Dear Joan,

Thanks for the addressed envelopes I'm so frail that even so little now means much.

Matt phoned me after you left and what a joy that was! I doubt you'll ever meet any finer people anywhere.

I did not exaggerate how limited I now am when we spoke. And I am very far behind in correspondence that means much to us. You do your book your way but I'm telling you you will be sorry if you do not check all the sources out. Like your sources for that @swald-Thornlet business. Ift is all wrong. It is also a Garrison/Boxley story. Both were involved as observers almost only in what I did. I think the truth is better not only because the truth is always better but the millions of false and made-up stories can be used to hurt you and your book. Most of the people with and behind those many myths were, really, subjectmatter ignpramuses and each embesticshed on the other for the most part.

Matt can share that old corredundence with you but do remember that as I learned what I believed change. Please a sp, both of you, use your judgement on what should be disclosed abpunt other peoplex. I've np idea what is in any off it.

The Jones story is one I I wanted in fairly full detain, as I'd have used it if I had not learned it after I finished Oswald in NewOrlean. It is one of the matters I told wom would come to mind as we talked, as you asked questions and as more returned to mind. Jones twice and his assistant, Myra Silver once, were my sources, I have a tape of the second interview because boxley store the first. Garrison knew about it and did nothing about it.

Hellave Browlet story, too, and Garrison. He had it and did not use it or eithorize following it up.

If you do come make a lost of those you intrviewed and I'll tell you what I know of them. Of those I remember you can save yourself a disaster by checking. cc: Hatt Herron Levely

Please check what you use with me.

Harold Weisberg