann's diashown watching the Birmingham dem-John and Robert Kennedy are

> with political consequences only and, logue makes them sound preoccupied state that politics is morally suspect. King was also a politician, and a skill-"King," slightly dishonorable. But therefore, within the context of ful one, and it is specious for King to

dered it deleted. or not, the NBC censor has since or-Birmingham." Mann insists the line is the b-s it takes to try to integrate President Kennedy saying, "Imagine Kennedy some time later. Authentic authentic and told to him by Bobby At this point in the film, Mann had

supports this charge; one of the trayed King as dependent on the leadblesse obige whites. A scene in "King" ership and beneficence of certain no-Abernathy contended that Mann porfew times Mann has King break-Advance criticsm of "King" from

Communit skeleton in the man's ment because the FBI has found a ing into tears is when King has to dismiss a white lawyer from the move-

horrible when it was even directed olence in the South only really got against sympathetic whites. At best by Bennett, seem to say that racial vithe scenes are ludicrous and gratui-The Tony Bennett scenes, narrated

nett appearance. "I thought it would Mann defended such touches. "Tony is a personal friend," he said of the Bena screening of "King," in unfinished form, last month in Los Angeles At a press conference that followed

be poignant. He represents hundreds of entertainers (performed at civil rights rallies in who did

of Jack, "He was a man who grew." nedy and said they were not meant to est American." He defended the porbecause, "To me, he's really our greattion "my spiritual love letter" to King "To me, he is a man who grows," and be derogatory. Of Bobby, Mann said, trayals of John and Robert Ken-Mann called the \$5-million produc-

a \$64 answer: "I don't want to nameequipped to do it. Mann gave that one who suggested it." drop," he said, "but it was Cary Grant instead of experience, directed the film himself Mann, who did not have directorial The \$64 question, though, was why hiring someone better

ject of "King" has such strength and unimportant. film's many shortcomings often seem still carries such meaning that the But the fact remains that the sub-

influential pacifists in history. that's just more Hollywood hooey ably powerful television-a harrowing year or for many years," and although see in any feature motion picture this more explosive than anything you'll through nonviolent means and to be-come, in the process, one of the most account of how difficult it was to ef-"King" is uncommonly and undent-Mann insists that his film is "fa monumental social

great storyteller may tell it. It is a great story, and someday a