) filed Twoker 1e investiget Dear wary, Thanks for the copy of your mailing to Ramsey and the note. Thu say that the Tronson analysis should be public any day now. Hopefully before the Ransey panel reporte its conclusions. I've lost track of what I've sent down there because when I heard nothing from anyone I assumed there was no interest in the Bowles stuff. I think I sent the most recent, save for the enclosed, to coh. I've also given it to lesar for Blakey, who is represented by Fenstorwald, for whom becar works a half day a day. I made an effort, some time ago, to interest ABC in the Bronson film but was not able to. My friend wasn't up high enough to overcome the "What, that again?" reaction. If you can, fill me in so I can respond to any inquiries I may get. For example, did the FBL ever accept a copy? Last I heard they were still declining. Not much new here. In the FOLA case for the Dallas and New Orleans records the FMI, on its own, is attempting to justify the withholdings by sampling one record per hundred. I get an occasional few records still, the most recent referrals, but nothing of any real's significance in them. I just heard from the Criminal Division, from which I've gotten quite a few pages. This last letter says it covers the final records. What seemed to be of interest I've sent to Hoch. The government managed to get away without responding to any of the allegation in the long affidavit of which Earl has a copy. The judge is a rubber-standp, as he has been. That case will now go up on appeal - again. I think some of the new information in it, what it surprises me "arl found no use for, might well buttress some of the possible Bronson conclusions. If "arl hadn't told me he would do a story I'd had made some efforts up hore before it was too late. Best to you all.