

New Address: Rt. 7, Frederick, Md. 21701

3/2/68

Dear Gris,

Many thanks for your great kindness in Xeroxing the proceedings of the Forensic Science Assn. Leaving on a trip in a day and I started to staple it together so I could read it on the train when I noticed it is incomplete. Pages 27-287, inc., are missing. If you could possibly send them I'd be grateful.

I'll also give copies of your article to those interested.

I agree with you on Wecht. We've been in correspondence.

Sparrow is here to engaged in propoganda. Your papers may have what those here do not. If you see anything on that learned fink who acts like an average student (CIA, that is), I'd appreciate it.

What you report of McCarthy did not appear in the papers I get. I'm glad to know of it and would appreciate a copy if it is no burden. I quite agree with your appraisal. I'll try and get through to him after I get back from the two scheduled trips. I've got to go to NYC Monday a.m. because I promised to make a speech there. My wife sprained her ankle badly. It is still in a cast. I hate to leave her, even with a "baby sitter", but I must because these people advertised it. Then, right after I get back, I've got to go to N.O. again. Jim just asked me to help with some things I've been working on.

One of the more rewarding aspects of my last trips to California was the new potency of my verbal LSD. Turned quite a few people on, including Lawrence Howard. Rather a switch that your weekly editor (whose name I am ashamed to say slips me at the moment) might be interested in. Here is a man who went to court in a successful effort to frustrate Jim's subpoena. Then I interview him and he asks me to go to N.O. with him - voluntarily! When he didn't have to! Now that he is back (Steve Burton went with him instead because I couldn't) and says he found Garrison a "very nice man" and thinks his investigation is going in the right direction!

Naturally, of course, this was almost entirely suppressed. I saw two brief items in the New Orleans papers.

Back to my too-long career as a housewife. Again, many thanks, and best regards to everyone.

By the way, Penn and Roger Craig are really doing a job in California!

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Route 1, Box 275
Yellow Springs, Ohio 45387
February 28, 1968

Dear Harold Weisberg:

Thanks for your note. I have completed a zerox copy of the relevant parts of the Journal of Forensic Sciences and am sending it herewith.

I feel that an outstanding feature of this panel on the Warren Report is that Dr. Cyril Wecht concurs with the Warren Commission in its findings in his presentation, while disagreeing with the way the forensic pathologists should have been chosen. He thus points out a key weakness even while he agreed with the Report, and then he later changed his mind and took strong exception with the conclusions of the Report. He thus showed the results of an objective mind assuming the honesty of the Warren Commission and the Warren Report changing conclusions as he got better acquainted with the evidence. This is stronger for our purposes than if he had been one of the most critical of the panel -- though it takes some care to point this out. Dr. Wecht's standing makes him an important person to refer to in this regard. Sylvia Meagher quotes him, but did not bring out some of these features of the matter.

Did you notice that Senator Eugene McCarthy had spoken out on the Warren Commission? A news report in the Dayton Daily News has it that "McCarthy charged that 'One of the most disturbing moves in the direction of the erosion of the integrity of our institutions' was the appointment of Chief Justice Earl Warren to head the commission to investigate the assassination of President John F. Kennedy.

"The Warren appointment may have helped make the Commission's findings more acceptable to the people," McCarthy said. "But because of what the Commission would have to report, the use of the chief justice was likely to reflect on the man himself and the court."

The implications are clear. Thus McCarthy is about the first man in national office or running for one who has spoken out in regard to the central issue. What more he will do with it remains to be seen.

Best wishes,

Gris
Griscom Morgan